NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Engineering

- Land/Site Development
- Municipal Infrastructure
- Environmental/ Water Resources
- Traffic/ Transportation
- Recreational

Planning

- Land/Site Development
- Planning Application Management
- Municipal Planning
- Urban Design
- Expert Witness (OLT)
- Wireless Industry

Landscape Architecture

- Streetscapes & Public Amenities
- Open Space, Parks & Recreation
- Community & Residential
- Commercial & Institutional
- Environmental Restoration

CHEO
INTEGRATED TREATMENT CENTRE

Transportation Impact Assessment

Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO)
Integrated Treatment Centre
401 Smyth Road

Revised Transportation Impact Assessment

Prepared By:

NOVATECH
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K2M 1P6

April 2024
Revised: November 2024
Revised: May 2026

Novatech File: 122210
Ref: R-2024-001

NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

May 5, 2026

City of Ottawa
Planning, Real Estate, and Economic Development Department
110 Laurier Ave. W., 4th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1J1

Attention: **Mr. Mike Giampa**
Sr. Transportation Engineer, Infrastructure Approvals

Dear Mr. Giampa:

Reference: **CHEO Integrated Treatment Centre, 401 Smyth Road**
Revised Transportation Impact Assessment
Novatech File No. 122210

We are pleased to submit the following revised Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA), in support of a Site Plan Control application at 401 Smyth Road, for your review and signoff. The structure and format of this report is in accordance with the City of Ottawa's *Revised Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines* (June 2023).

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please feel free to contact Jennifer Luong, or the undersigned.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Trevor Van Wiechen, P.Eng.
Project Engineer | Transportation

Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Ottawa ON K2M 1P6 Tel: 613.254.9643 Fax: 613.254.5867 www.novatech-eng.com

TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that s/he meets the four criteria listed below.

CERTIFICATION

1. I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;
2. I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;
3. I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and
4. I am either a licensed[1] or registered[2] professional in good standing, whose field of expertise [check √ appropriate field(s)] is either transportation engineering ☑ or transportation planning ☐.

[1,2] **License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.**

City Of Ottawa
Infrastructure Services and Community Sustainability
Planning and Growth Management
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th fl.
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
Tel. : 613-580-2424
Fax: 613-560-6006

Ville d'Ottawa
Services d 'infrastructure et Viabilité des collectivités
Urbanisme et Gestion de la croissance
110, avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
Tél. : 613-580-2424
Télécopieur: 613-560-6006

Dated at Ottawa (City) this 5 day of May, 2026 .

Name: Jennifer Luong
(Please Print)

Professional Title: P. Eng. - Senior Project Manager

J Luong
Signature of Individual certifier that s/he meets the above four criteria

Office Contact Information (Please Print)	
Address:	240 Michael Cowpland Drive
City / Postal Code:	Ottawa, ON K2M 1P6
Telephone / Extension:	613-254-9643 ext. 254
E-Mail Address:	j.luong@novaetch-eng.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SCREENING 1
1.1 INTRODUCTION 1
1.2 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 1
1.3 SCREENING FORM 2
2.0 SCOPING 4
2.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS 4
2.1.1 Roadways 4
2.1.2 Intersections 6
2.1.3 Driveways 8
2.1.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities 9
2.1.5 Area Traffic Management 11
2.1.6 Transit 11
2.1.7 Existing Traffic Volumes 12
2.1.8 Collision Records 13
2.2 PLANNED CONDITIONS 16
2.2.1 Planned Transportation Projects 16
2.2.2 Other Area Developments 17
2.3 STUDY AREA AND TIME PERIODS 17
2.4 ACCESS DESIGN 18
2.5 DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND 19
2.5.1 Trip Generation 20
2.5.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment 21
2.6 EXEMPTIONS REVIEW 23
3.0 BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMAND 25
3.1 GENERAL BACKGROUND GROWTH RATE 25
3.2 OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS 25
3.3 RELOCATED TRAFFIC 26
3.3.1 Relocated Parking Traffic 26
3.3.2 Relocated School Traffic 27
3.3.3 Alterations to CHEO Non-Emergency Access 28
3.3.4 Net Relocated Traffic 28
3.4 FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS 31
3.5 DEMAND RATIONALIZATION 35
3.5.1 Existing Intersection Operations 36
3.5.2 2027 Background Intersection Operations 37
3.5.3 2032 Background Intersection Operations 38
4.0 ANALYSIS 38
4.1 DEVELOPMENT DESIGN 38
4.1.1 Design for Sustainable Modes 38
4.1.2 Circulation and Access 40
4.2 PARKING 40
4.3 BOUNDARY STREETS 53
4.4 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT 54
4.4.1 Context for TDM 54
4.4.2 Need and Opportunity 54
4.4.3 TDM Program 54
4.5 TRANSIT 55

4.6 INTERSECTION DESIGN 55
4.6.1 Intersection MMLOS 55
4.6.2 2027 Total Traffic Operations 56
4.6.3 2032 Total Traffic Operations 58
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 60

Figures

Figure 1: View of the Subject Site 2
Figure 2: CHEO Campus Parking Locations 3
Figure 3: Roadway Network 5
Figure 4: Existing Pedestrian Facilities 10
Figure 5: Existing Cycling Facilities 10
Figure 6: OC Transpo Bus Stop Locations 12
Figure 7: Existing Auto Volumes 14
Figure 8: Existing Pedestrian and Cyclist Volumes 15
Figure 9: Trip Distribution and Assignment Percentages, Inbound Trips 21
Figure 10: Trip Distribution and Assignment Percentages, Outbound Trips 22
Figure 11: Site-Generated Traffic Volumes 23
Figure 12:Relocated Traffic From Parking Lots B and E 27
Figure 13: Relocated Traffic From the Existing School 29
Figure 14: Remaining Displacement 30
Figure 15: Net Relocated Traffic 31
Figure 16: 2027 Background Traffic 32
Figure 17: 2032 Background Traffic 33
Figure 18: 2027 Total Traffic 34
Figure 19: 2032 Total Traffic 35
Figure 20: HSU Accessing Loading Area 41
Figure 21: HSU Movements Within Loading Area 42
Figure 22: Transit (B-12) and School Busses Entering CHEO Non-Emergency Access 43
Figure 23: Transit (B-12) Bus Leaving CHEO Non-Emergency Access 44
Figure 24: Transit (Articulated) and School Busses Entering CHEO Non-Emergency Access 45
Figure 25: Transit (Articulated) Bus Leaving CHEO Non-Emergency Access 46
Figure 26: Firetruck at Integrated Treatment Centre Access Loop Exiting Northbound 47
Figure 27: Firetruck at Integrated Treatment Centre Access Loop Exiting Southbound 48
Figure 28: Para-Transport at Integrated Treatment Centre Access Loop Exiting Northbound 49
Figure 29: Para-Transport at Integrated Treatment Centre Access Loop Exiting Southbound 50
Figure 30: Para-Transport at CHEO Drop-off Loop 51
Figure 31: Transit (Articulated) Bus Within CHEO Non-Emergency Access 52

Tables

Table 1: OC Transpo Transit Stops 11
Table 2: OC Transpo Route Information 11
Table 3: Reported Collisions 15
Table 4: Proposed Development – Peak Hour Trip Generation 20
Table 5: Proposed Development – Peak Hour Trips by Mode Share 20
Table 6: TIA Exemptions 24
Table 7: Composition of School Generated Vehicle Traffic 28
Table 8: Existing Traffic Operations 36

Table 9: 2027 Background Traffic Operations 37
Table 10: 2032 Background Traffic Operations 38
Table 11: Parking Review 40
Table 12: Segment MMLOS Summary 53
Table 13: Intersection MMLOS Summary 55
Table 14: 2027 Total Traffic Operations 57
Table 15: 2032 Total Traffic Operations 59

Appendices

Appendix A: Preliminary Site Plan
Appendix B: TIA Screening Form
Appendix C: OC Transpo Route Maps
Appendix D: Traffic Count Data
Appendix E: Collision Records
Appendix F: Strategic Long-Range Model Snapshots
Appendix G: Other Area Developments
Appendix H: Signal Timing Plans
Appendix I: Detailed Analysis Reports
Appendix J: Transportation Demand Management Checklists
Appendix K: MMLOS Review
Appendix L: SimTraffic Analysis

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of a Site Plan Control application for the property located at 401 Smyth Road. The subject development is a new 'Integrated Treatment Centre' building within the campus of the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO). The site of the Integrated Treatment Centre building is currently occupied by parking areas, which are being relocated to an approved on-campus parking garage, approximately 150m to the east.

The CHEO campus is bound by Ring Road to the north, south, and west, and is adjacent to The Ottawa Hospital (TOH) General Campus to the east. Outside of Ring Road, the hospital campuses are surrounded by the following:

- Parkland, followed by residential uses to the north,
- Smyth Road, followed by residential uses to the south,
- Roger Guindon Avenue, followed by institutional uses to the east, and
- Residential uses, industrial uses, or Department of National Defense offices to the west.

The proposed development consists of approximately 216,080ft² gross floor area (GFA) of hospital space and 17,500ft² of school space. The school will be on the north side of the new building and the clinical space will be on the south side. The proposed school will replace an existing school located on the northwest side of the CHEO campus, as shown in **Figure 1**. A new one-way access to Ring Road (N-S) is proposed to serve the clinical space of the new Integrated Treatment Centre building, and a new loading access to Ring Road (E-W) is proposed. The existing looped two-way accesses to CHEO will be modified to a one-way access, and will also serve the school in the new building. The development of the Integrated Treatment Centre building will be constructed in a single phase, with a buildout year of 2027.

The new eight-storey parking garage is located approximately 150m to the east. The parking garage will have 1,050 parking spaces to accommodate the Integrated Treatment Centre parking demand and the displaced users of Lots B and E.

The subject site is designated as 'Evolving Neighbourhood' and 'Corridor – Mainstreet' (Smyth Road) on Schedule B3 of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan. The implemented zoning for the property is 'Major Institutional' (I2), which permits the existing and proposed hospital uses. The site is not within any Community Design Plan areas, and is located within the Alta Vista/Faircrest Heights/ Riverview Park Secondary Plan area.

The conclusions and recommendations of this TIA can be summarized as follows:

Forecasting

- The proposed hospital clinic development is estimated to generate 401 person trips (including 241 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 387 person trips (including 233 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

- Traffic from the existing school and Parking Lots B and E were redistributed within the subject site to account for the construction of the new parking garage and the Integrated Treatment Centre building. Traffic along the CHEO main access was redistributed due to the conversion of the road from two-way to one-way operations within the site.

Development Design

- The existing PXO Type D along Ring Road (N-S) is proposed to be maintained at its current location. Based on the 8-hour traffic volumes from the March 19, 2024 traffic count at the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection, the northern leg of the intersection has an 8-hour traffic volume of 2,847 vehicles. Given the posted speed limit of 40km/h, Table 7 of the OTM Book 15 was used to confirm the required Type of PXO. Although the current roadway has a road platform of 9.5m and an effective 3 lane cross-section, it is recommended the roadway be narrowed for a total road platform width of 7.5m. A Type D PXO is appropriate for this width.

- Parking Lot B includes 286 parking spaces and Parking Lot E includes 270 parking spaces. The approved parking garage in Parking Lot E will provide approximately 1,050 parking spaces and within the Integrated Treatment Centre site an additional 65 parking spaces are provided. The total number of parking spaces in the existing Parking Lots B and E plus the additional parking required by the ZBL for the Integrated Treatment Centre building totals 849 parking spaces. The approved parking garage therefore exceeds the parking requirements of the ZBL.

- Per Section 4.2.3 of the Stantec 2022 Transportation Study, the estimated parking generation rate for CHEO is approximately 3 spaces/100m². This is more than double the minimum zoning rate. Based on the observed rate, approximately 600 parking spaces are required to meet the demand for the new Integrated Treatment Centre clinic. With the 286 Lot B displaced spaces and the 270 Lot E displaced spaces, this amount to a total demand of 1,156 spaces. The 1,050 spaces in the approved Ph1A parking garage and the proposed 65 spaces for the Ph1B Integrated Treatment Centre site plan provide a total of 1,115 spaces, or 96% of the demand.

- The proposed development is considered to meet the required loading spaces as per Section 111 of the City's ZBL, due to the school bus lane to the north of the Integrated Treatment Centre building and the proposed loading area.

- For parking lots with over 1,000 parking spaces the City's Accessibility Design Standards require 11 +1% of the total parking spaces provided be a combination of Type A and Type B accessible parking spaces. Within the Integrated Treatment Centre site 14 Type A and 14 Type B parking spaces are provided. As 11 Type A and 11 Type B parking spaces are required for 1,115 total parking spaces this requirement is met.

- A total of 40 bicycle parking spaces will be provided near the Integrated Treatment Centre building.

Boundary Streets

- The proposed site plan includes 3.0m-wide multi-use pathways along the Ring Road (N-S) and Ring Road (E-W) frontages with boulevard widths of at least 0.5m.

Access Design

- The TAC *Geometric Design Guide* minimum corner clearance requirement of 20m is met by the proposed loop.

- The minimum clear throat requirement of 15m is met at the proposed access loop to Ring Road (N-S).

- The width of the one-way egress of the proposed access loop exceeds the requirements of the Private Approach By-law. However, Ring Road is not a municipal road and the accesses are not subject to the PABL. The one-way ingress of the proposed access loop does meet the requirements of the Private Approach By-law.

- The proposed accesses to Ring Road (E-W) and Ring Road (N-S) are anticipated to operate with acceptable delays for the build-out year 2027 and horizon year 2032.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM)

- A review of the City's TDM Measures Checklist has been conducted. The proponent, is committed to providing the following TDM measures within this development:

    - Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
    - Provide relevant transit schedules and route maps at main building entrances;
    - Charge for staff and visitor parking; and
    - Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new employees.

- In addition to the above measures CHEO has a Security & Parking Services department that includes a Parking Attendant and a Manager. While their role is not dedicated to TDM they do have a mandate to maximize onsite parking availability and ensure compliance with accessibility requirements, and provide recommendations to Management on ways to improve parking access for both the public and staff.

- CHEO also plans on continuing its partnerships with Travel Wise and OC Transpo in an effort to reduce car dependency and increase the use of non-auto mode shares. As a Provincially Funded Organization, CHEO is not funded for considerations such as Transit Fare Incentives, Private Transit Services, or other TDM measures.

Transit

- Bus stop #1808 will need to be relocated to bus stop #7045 within the subject site due to the changes being proposed to the CHEO non-emergency access.

Intersection MMLOS

- The east approach of the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection meets the City’s vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks (greater than 400,000 vehicle/pedestrian conflicts over an eight-hour period). This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

- Implementing bike boxes the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection would require restricting right turns on red (RTOR) on the east and west approaches. This is identified for the City’s consideration.

- A bike lane or higher order facility is identified on the north approach of the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection for the City’s consideration.

- The east and west approaches of the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road meet the City’s vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks. This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

- Two-stage left turn bike boxes are required to meet the target BLOS C at the north and west approaches of the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection. For cyclists turning left from the north approach, this would involve a bike box in front of the eastbound stop bar. For cyclists turning left from the west approach, this would involve a jug handle facility and cyclist crossing signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.

Background Traffic Analysis

- All movements at study area intersections operate with acceptable LOS under 2027 and 2032 background traffic conditions.

Total Traffic Analysis

- All movements at study area intersections, except for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection, are expected to operate with acceptable LOS under total traffic conditions.

- Due to limitations in Synchro software the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital intersection was conservatively modeled as having free flow on the north and south approaches as opposed to only the south approach. It is assumed to operate with less delays and is recommended to be monitored in the future. Delays at the intersection are largely attributable to the existing conditions which includes a high volume of westbound left turning vehicles during the PM peak hour. A second westbound left turn lane in addition to the existing left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane is not standard design practice at a stop controlled intersection. Any additional travel lanes would likely impact the existing bus stop and retaining wall east of the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection.

- Monitoring of the westbound left can be considered after the proposed building is constructed. As a condition of Site Plan approval, the proponent can provide security to the City for costs to produce traffic count data and a TIA addendum for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection. Monitoring would be completed one year post-occupancy. If the monitoring data shows that the westbound left storage length is insufficient or that the westbound left movement is operating unacceptably, the proponent would be responsible for adjusting the existing pavement marking to maximize the westbound left storage. This approach was discussed and agreed on with City staff at a meeting on March 21, 2025.

Based on the foregoing, the proposed development can be recommended from a transportation perspective.

1.0 SCREENING

1.1 Introduction

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of a Site Plan Control application for the property located at 401 Smyth Road. The subject development is a new 'Integrated Treatment Centre' building within the campus of the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO). The site of the Integrated Treatment Centre building is currently occupied by parking areas, which are being relocated to an approved on-campus parking garage, approximately 150m to the east.

The CHEO campus is bound by Ring Road to the north, south, and west, and is adjacent to The Ottawa Hospital (TOH) General Campus to the east. Outside of Ring Road, the hospital campuses are surrounded by the following:

- Parkland, followed by residential uses to the north,
- Smyth Road, followed by residential uses to the south,
- Roger Guindon Avenue, followed by institutional uses to the east, and
- Residential uses, industrial uses, or Department of National Defense offices to the west.

An aerial of the vicinity around the subject site is provided in **Figure 1**, with the locations of the Integrated Treatment Centre site and approved parking garage shown. A separate figure outlining the existing parking lots within or adjacent to the CHEO campus is included as **Figure 2**.

For the purposes of this study, the north-south section of Ring Road that is immediately west of the proposed building and the east-west section of Ring Road that is immediately south of the proposed building are denoted in this study as Ring Road (N-S) and Ring Road (E-W), respectively.

1.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development consists of approximately 216,080ft² gross floor area (GFA) of hospital space and 17,500ft² of school space. The school will be on the north side of the new building and the clinical space will be on the south side. The proposed school will replace an existing school located on the northwest side of the CHEO campus, as shown in **Figure 1**. A new one-way access to Ring Road (N-S) is proposed to serve the clinical space of the new Integrated Treatment Centre building, and a new loading access to Ring Road (E-W) is proposed. The existing looped two-way accesses to CHEO will be modified to a one-way access, and will also serve the school in the new building. The development of the Integrated Treatment Centre building will be constructed in a single phase, with a buildout year of 2027.

The new eight-storey parking garage is located approximately 150m to the east. The parking garage will have 1,050 parking spaces to accommodate the Integrated Treatment Centre parking demand and the displaced users of Lots B and E.

The subject site is designated as 'Evolving Neighbourhood' and 'Corridor – Mainstreet' (Smyth Road) on Schedule B3 of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan. The implemented zoning for the property is 'Major Institutional' (I2), which permits the existing and proposed hospital uses. The site is not within any Community Design Plan areas, and is located within the Alta Vista/Faircrest Heights/ Riverview Park Secondary Plan area.

A copy of the preliminary site plan is included in **Appendix A**.

Figure 1: View of the Subject Site



1.3 Screening Form

The City's *2023 Revised TIA Guidelines* identify three triggers for completing a TIA report, including trip generation, location, and safety. The criteria for each trigger are outlined in the City's TIA Screening Form, which is included in **Appendix B**. The trigger results are as follows:

- Trip Generation Trigger – The development is anticipated to generate over 60 peak hour person trips; further assessment is **required** based on this trigger.

- Location Triggers – The development does not propose a new connection to a designated Rapid Transit or Transit Priority (RTTP) corridor or a Crosstown Bikeway, and is not located

within a Hub, Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA), or Design Priority Area (DPA); further assessment is **not required** based on this trigger.

- Safety Triggers – The proposed driveway location to Ring Road (N-S) is within 150m of the adjacent signal at Smyth Road/Ring Road/South Haven Place; further assessment is **required** based on this trigger.

Figure 2: CHEO Campus Parking Locations



2.0 SCOPING

2.1 Existing Conditions

2.1.1 Roadways

The study area roadways Smyth Road and Hospital Link Road fall under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa. Ring Road, General Hospital Access Road, and all accesses within the CHEO campus are located outside of the City ROW.

Smyth Road is an arterial roadway that generally runs on an east-west alignment between Rideau River Drive and St. Laurent Boulevard/Russell Road. The roadway continues as Main Street west of Rideau River Drive, and as Lancaster Road east of St. Laurent Boulevard/Russell Road. Within the study area, Smyth Road has a four-lane undivided urban cross-section, asphalt sidewalks on both sides of the roadway, and a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. Smyth Road is classified as a truck route, allowing full loads. Street parking is prohibited on both sides of Smyth Road within the study area. The City's Official Plan identifies a 30m right-of-way (ROW) protection for Smyth Road. As the existing ROW of Smyth Road is approximately 26m at the subject site, a widening will be required.


Hospital Link Road is a local roadway that generally runs on a curvilinear alignment between Riverside Drive and Ring Road. At Riverside Drive, the roadway continues east as Hincks Lane. Hospital Link Road continues as Ring Road for the section that directly surrounds the CHEO campus. Within the study area, Hospital Link Road has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section, an asphalt multi-use pathway (MUP) on the south side of the roadway, and a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. Hospital Link Road is not classified as a truck route. Street parking is not prohibited along Hospital Link Road within the study area.

Ring Road is a local roadway that runs on a circuitous alignment around the CHEO campus, and is located within the sites at 401, 451, and 599 Smyth Road. Ring Road is connected to roadways within the City's jurisdiction via one connection to Roger Guindon Avenue, and two connections to Smyth Road. One connection is located in line with Ring Road (N-S), and the other is located in line with the General Hospital Access (approximately 400m to the east, measuring centre-to-centre). Ring Road has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section. On the inside of the roadway, asphalt or concrete sidewalks are provided in select areas, including the entire section of Ring Road (N-S). On the outside of the roadway, an asphalt MUP is provided between Hospital Link Road and the connection to Roger Guindon Avenue. Ring Road has a posted speed limit of 40 km/h, and is not classified as a truck route. Street parking is prohibited on both sides of the roadway, and the signage indicates that Ring Road is a fire route. A Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Type D is provided along Ring Road (N-S) roughly 80m north of the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection.

General Hospital Access Road is a local roadway that runs on a north-south alignment that intersects with Smyth Road and Ring Road (E-W), and extends to the north, providing access to parking for vehicles and ambulances, and drop-off zones for Para Transpo, taxis, and emergencies. General Hospital Access Road has a four-lane divided urban cross-section for the short section between Smyth Road and Ring Road (E-W), and a two-lane undivided urban cross-section north of Ring Road (E-W). Asphalt sidewalks are generally provided on both sides of General Hospital Access Road. As no posted speed limit signs are provided along General Hospital Access Road, the Highway Traffic Act stipulates a regulatory speed limit of 50 km/h. General Hospital Access Road is not classified as a truck route, and street parking is permitted in select perpendicular parking areas north of Ring Road (E-W).

The roadway of the greater area surrounding the subject site is illustrated in **Figure 3**.

Figure 3: Roadway Network



2.1.2 Intersections

Ring Road/Hospital Link Road

- Unsignalized three-legged intersection
- All-way stop control
- South Approach (Ring Road):
  one shared left turn/right turn lane
- East Approach (Ring Road):
  one shared left turn/through lane
- West Approach (Hospital Link Road):
  one shared through/right turn lane
- Standard crosswalks on all approaches
- Crossride provided at west approach



Ring Road (N-S)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access

- Unsignalized four-legged intersection
- All-way stop control
- East and west approaches offset
- North/South Approaches (Ring Road N-S):
  one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
- East Approach (CHEO Access):
  one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
- West Approach (access to 411 Smyth Road):
  one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
- Ladder crosswalks on north and east approaches



Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W)

- Unsignalized three-legged intersection
- Stop control on east approach
- North Approach (Ring Road N-S): one shared left turn/through lane
- South Approach (Ring Road N-S): one shared through/right turn lane
- East Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared left turn/right turn lane
- Ladder crosswalks on east approach



Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place

- Signalized four-legged intersection
- North Approach (Ring Road N-S): one left turn lane and one right turn lane (through traffic is restricted, except for bicycles)
- South Approach (South Haven Place): one shared left turn/right turn lane (through traffic is restricted, except for bicycles)
- East Approach (Smyth Road): one shared left turn/through lane and one shared through/right turn lane
- West Approach (Smyth Road): one shared left turn/through lane and one shared through/right turn lane
- Standard crosswalks on all approaches

Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access

- Unsignalized three-legged intersection
- Stop control on north approach
- North Approach (CHEO Non-Emergency Access): one shared left turn/right turn lane
- East Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared through/right turn lane
- West Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared left turn/through lane



Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Emergency Access

- Unsignalized three-legged intersection
- Stop control on north approach
- North Approach (CHEO Emergency Access): one shared left turn/right turn lane
- East Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared through/right turn lane
- West Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared left turn/through lane
- Ladder crosswalk on east approach



Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road

- Unsignalized four-legged intersection
- Three-way stop control on north, east, and west approaches
- North Approach (General Hospital Access Road): one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
- South Approach (General Hospital Access Road): one shared left turn/through lane and one right turn lane (channelized)
- East Approach (Ring Road E-W): one left turn lane and one shared left turn/through/ right turn lane
- West Approach (Ring Road E-W): one shared left turn/through/right turn lane
- Ladder crosswalk on east and west approaches



Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road

- Signalized three-legged intersection
- North Approach (General Hospital Access Road): dual left turn lanes and one right turn lane
- East Approach (Smyth Road): two through lanes and one right turn lane
- West Approach (Smyth Road): one left turn lane and two through lanes
- Standard crosswalks on all approaches

2.1.3 Driveways

In accordance with the *Revised TIA Guidelines*, a review of the existing adjacent driveways along the boundary roads are provided as follows. All driveways within 200m of the proposed loop accesses to Ring Road (N-S) and the proposed loading access to Ring Road (E-W) are listed as follows.

Ring Road (N-S), west side
- One driveway to the Ronald McDonald House at 401 Smyth Road;
- One driveway to a motel at 411 Smyth Road.

Ring Road (N-S), east side
- One internal intersection leading to the non-emergency entrance to the CHEO building at 401 Smyth Road;
- One internal intersection leading to the Roger Neilson House and Children's Treatment Centre at 401 Smyth Road.

South Haven Place, west side
- Five driveways to residences at 1874, 1882, and 1890 South Haven Place.

South Haven Place, east side
- Three driveways to residences at 403 & 404 Simpson Road, and 404 Smyth Road.

Ring Road (E-W), north side
- One driveway to Parking Lot A adjacent to the CHEO building at 401 Smyth Road;
- Two internal intersections leading to the non-emergency and emergency entrances to the CHEO building at 401 Smyth Road.

Ring Road (E-W), south side
- No driveways

2.1.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Smyth Road and General Hospital Access Road, on one side of Ring Road (N-S), and along the north side of Ring Road (E-W) next to Parking Lot E. There are also pathways within the CHEO and TOH campuses, which provide connectivity throughout the sites.

A MUP is provided on the south side of Hospital Link Road, which crosses to the north side at the Hospital Link Road/Ring Road intersection, and continues on the outside of Ring Road until the connection to Roger Guindon Avenue. The MUP then follows Roger Guindon Avenue and crosses Smyth Road, where it continues south of the study area through Lynda Lane Park. North of the CHEO campus, the MUP connects to another pathway that heads north to Station Boulevard.

Smyth Road is part of the designated cycling network. The section of pathway along the outside of Ring Road forms part of a Crosstown Bikeway.

A map of the existing pedestrian facilities is provided in **Figure 4** and a map of the existing cycling facilities is provided in **Figure 5**.

Figure 4: Existing Pedestrian Facilities




Figure 5: Existing Cycling Facilities



2.1.5 Area Traffic Management

Within the study area, there are no Area Traffic Management (ATM) studies that are in progress. As described in Section 2.1.2, northbound and southbound through restrictions are implemented at Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place, which serves to keep traffic from travelling directly between the hospital campuses and the residences south of Smyth Road.

To the east of the study area, the Haig Drive Traffic Calming Study was completed by the City, with a final recommendation plan posted in August 2023. The recommended measures include painted bike lanes, traffic calming measures, and intersection modifications on Haig Drive between Smyth Road and Harding Road to the south.

On Smyth Road east of the study area, school zone signage and pavement markings are provided in vicinity of Vincent Massey School, along with a municipal speed camera to enforce speeds within the school zone.

2.1.6 Transit

The locations of OC Transpo bus stops that are relevant to the proposed development are described in **Table 1**, and shown in **Figure 6**. A summary of the various routes which serve the study area is included in **Table 2**. Detailed route information and an excerpt from the OC Transpo System Map are included in **Appendix C**.

Table 1: OC Transpo Transit Stops

Stop	Location	Routes Serviced
#1808	East side of CHEO Non-Emergency Access (between main entrance and access)	45, 55
#7045	West side of CHEO Non-Emergency Access (between main entrance and access)	55
#7072	North side of Ring Road (E-W), east of CHEO Non-Emergency Access	45, 55
#7231	East side of Ring Road (N-S), south of access to Roger Neilson House	45
#7236	North side of Smyth Road, across from Barnhart Place	55, 609, 645
#7237	South side of Smyth Road, east of Barnhart Place	55, 609
#7238	South side of Smyth Road, west of South Haven Place	609

Table 2: OC Transpo Route Information

Route	From ↔ To	Frequency
45	Hospital ~ Hôpital ↔ Hurdman & N Rideau	All day and limited overnight service, seven days a week; 15- to 60-minute headways
55	Elmvale ↔ Westgate	All day service, seven days a week; 15- to 30-minute headways
609	Elmvale ↔ De La Salle H.S.	Service at select times on school days only
645	Franco-Cité H.S. → Hurdman	Service in one direction after school, on school days only

Figure 6: OC Transpo Bus Stop Locations



2.1.7 Existing Traffic Volumes

Weekday traffic counts were coordinated by the City of Ottawa and Novatech. These counts were used to determine the existing traffic volumes at the study area intersections, and the data was collected during the following dates:

- Ring Road/Hospital Link Road — March 19, 2024 (Novatech)
- Ring Road (N-S)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access — March 19, 2024 (Novatech)
- Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place — October 4, 2022 (City)
- Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access — March 20,2024 (Novatech)
- Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Emergency Access — February 20, 2020 (City)
  March 20,2024 (Novatech)

- Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road March 19,2024 (Novatech)
- Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road December 11, 2019 (City)
- Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) March 19,2024 (Novatech)

Due to construction occurring in Parking Lot E at the time of the 2024 traffic counts the volumes of vehicles turning in and out of the CHEO emergency access were noticeably lower than previous traffic counts. To account for the construction eliminating parking in this area and lowering the turning volumes at this intersection the turning volumes from the 2020 traffic count were combined with the east-west through volumes from the 2024 traffic count.

It should be noted that all recent traffic counts completed within the study area occurred outside of the summer months and pedestrian and cyclist volumes may be higher during other times of the year.

The traffic count data is included in **Appendix D**. Peak hour vehicle volumes within the study area are shown in **Figure 7**, and peak hour pedestrian and cyclist volumes are shown in **Figure 8**.

2.1.8 Collision Records

Historical collision data from the last five years available was obtained from the City's Public Works and Service Department for the study area intersections and midblock segments. Copies of the collision summary reports are included in **Appendix E**. Department staff have advised that no reportable collisions were received for any location along Ring Road.

Private collision data was not available from Infrastructure Ontario for Ring Road.

The collision data has been evaluated to determine if there are any identifiable collision patterns, which are defined in the *Revised TIA Guidelines* as 'more than six collisions in five years' for any one movement. The number of collisions at each intersection from January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2021 is summarized in **Table 3**.

Figure 7: Existing Auto Volumes




Figure 8: Existing Pedestrian and Cyclist Volumes




Table 3: Reported Collisions

Location	Impact Types						Total
	Approach	Angle	Rear End	Sideswipe	Turning Movement	SMV[1]/ Other	
Smyth Road/ Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Pl	-	1	4	3	5	-	**13**
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road	-	-	7	5	4	1	**17**
Smyth Road btwn Ring Rd (N-S) & General Hospital Access Road	-	-	1	3	1	-	**5**

1. SMV = Single Motor Vehicle

Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place
A total of 13 collisions were reported at this intersection over the last five years, consisting of one angle impact, four rear-end impacts, three sideswipe impacts, and five turning movement impacts. One collision resulted in non-fatal injuries, and none resulted in fatal injuries. Six of the 13 collisions (46%) occurred in poor driving conditions. No collisions involved pedestrians or cyclists.

Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road
A total of 17 collisions were reported at this intersection over the last five years, consisting of seven rear-end impacts, five sideswipe impacts, four turning movement impacts, and one single vehicle/ other impacts. One collision resulted in non-fatal injuries, and none resulted in fatal injuries. Nine of the 17 collisions (53%) occurred in poor driving conditions. No collisions involved pedestrians or cyclists.

Of the seven rear-end impacts, three occurred at the southbound approach, one occurred at the eastbound approach, and three occurred at the westbound approach. Therefore, no rear-end collision patterns are identified at any approach.

Segments of Smyth Road between Ring Road/South Haven Place & General Hospital Access Road
A total of five collisions were reported along this segment over the last five years, including two collisions at the intersection of Smyth Road/Highland Terrace. The five collisions consisted of one rear-end impact, three sideswipe impacts, and one turning movement impact. One collision occurred in non-fatal injuries, and none resulted in fatal injuries. Three of the five collisions (60%) occurred in poor driving conditions. No collisions involved pedestrians or cyclists.

2.2 Planned Conditions

2.2.1 Planned Transportation Projects

The City's *2013 Transportation Master Plan (TMP)* identifies transit signal priority and queue jump lanes at select locations along Alta Vista Drive (from Smyth Road to Industrial Avenue) and Smyth Road (from Alta Vista Transportation Corridor and St. Laurent Boulevard), in the 2031 Rapid Transit and Transit Priority (RTTP) Network Concept.

The City's 2013 TMP also identifies the Alta Vista Transportation Corridor in its 2031 Road Network Concept and 2031 RTTP Network Concept. The corridor includes the now-constructed Hospital Link Road. In the 2031 Road Network Concept, this will include a new four-lane road between the Nicholas Street/Highway 417 interchange and Riverside Drive, and a new four-lane road between the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre and Walkley Road. In the 2031 RTTP Network Concept, bus and high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes and transit signal priority is planned between Riverside Drive and the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre (i.e. Hospital Link Road and the adjacent northern section of Ring Road). Transit signal priority and queue jump lanes are identified between the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre and Walkley Road.

The 2013 Ottawa Cycling Plan and 2013 Ottawa Pedestrian Plan do not identify any upcoming pedestrian and cycling infrastructure projects within the study area. The City's *Draft TMP Update* (March 2023) includes a list of active transportation projects. West of the study area, the update identifies separated cycling facilities and/or bike lanes on Smyth Road from Alta Vista Drive to Riverside Drive, to provide connectivity to these streets and the Rideau River Eastern Pathway. East of the study area, a cycling infrastructure project is identified along the Botsford Street/Dauphin Street corridor, from Coronation Avenue to Kilborn Avenue.

2.2.2 Other Area Developments

Other developments that are in the approval process or have recently been completed, constructed, or approved and are summarized as follows.

355 and 374 Everest Private
A total of 293 dwellings are proposed to be added to an existing condominium complex at 355 and 374 Everest Private. The site plans at both addresses were approved by the City in February 2022.

401 Smyth Road (Parking Structure)
The new parking garage with 1,050 vehicle parking spaces replaces the gravel parking at Parking Lot E. A TIA was prepared by EXP in September 2023 with an addendum by Novatech in October, 2023.

451 Smyth Road
The University of Ottawa has proposed a six-storey medical research facility with a GFA of 267,270 ft². A TIA prepared by WSP in February 2024 had identified an estimated buildout year of 2026.

700 Coronation Avenue
A total of 35 dwellings are proposed to be added to an existing apartment development at 700 Coronation Avenue. The application is currently in the approval process. No traffic study was prepared for this development.

1740-1760 St. Laurent Boulevard
A total of 701 dwellings, 21,808 ft^2 of commercial space, and 9,383 ft^2 of restaurant space are proposed at the addresses above. A TIA prepared by Parsons in October 2023 had identified an estimated buildout year of 2024.

1967 Riverside Drive
TOH has entered into an agreement with the City of Ottawa to permit 256 long-term care units and 250 retirement units, adjacent to the Riverside Campus of TOH. A TIA prepared by CGH in January 2023 had identified an estimated buildout year of 2026.

1971 St. Laurent Boulevard
A total of 498 dwellings are proposed to be added to an existing apartment complex at 1971 St. Laurent Boulevard. A TIA prepared by CGH in March 2023 had identified an estimated buildout year of 2030.

2025 Othello Avenue
A total of 563 dwellings are proposed to be added to an existing apartment complex at 2025 Othello Avenue. A TIA prepared by Parsons in September 2021 had identified an estimated buildout year of 2023.

2.3 Study Area and Time Periods

The study area for this report includes Smyth Road, Ring Road (N-S), and Ring Road (E-W), as the boundary streets, and includes the following intersections.

- Ring Road/Hospital Link Road;
- Ring Road (N-S)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access;
- Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W);

- Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place;
- Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access;
- Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Emergency Access;
- Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road;
- Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road.

The peak hours of adjacent street traffic are generally 7:30-8:30am and 3:30-4:30PM. The PM peak of the intersections along Ring Road (E-W) is slightly earlier at 3:00-4:00PM. Existing and proposed school hours are 8:30AM-3:00PM with no before and after care programs. As outlined in this report, the school traffic is small compared to the proposed clinic traffic.

The selected time periods for the analysis are the weekday AM and PM peak hours, as they represent the 'worst case' combination of site generated traffic and adjacent street traffic. For this analysis the relocated school trips have been added to the AM and PM peak hour traffic. Analysis will be completed for the buildout year 2027 and horizon year 2032.

2.4 Access Design

This section summarizes the proposed new accesses to Ring Road (N-S) and Ring Road (E-W), and proposed changes to existing lane configurations for the CHEO Non-Emergency Accesses. Design vehicle turning templates and detailed intersection analysis has been completed within this TIA.

While the proposed development does not propose any new private approaches to City streets, certain relevant sections of the City's *Private Approach By-Law* (PABL) have been reviewed for each access.

New Integrated Treatment Centre Loop and Adjacent Surface Parking
The proposed Integrated Treatment Centre building will include a loop that accesses Ring Road (N-S), with access points approximately 35m and 95m north of Ring Road (E-W), measuring from the nearest edge of Ring Road (E-W) to the nearest edge of the access. The northerly end of the loop will operate as an egress, allowing both outbound left turns and outbound right turns. The southerly end of the loop will operate as an ingress, permitting all inbound movements. The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)'s *Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads* includes minimum corner clearance requirements for accesses to different classes of roadways, that are in the vicinity of cross roads. Treating all sections of Ring Road as a collector, TAC's *Geometric Design Guide* identifies a minimum corner clearance requirement of 20m. This requirement is met by the proposed loop.

The loop will provide access to the main entrance to the clinical portion of the Integrated Treatment Centre building, as well as a surface parking lot with approximately 40 parking spaces. The nearest edge of the surface parking drive aisle is located approximately 15m from the nearest edge of Ring Road (N-S). TAC's *Geometric Design Guide* includes minimum clear throat requirements for collector and arterial accesses to certain land uses. The light industrial land use has been considered, as it is the land use closest to the proposed hospital use. A minimum clear throat requirement of 15m is identified for light industrial uses between 10,000 and 45,000 m^2 in area, and therefore the requirement is met.

Section 25(1)(d) of the City's PABL identifies a maximum permitted width of 7.5m for one-way private approaches. Measuring at the back of sidewalk on Ring Road (N-S), the one-way ingress

of the loop is approximately 7.5m wide, which meets the requirements of Section 25(1)(d). The one-way egress of the loop is approximately 8.5m wide, and therefore would not meet the requirements of Section 25(1)(d). Ring Road is not a municipal road and the accesses are not subject to the PABL. Required turning movements are reviewed in Section 4.1.2.

New Integrated Treatment Centre Loading Access
The proposed Integrated Treatment Centre building will also include a loading access to Ring Road (E-W), which will be designed to accommodate a Heavy Single Unit (HSU) design vehicle. The nearest edge of the loading access is located approximately 17m from the nearest edge of the non-emergency access.

Measuring from the back of sidewalk along Ring Road (E-W), the loading access is approximately 7.5m wide, meeting the requirements of Section 25(1)(c).

Proposed Reconfiguration of CHEO Non-Emergency Access
As part of the proposed development, the non-emergency access to Ring Road (N-S) will be converted from two-way access to an ingress only, with separate entrances for the CHEO building and the Integrated Treatment Centre drop-off for school buses and parents. Vehicles will continue to the CHEO entrance or turn into the drop-off lane approximately 45m from Ring Road (N-S). This meets the minimum clear throat requirement of 15m.

Entering the ingress, the lane serving the bus loading area is approximately 3.6m in width, while the lane serving the CHEO entrance and fire route lane is approximately 6.0m in width. The two lanes are separated by an approximately 1.1m-wide buffer. The fire route/CHEO lane has a width of 6m after the split where school buses and parents can turn into the school drop-off lane. The school drop-off lane is approximately 6.0m in width. This allows for a 3.0m-wide drive aisle and 3.0m-wide lane for school bus or parent drop-offs.

Measuring from the back of sidewalk on Ring Road (N-S), the proposed ingress is approximately 17m wide. This does not meet the requirements of Section 25(1)(d) of the PABL. However, Section 25(1)(e) of the PABL identifies that private approaches in excess of 9m in width at the edge or roadway may be permitted for off-street bus loading areas, transport loading areas, and stations operated by the Ottawa Fire Department. As the ingress includes a lane to accommodate school bus queueing, this access is acceptable.

The proposed configuration of the ingress will be able to accommodate a queue of 12 school buses from the front of the school bus drop-off zone to the edge of Ring Road (N-S), meeting the requirements of Infrastructure Ontario. The design bus vehicle considered is a Class A School Bus, which has a length of 8m, width of 2.4m, and height of 3m. The proposed parent drop-off area further downstream of the bus drop-off zone will be able to accommodate a queue of seven passenger vehicles.

The non-emergency access to Ring Road (E-W) will be converted from a two-way access to an egress only, with separate southbound left turn and right turn lanes. The drive aisle approaching the egress is approximately 7.9m in width. This marginally exceeds the requirements of Section 25(1)(d) of the PABL.

2.5 Development-Generated Travel Demand

The school that is proposed within the subject development currently exists and is operating to the north of the subject site within the CHEO campus. New trips will not be generated by the school as

it is expected to accommodate the same number of students and staff as the existing school. The relocated school traffic is shown in Section 3.3.2.

2.5.1 Trip Generation

The gross floor area of the proposed Integrated Treatment Centre building is approximately 21,700 m^2 (233,580 ft^2). Within the proposed building the relocated school accounts for roughly 1,625 m^2 (17,500 ft^2) of the total GFA. The number of peak hour person trips generated by the proposed hospital clinic has been estimated using the regression equations for the rates associated with the Hospital land use, as outlined in the *ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition*. As the *ITE Trip Generation Manual* produces trip generation rates in vehicle trips, a person trip conversion factor of 1.28 has been applied. The subsequent estimated number of person trips to be generated by the proposed development is shown in **Table 4**.

Table 4: Proposed Development – Peak Hour Trip Generation

Land Use	ITE Code	Units	AM Peak Hour (pph[1])			PM Peak Hour (pph)		
			IN	OUT	TOT	IN	OUT	TOT
Hospital	610	216,080 ft^2	269	132	*401*	136	251	*387*

1. pph: Person Trips per Hour

The *TRANS O-D Survey Report* identifies the subject site as being located within the Alta Vista district. Considering all trips travelling to/within Alta Vista during the AM peak hour and all trips travelling from/within Alta Vista during the PM peak hour (i.e. trips associated with commuters travelling to work in the morning, and from work in the afternoon). Considering these trips, the observed mode shares for the Alta Vista district can be summarized as follows:

- Auto Driver: 61% in AM peak, 62% in PM peak;
- Auto Passenger: 15% in AM peak, 15% in PM peak;
- Transit: 16% in AM peak, 15% in PM peak;
- Cyclist: 2% in AM peak, 2% in PM peak;
- Pedestrian: 6% in AM peak, 6% in PM peak.

Site specific mode share data is not available from CHEO. The mode shares for the proposed Integrated Treatment Centre development are assumed to follow one set for both peak hours. The assumed shares generally follow the observed values above. This can be summarized as 60% driver, 15% passenger, 15% transit, 5% cyclist, and 5% pedestrian. A breakdown of the site-generated trips by mode share is included in **Table 5**.

Table 5: Proposed Development – Peak Hour Trips by Mode Share

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		IN	OUT	TOT	IN	OUT	TOT
Hospital Person Trips		**269**	**132**	***401***	**136**	**251**	***387***
Auto Driver	60%	162	79	*241*	82	151	*233*
Auto Passenger	15%	40	20	*60*	20	38	*58*
Transit	15%	40	20	*60*	20	38	*58*
Cyclist	5%	14	6	*20*	7	12	*19*
Pedestrian	5%	13	7	*20*	7	12	*19*

From the previous tables, the proposed hospital clinic development is estimated to generate 401 person trips (including 241 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 387 person trips (including 233 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

2.5.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The distribution of trips generated by the proposed development to the study area network has been derived, based on the peak hour volumes at Ring Road/Hospital Link Road, Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place, and Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road. For the purposes of this study, approximately 15% of peak hour trips have been assigned to the new Integrated Treatment Centre loop to Ring Road (N-S). The other 85% of peak hour trips have been assigned to the parking garage access to Ring Road (E-W).

The distribution and assignment percentages of inbound and outbound site-generated vehicle trips are shown in **Figure 9** and **Figure 10**, respectively. The site-generated peak hour traffic volumes to the study area intersections are shown in **Figure 11**.

Figure 9: Trip Distribution and Assignment Percentages, Inbound Trips




Figure 10: Trip Distribution and Assignment Percentages, Outbound Trips





Figure 11: Site-Generated Traffic Volumes



2.6 Exemptions Review

This module reviews possible exemptions from the final TIA, as outlined in the *2017 TIA Guidelines* and *2023 Revisions to the TIA Guidelines*. The applicable exemptions for this site are shown in **Table 6**.

Table 6: TIA Exemptions

Module	Element	Exemption Criteria	Status
Design Review Component			
4.1 Development Design	*4.1.2* Circulation and Access	• Required for site plan control and zoning by-law amendment applications	Not Exempt
	4.1.3 New Street Networks	• Required for draft plan of subdivision applications	Exempt
4.2 Parking	*All elements*	• Required for site plan control and zoning by-law amendment applications	Not Exempt
Network Impact Component			
4.5 Transportation Demand Management	*All elements*	• Required when proposed development generates more than 60 person trips	Not Exempt
4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Calming	*All elements*	• If all of the following criteria are met: 1. Access is provided to a collector or local roadway 2. Application is for zoning by-law amendment or draft plan of subdivision 3. Proposed development generated more than 75 vehicle trips 4. Site trip infiltration is expected, and site-generated traffic will increase peak hour volumes by 50% or more along the route between the site and an arterial roadway 5. The subject street segment is adjacent to two or more of the following significant sensitive land uses: ○ School (within 250m walking distance) ○ Park ○ Retirement/older adult facility ○ Licensed child care centre ○ Community centre ○ 50+% of adjacent properties along the route(s) are occupied by residential lands and at least ten dwellings are occupied	Exempt
4.7 Transit	*4.7.1* Transit Route Capacity	• Required when proposed development generates more than 75 transit trips	Exempt
	4.7.2 Transit Priority Requirements	• Required when proposed development generates more than 75 vehicle trips	Not Exempt
4.8 Network Concept	*All elements*	• Required when proposed development generates more than 200 person trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by the established zoning	Exempt
4.9 Intersection Design	*All elements*	• Required when proposed development generates more than 75 vehicle trips	Not Exempt

Based on the foregoing, the following modules are included in the TIA report:

Design Review Component
- Module 4.1: Development Design
- Module 4.2: Parking
- Module 4.3: Boundary Streets
- Module 4.4: Access Design

Network Impact Component
- Module 4.5: Transportation Demand Management
- Module 4.7: Transit
- Module 4.9: Intersection Design

3.0 BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMAND

3.1 General Background Growth Rate

A review of snapshots of the City's *Strategic Long-Range Model* has been conducted which is included in **Appendix F**. Comparing snapshots of the 2011 and 2031 AM peak hour traffic volumes, the *Strategic Long-Range Model* showed a background growth rate of roughly 0.5% along Smyth Road. A background growth rate of 1% was selected to be conservative and to be consistent with other approved transportation studies in the study area that were completed in recent years.

3.2 Other Area Developments

A review of other area development traffic has been conducted, per the developments listed in Section 2.2.2. Traffic generated by these developments have been considered in this analysis and added to the future background traffic volumes, as they are currently under construction, approved, or in the approval process. Relevant excerpts of the traffic studies associated with the developments below are included in **Appendix G**.

355 and 374 Everest Private
The proposed residential development is expected to generate 85 and 92 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site was anticipated to be completed in 2020 and the site generated traffic have been added to the 2027 and 2032 background traffic.

401 Smyth Road (Parking Structure)
The new parking garage with 1,050 vehicle parking spaces is anticipated to accommodate new vehicle trips from the Integrated Treatment Centre building and displaced parking for Lots B and E. The flow of traffic to the parking garage is studied in this TIA.

451 Smyth Road
The planned medical research facility development is expected to generate 243 and 231 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site is anticipated to be completed in 2026 and the site generated traffic has been added to the 2027 and 2032 background traffic.

700 Coronation Avenue
No traffic study was prepared for this development, so it is assumed to generate an insignificant amount of vehicle traffic.

1740-1760 St. Laurent Boulevard
The proposed mixed-use development is expected to generate 150 and 149 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site was anticipated to be completed in 2024 and the site generated traffic has been added to the 2027 and 2032 background traffic.

1967 Riverside Drive
The proposed seniors living development is expected to generate 49 and 68 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site is anticipated to be completed in 2026 and the site generated traffic has been added to the 2027 and 2032 background traffic.

1971 St. Laurent Boulevard
The proposed residential development is expected to generate 72 and 88 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site is anticipated to be completed in 2030 and the site generated traffic has been added to the 2032 background traffic.

2025 Othello Avenue
The proposed residential development is expected to generate 93 and 105 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The site was anticipated to be completed in 2023 and the site generated traffic has been added to the 2027 and 2032 background traffic.

3.3 Relocated Traffic

3.3.1 Relocated Parking Traffic

As an initial phase of the proposed development, a parking garage will be constructed on the land currently occupied by Parking Lot E and the clinic and school will be constructed on the land currently occupied by Parking Lot B. The parking currently provided by both of these existing parking lots will be accommodated in the parking garage. As shown in the October 25, 2022 Transportation Study completed by Stantec, Parking Lot B includes 286 parking spaces and Parking Lot E includes 270 parking spaces.


The redistribution of the vehicle traffic to and from Parking Lot E was estimated based on the traffic counts completed on February 20, 2020 and March 20, 2024 at the Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Emergency Access intersection. The 2020 count included two-way traffic going to and from Parking Lot E while the 2024 count does not include this Parking Lot E related traffic as the parking lot was closed for construction at the time of count. By taking the difference of vehicles turning in and out of the CHEO Emergency Access from the two-counts it is possible to get a rough estimate of the traffic associated with the parking lot during the peak hours.

Given Parking Lots B and E provide a roughly equivalent number of parking spaces it is assumed that an equivalent number of vehicles will be displaced as part of the developments proposed in each of the parking lots.

The distribution of the trips displaced from Parking Lot B has been completed using the assumptions shown in Section 2.5.2.

The redistributed parking lot traffic is shown in **Figure 12**.

Figure 12:Relocated Traffic From Parking Lots B and E



3.3.2 Relocated School Traffic

The school that is proposed within the subject development currently exists and is operating to the north of the subject site within the CHEO campus. The space dedicated to the school in the proposed building is roughly 1,625 m² (17,500 ft²) in size. The school is operational from 8:30 am and 3:00 pm. Staff are expected to arrive for work between 7:50 am and 8:15 am and will depart between 3:00 pm and 3:30 pm. No after school program is provided within the facility.

Based on discussions with the client, the existing school to be relocated has 31 students currently enrolled with a maximum capacity of 35 students. There are 5 classrooms that generally serve junior and senior kindergarten children. Grades 1 and 2 are offered, but enrollment is rare. The students are expected to arrive within 12 buses with one or two students being dropped off by

private vehicle. The 23 employees, including teachers and educational assistants, are expected to arrive and depart using the mode share identified in **Table 7** with roughly 60%, or 14 staff, arriving/departing the site as an auto driver.

Based on the foregoing assumptions, the estimated trips associated with the school is summarized in the following table.

Table 7: Composition of School Generated Vehicle Traffic

Traffic Generator	Time Period (vehicles)					
	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	IN	OUT	TOT	IN	OUT	TOT
Teachers/Staff	14	0	14	0	14	14
Student Drop-off/pick-up	2	2	4	2	2	4
Busses	12	12	24	12	12	24
TOTAL	**28**	**14**	**42**	**14**	**28**	**42**

The 42 AM and PM vehicle trips generated by the existing school will be redistributed to reflect the relocation of the school and the nearest parking lot for employees of the school. It is assumed that the staff currently park in Lots C or D as they are the closest parking lots to the existing school. It is assumed that staff will park at the new parking garage due to its proximity to the new school and the available walking paths connecting the school to the parking garage.

The redistributed school traffic is shown in **Figure 13**.

3.3.3 Alterations to CHEO Non-Emergency Access

As part of the proposed development the CHEO Non-Emergency Access will be changed from a two-way private road between Ring Road N/S and Ring Road E/W to a one-way road with ingress on Ring Road N/S and egress to Ring Road E/W. To account for traffic that was using the CHEO Non-Emergency Access from Ring Road E/W to drop-off patients, all remaining inbound turning vehicles at the CHEO Non-Emergency Access/Ring Road E/W intersection has been relocated to be inbound at the CHEO Non-Emergency Access/Ring Road N/S intersection and all westbound traffic coming out of the CHEO Non-Emergency Access/Ring Road (N-S) intersection will be relocated to being outbound at the CHEO Non-Emergency Access/Ring Road E/W intersection.

The redistributed traffic due to the conversion of the CHEO Non-Emergency Access is shown in **Figure 14**.

3.3.4 Net Relocated Traffic

A summary of all traffic relocated as part of the proposed development is shown in the following figure. Relocated traffic has been added to total traffic figures shown in Section 3.4.

Figure 13: Relocated Traffic From the Existing School




Figure 14: Remaining Displacement





Figure 15: Net Relocated Traffic



3.4 Future Traffic Conditions

The figures listed below present the following future traffic conditions:

- Background traffic volumes in 2027 are shown in **Figure 16**;
- Background traffic volumes in 2032 are shown in **Figure 17**;
- Total traffic volumes in 2027 are shown in **Figure 18**;
- Total traffic volumes in 2032 are shown in **Figure 19**.

Figure 16: 2027 Background Traffic




Figure 17: 2032 Background Traffic




Figure 18: 2027 Total Traffic





Figure 19: 2032 Total Traffic



3.5 Demand Rationalization

A review of the existing and background intersection operations has been conducted using Synchro 11, to determine if and when traffic volumes exceed capacity within the study area. The intersection parameters used in the analysis are consistent with the City's *TIA Guidelines* (Saturated Flow Rate: 1,800 vphpl, Peak Hour Factor: 0.9 in existing conditions and 1.0 in future conditions). Signal timing plans have been obtained from the City for the signalized intersections at Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place and Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road, and are included in **Appendix H**. Per Exhibit 22 of the *Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) Guidelines* (produced by IBI Group in October 2015), the target vehicular level of service (Auto LOS) is an Auto LOS E within 300m of a school, which equates to a maximum vehicle-to-capacity (v/c) ratio of 1.0 at signalized intersections, and a maximum approach delay of 50 seconds at unsignalized intersections.

3.5.1 Existing Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the existing traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 8** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix I**.

Table 8: Existing Traffic Operations

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt
Ring Road/ Hospital Link Road(u)	14 sec.	B	EB	10 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (N-S)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	12 sec.	B	NB	11 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (N-S)/ Ring Road (E-W)(u)	13 sec.	B	WB	15 sec.	B	WB
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/ South Haven Place(s)	0.72	C	WB	0.82	D	SBR
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Emergency Access(u)	12 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ General Hospital Access Road*	24 sec.	C	WBL	**69 sec.** 0.98	**F**	**WBL**
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road(s)	0.62	B	EBL	0.83	D	SBR

(u): Unsignalized intersection
(s): Signalized intersection
*: Modeled as a two-way stop intersection on Ring Road (E-W) due to limitations in Synchro software

All movements at study area intersections, except for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection, are currently operating with acceptable LOS under existing traffic conditions.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection has roughly 30m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 54m for the southbound right turn movement and 45m for the southbound left turn movement.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection has roughly 35m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 75m for the southbound right turn movement and 50m for the southbound left turn movement.

The westbound left turn lane at the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection operates with a LOS F during the PM peak hour. The westbound left turn lane has a 95th percentile queue length of 69m which exceeds the available storage of 40m. The westbound left turn lane has a v/c ratio of 0.98 during the PM peak hour.

3.5.2 2027 Background Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2027 background traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 9** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix I**.

Table 9: 2027 Background Traffic Operations

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt
Ring Road/ Hospital Link Road(u)	13 sec.	B	EB	12 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (N-S)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	13 sec.	B	NB	12 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (N-S)/ Ring Road (E-W)(u)	14 sec.	B	WB	15 sec.	B	WB
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/ South Haven Place(s)	0.69	B	WB	0.84	D	SBR
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ General Hospital Access Road*	21 sec.	C	WBL	45 sec.	E	WBL
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road(s)	0.59	A	EBL	0.83	D	SBR

(u): Unsignalized intersection
(s): Signalized intersection
*: Modeled as a two-way stop intersection on Ring Road (E-W) due to limitations in Synchro software

All movements at study area intersections operate with acceptable LOS under 2027 background traffic conditions.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection has roughly 30m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 58m for the southbound right turn movement and 44m for the southbound left turn movement.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection has roughly 35m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 71m for the southbound right turn movement and 46m for the southbound left turn movement.

The westbound left turn lane at the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection improves to a LOS E during the PM peak hour due to the PHF of 1.0 being used for future conditions. The westbound left turn lane has a 95th percentile queue length of 52m which exceeds the available storage of 40m.

3.5.3 2032 Background Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2032 background traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 10** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix I**.

Table 10: 2032 Background Traffic Operations

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt
Ring Road/ Hospital Link Road(u)	13 sec.	B	EB	12 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (N-S)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	13 sec.	B	NB	12 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (N-S)/ Ring Road (E-W)(u)	14 sec.	B	WB	15 sec.	B	WB
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/ South Haven Place(s)	0.71	C	WB	0.84	D	SBR
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ General Hospital Access Road*	21 sec.	C	WBL	45 sec.	E	WBL
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road(s)	0.60	A	EBL	0.83	D	SBR

(u): Unsignalized intersection
(s): Signalized intersection
*: Modeled as a two-way stop intersection on Ring Road (E-W) due to limitations in Synchro software

All movements at study area intersections operate with acceptable LOS under 2032 background traffic conditions.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection has roughly 30m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 60m for the southbound right turn movement and 44m for the southbound left turn movement.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection has roughly 35m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 72m for the southbound right turn movement and 46m for the southbound left turn movement.

4.0 ANALYSIS

4.1 Development Design

4.1.1 Design for Sustainable Modes

Pedestrian connections will be provided between the building entrances and the proposed Multi-Use Pathways (MUP) along the north side of Ring Road (E-W) and the east side of Ring Road (N-S) along the site frontages. The proposed MUP along the site frontage on the north side of Ring

Road (E-W) will connect to the approved MUP on the north side of Ring Road (E-W) to be constructed as part of the parking garage development. The Integrated Treatment Centre building will connect to the CHEO Hospital via above ground pedestrian facilities and an underground tunnel. Pedestrian connections between Ring Road (E-W) and the staff entrance to the Integrated Treatment Centre will include a stairway as well as accessible ramps/slopes.

The existing PXO Type D along Ring Road (N-S) is proposed to be maintained at its current location. Based on the 8-hour traffic volumes from the March 19, 2024 traffic count at the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection, the northern leg of the intersection has an 8-hour traffic volume of 2,847 vehicles. Given the posted speed limit of 40km/h, Table 7 of the OTM Book 15 was used to confirm the required Type of PXO. Although the current roadway has a road platform of 9.5m and an effective 3 lane cross-section, it is recommended the roadway be narrowed for a total road platform width of 7.5m. A Type D PXO is appropriate for this width.

A total of 40 bicycle parking spaces will be provided throughout the subject site. A further review of the parking provisions with respect to the City's *Zoning By-law* (ZBL) is provided in the subsequent section. There are 10 uncovered and 20 covered spaces near the south entrance to the clinic. The remaining bike parking is proposed near the northeast corner of the building and the southeast corner of the existing CHEO building.

The nearest bus stops to the subject site are discussed in Section 2.1.6 and shown in **Figure 4**. OC Transpo's service design guidelines for peak period service is to provide service within a five-minute (400m) walk of home, work, or school for 95% of urban residents. All of the transit stops outlined in Section 2.1.6 are within the 400m distance and provide service to transit routes #45, #55, #609, and #645.

As part of the proposed development transit stop #1808 will be removed and consolidated into bus stop #7045 with the one-way conversion of the main CHEO access mandated by Infrastructure Ontario. Routes 45 and 55 will have to be re-routed to circle the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection and access stop #7045 in the EB/SB direction. The new bus stop platform is 15m long and 4.5m wide, which can accommodate a 2.2m deep shelter, a 1.8m walkway, and a 0.5m clearance behind the shelter for maintenance.

Para Transpo pick up/drop off areas are provided at the CHEO main entrance and the northern Integrated Care Building entrance. The proposed Para Transpo pick up/drop off areas will replace the existing pick up/drop off area that had to be removed due to the one-way conversion of the main CHEO access. The CHEO pick up/drop off area will be provided east of the existing drop off-loop and west of the parking area. The Integrated Care Building Para Transpo pick up/drop off area will be provided within the bus and parent drop-off lane near the L2 main entrance.

A review of the City's *Transportation Demand Management (TDM)-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist* has been conducted. All required TDM-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist are met. A copy of this checklist is included in **Appendix J**. In addition to the required measures, the proposed development also meets the following 'basic' or 'better' measures as defined in the *TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist*.

- Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations;
- Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort;

- Provide safe, direct, and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops;
- Provide lighting, landscaping, and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks, and trails.

4.1.2 Circulation and Access

Garbage bins will be wheeled from a garbage room on the ground floor to the loading area accessed from Ring Road (E-W). The fire route for the development is provided along the Integrated Treatment Centre new loop access to Ring Road (N-S) and along the CHEO Non-Emergency access.

The following turning movement scenarios were studied:

- A HSU design vehicle performing all necessary movements to enter the site and access the loading bay from Ring Road (E-W);
- A City Transit Bus turning at the CHEO Non-Emergency accesses;
- A small school bus at the CHEO Non-Emergency accesses;
- A fire truck and paratransport vehicle at the new loop access to Ring Road (N-S).


The studied turning movement scenarios are shown in **Figures 20** to **30**.

4.2 Parking

The subject site is located in Area B on both Schedule 1 and Schedule 1A of the City of Ottawa's *Zoning By-Law* (ZBL). Part 4 – Parking, Queueing, and Loading Provisions of the ZBL has been reviewed to confirm the parking and loading requirements for the proposed development, and Section 3 of the City's *Accessibility Design Standards* has been reviewed to confirm the accessible parking requirements. The various parking/loading requirements versus the proposed parking and loading supply are summarized in **Table 11**.


Table 11: Parking Review

Land Use	Rate	Units	Required	Provided
Minimum Vehicle Parking (Section 101 of ZBL)				
Hospital	1.4 spaces per 100 m² GFA	20,075 m²	281	1,050 (Ph1A)
School, other	1.5 spaces per classroom (including portables)	5	8	65 (Ph1B)
		Total	**289**	**1,115**
Minimum Bicycle Parking (Section 111 of ZBL)				
Hospital	1.0 spaces per 1,000 m² GFA	20,075 m²	20	40
School	1.0 spaces per 100 m² GFA	1,625 m²	16	
		Total	**36**	**40**
Minimum Loading (Section 113 of ZBL)				
Hospital	2 spaces when GFA is between 10,000 and 24,999 m²	20,075 m²	2	2
School	1 space when GFA is between 1,000 and 9,999 m²	1,625 m²	1	12 bus queuing
		Total	**3**	**2**



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



HSU - Heavy Single Unit Truck

Overall Length	11.500m
Overall Width	2.600m
Overall Body Height	3.650m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.445m
Track Width	2.600m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	14.100m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (HSU)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 20


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 20, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



HSU - Heavy Single Unit Truck

Overall Length	11.500m
Overall Width	2.600m
Overall Body Height	3.650m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.445m
Track Width	2.600m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	14.100m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (HSU)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 21


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 21, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier




NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



B12 - Standard Single Unit Bus

Overall Length	12.200m
Overall Width	2.400m
Overall Body Height	3.084m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.319m
Track Width	2.400m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	12.900m



SAF T - Small School Bus (ASHTO)

Overall Length	8.520m
Overall Width	2.438m
Overall Body Height	3.084m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.325m
Track Width	2.438m
Lock-to-lock time	6.00s
Wall to Wall Turning Radius	7.925m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENTS (SCHOOL BUS / CITY BUS)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAY 2026 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 22


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_18128\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 22, Apr 11, 2025 - 4:01pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



B12 - Standard Single Unit Bus

Overall Length	12.200m
Overall Width	2.400m
Overall Body Height	3.084m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.319m
Track Width	2.400m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	12.900m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENTS (CITY BUS)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 23


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 23, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier




NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



A-BUS - Articulated Bus

Overall Length	18.300m
Overall Width	2.400m
Overall Body Height	2.733m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.320m
Track Width	2.400m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	13.100m



SAF T - Small School Bus (ASHTO)

Overall Length	8.520m
Overall Width	2.438m
Overall Body Height	3.084m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.325m
Track Width	2.438m
Lock-to-lock time	6.00s
Wall to Wall Turning Radius	7.925m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENTS (SCHOOL BUS / CITY BUS)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAY 2026 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 24


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_18128\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 24, Apr 11, 2025 - 4:01pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



A-BUS - Articulated Bus

Overall Length	18.300m
Overall Width	2.400m
Overall Body Height	2.733m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.320m
Track Width	2.400m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	13.100m

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENTS (CITY BUS)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 25


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 25, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



Pumper Fire Truck

Overall Length	12.192m
Overall Width	2.489m
Overall Body Height	2.361m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.200m
Track Width	2.489m
Lock-to-lock time	5.00s
Max Wheel Angle	45.00°

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (FIRE TRUCK)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 26


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 26, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



Pumper Fire Truck

Overall Length	12.192m
Overall Width	2.489m
Overall Body Height	2.361m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.200m
Track Width	2.489m
Lock-to-lock time	5.00s
Max Wheel Angle	45.00°

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (FIRE TRUCK)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 27


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 27, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



AllStar Chevrolet 4500

	meters
Width	: 2.44
Track	: 1.96
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 34.2

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (PARA-TRANSPO)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 28


SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 28, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier



NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



AllStar Chevrolet 4500

	meters
Width	: 2.44
Track	: 1.96
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 34.2

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (PARA-TRANSPO)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAR 2025 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 29

SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_11748\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 29, Apr 11, 2025 - 3:57pm, rhillier




NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com



AllStar Chevrolet 4500

	meters
Width	: 2.44
Track	: 1.96
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 34.2

CHEO


TURNING MOVEMENT (PARA-TRANSPO)


SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAY 2026 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 30

SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_18128\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 30, Apr 11, 2025 - 4:01pm, rhillier





A-BUS - Articulated Bus

Overall Length	18.300m
Overall Width	2.400m
Overall Body Height	2.733m
Min Body Ground Clearance	0.320m
Track Width	2.400m
Lock-to-lock time	4.00s
Curb to Curb Turning Radius	13.100m

NOVATECH
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com

CHEO

TURNING MOVEMENTS (CITY BUS)

SCALE 1 : 500 (0, 5m, 10m, 20m)

DATE MAY 2026 | JOB 122210 | FIGURE FIGURE 31

SHT11X17.DWG - 279mmX432mm

C:\Temp\AcPublish_18128\122210-TM.dwg, Fig 31, Apr 11, 2025 - 4:01pm, rhillier

As shown in the October 25, 2022, Transportation Study completed by Stantec, Parking Lot B includes 286 parking spaces and Parking Lot E includes 270 parking spaces. The approved parking garage in Parking Lot E will provide approximately 1,050 parking spaces and within the Integrated Treatment Centre site an additional 65 parking spaces are provided. The total number of parking spaces in the existing Parking Lots B and E plus the additional parking required by the ZBL for the Integrated Treatment Centre building totals 849 parking spaces. The approved parking garage therefore exceeds the parking requirements of the ZBL.

Based on the previous table, the minimum parking requirement will be met.

Per Section 4.2.3 of the Stantec 2022 Transportation Study, the estimated parking generation rate for CHEO is approximately 3 spaces/100m². This is more than double the minimum zoning rate. Based on the observed rate, approximately 600 parking spaces are required to meet the demand for the new Integrated Treatment Centre clinic. With the 286 Lot B displaced spaces and the 270 Lot E displaced spaces, this amount to a total demand of 1,156 spaces. The 1,050 spaces in the approved Ph1A parking garage and the proposed 65 spaces for the Ph1B Integrated Treatment Centre site plan provide a total of 1,115 spaces, or 96% of the demand.

The proposed development is considered to meet the required loading spaces as per Section 111 of the City's ZBL, due to the school bus lane to the north of the Integrated Treatment Centre building and the proposed loading area.

For parking lots with over 1,000 parking spaces the City's Accessibility Design Standards require 11 +1% of the total parking spaces provided be a combination of Type A and Type B accessible parking spaces. Within the Integrated Treatment Centre site 14 Type A and 14 Type B parking spaces are provided. As 11 Type A and 11 Type B parking spaces are required for 1,115 total parking spaces this requirement is met.

4.3 Boundary Streets

This section provides a review of the boundary streets Ring Road (N-S), and Ring Road (E-W), using complete streets principles. The *MMLOS Guidelines* were used to evaluate the levels of service for each alternative mode of transportation, based on existing conditions. Using Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines*, the boundary streets have been evaluated based on the targets for roadways within the General Urban Area.

A detailed segment MMLOS review of the boundary streets is included in **Appendix K**. A summary of the segment MMLOS analysis is provided below in **Table 12**.

Table 12: Segment MMLOS Summary

Segment	PLOS		BLOS		TLOS		TkLOS	
	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target
Ring Road (N-S)	**F**	C	D	D	D	-	B	-
Ring Road (E-W)	**F**		D		D		B	

The results of the segment MMLOS review can be summarized as follows:

- No boundary streets meet the target pedestrian level of service (PLOS);
- Ring Road meets the target bicycle level of service (BLOS).

Further discussion of possible mitigations for the failing PLOS and BLOS scores are included below.

Pedestrian Level of Service
The east side of Ring Road (N-S) meets the target PLOS C, as an approximately 2.0m-wide sidewalk is provided on this side of the roadway. The west side of Ring Road (N-S) and both sides of Ring Road (E-W) do not meet the target PLOS, as sidewalks are either provided only in certain sections or not provided at all. It should be noted that the proposed site plan (shown in **Appendix A**) includes 3.0m-wide multi-use pathways, with boulevard widths of at least 0.5m. This will improve the east side of Ring Road (N-S) to a PLOS C and north side of Ring Road (E-W) to a PLOS A, per Exhibit 4 of the *MMLOS Guidelines*.

4.4 Transportation Demand Management

4.4.1 Context for TDM

The proposed development will consist of the Integrated Treatment Centre building which has a GFA of 21,700m² and includes medical clinic and school uses. The development includes 65 parking spaces within the subject site with an additional 1,050 parking spaces being provided within a parking garage that is being constructed as part of an initial phase.

4.4.2 Need and Opportunity

Smyth Road is designated as 'Evolving Neighbourhood' and 'Corridor – Mainstreet' on Schedule B3 of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, and the site is located within the Alta Vista/ Faircrest Heights/Riverview Park Secondary Plan area. As discussed in Section 2.5.1, the peak hour driver share assumed for the proposed development (60%) is generally consistent with the observed peak hour drivers shares for the Alta Vista district (61% in AM peak hour and 62% in PM peak hour). If the proposed development achieved a 70% driver share rather than 60%, this would translate to an additional 40 vehicle trips to/from the development during each peak hour. It is anticipated that the 60% driver share is attainable.

4.4.3 TDM Program

A review of the City's *TDM Checklist* has been conducted by the proponent. A copy of the completed non-residential checklist is included in **Appendix K**. The list of measures to be considered are summarized as follows:

- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
- Provide relevant transit schedules and route maps at main building entrances;
- Charge for staff and visitor parking; and
- Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new employees.

In addition to the above measures CHEO has a Security & Parking Services department that includes a Parking Attendant and a Manager. While their role is not dedicated to TDM they do have a mandate to maximize onsite parking availability and ensure compliance with accessibility requirements, and provide recommendations to Management on ways to improve parking access for both the public and staff.

CHEO also plans on continuing its partnerships with Travel Wise and OC Transpo in an effort to reduce car dependency and increase the use of non-auto mode shares. As a Provincially Funded

Organization, CHEO is not funded for considerations such as Transit Fare Incentives, Private Transit Services, or other TDM measures.

4.5 Transit

Based on the trip generation estimates presented in Section 2.5.1, the proposed development is anticipated to generate the following number of transit trips:

- AM Peak Hour: 60 transit trips, including 20 boarding and 40 alighting;
- PM Peak Hour: 58 transit trips, including 38 boarding and 20 alighting.

Transit trips generated by the proposed development are anticipated to board and alight via routes 45 and 55 at bus stops #7045, #7072, #7231, #7236, #7237 and #7238. Bus stops #1808 will need to be relocated to bus stop #7045 within the subject site due to the changes being proposed to the CHEO non-emergency access. As both routes 45 and 55 operate on 15 to 30-minute headways during peak hours, it is expected that they can accommodate the additional transit trips generated by the proposed development.

It is acknowledged that the one-way conversion of the main CHEO access negatively impacts the travel time of Route 45 and 55. It adds an additional 500m of travel distance to Route 45 which translates to approximately 2-3 minutes of delay depending on travel speed and intersection delay. It has been agreed with staff that there are no transit priority measures such as queue jump lanes or transit signal timing to easily address the delay and none are proposed.

4.6 Intersection Design

4.6.1 Intersection MMLOS

The following is a review of the MMLOS of the signalized intersections within the study area (Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place and Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road), using complete streets principles. These intersections have been evaluated based on existing conditions, using the MMLOS targets for intersections within the General Urban Area.

A detailed intersection MMLOS review of the two signalized intersections is included in **Appendix K**. A summary of the intersection MMLOS analysis is provided below in **Table 13**.

Table 13: Intersection MMLOS Summary

Segment	PLOS		BLOS		TLOS		TkLOS	
	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target
Smyth Road/ Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place	**F**	A	**F**	B	E	-	**F**	D
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road	**F**	C	**F**	C	F		B	

The results of the intersection MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:

- Neither study area intersection meets the target PLOS;
- Neither study area intersection meets the target BLOS;
- Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road meets the target TkLOS, while Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place does not.

Each intersection is discussed further below, relating to the modes where the target levels of service are not met.

Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place
The intersection does not meet the target PLOS A, BLOS B, TLOS D, or TkLOS D.

Per the *MMLOS Guidelines*, every 3.5m in crossing distance is equivalent to one lane crossed. None of the approaches meet the target PLOS A, as all except the south approach have cross-sections with an equivalent of five to seven lanes crossed. The south approach (i.e. crossing South Haven Place) achieves PLOS C, with a cross-section equivalent to three lanes crossed. There is limited opportunity in improving the intersection PLOS without reducing the number of travel lanes at the north, east, and west approaches. The east approach meets the City's vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks (greater than 400,000 vehicle/pedestrian conflicts over an eight-hour period). This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

The north, east, and west approaches do not meet the target BLOS B based on left turn characteristics. Exhibit 12 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies that these approaches require two-stage left turn bike boxes to meet the target BLOS B. Implementing bike boxes would require restricting right turns on red (RTOR) on the east and west approaches. This is identified for the City's consideration.

The north approach does not meet the target BLOS B based on right turn characteristics. Exhibit 12 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies that a bike lane or higher order facility is required to meet the target BLOS. This is identified for the City's consideration.

The west approach does not meet the target TkLOS D. This represents the movement of eastbound heavy vehicles on Smyth Road turning right onto South Haven Place, which is a residential street with traffic management measures already implemented (i.e. no through traffic). Therefore, increasing the curb radii to accommodate trucks is not recommended.

Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road
The intersection does not meet the target PLOS C, BLOS C, or TLOS D.

No approaches meet the target PLOS C, as the approaches have cross-sections with an equivalent of six to eight lanes crossed. There is limited opportunity in improving the intersection PLOS without reducing the number of travel lanes at each approach. The east and west approaches meet the City's vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks. This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

The north and west approaches do not meet the target BLOS C based on left turn characteristics. Exhibit 12 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies that two-stage left turn accommodations are required to meet the target BLOS C. For cyclists turning left from the north approach, this would involve a bike box in front of the eastbound stop bar. For cyclists turning left from the west approach, this would involve a jug handle facility and cyclist crossing signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.

4.6.2 2027 Total Traffic Operations

It is recommended that the extra wide north and south approaches of the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection be formalized through line painting as having two lane approaches. A

single through and right turn lane are recommended on the south approach and a shared through/left and through lane are recommended on the north approach. A widening of approximately 1m is recommended on the east approach to accommodate designated left and right turn lanes, based on the projected turning movement volumes. The existing road width is 9.5m and the proposed width of approximately 10.5m as shown on the site plan will accommodate three 3.5m lanes. The analysis of total conditions has been completed using the recommended lane geometry identified. The City's Roadway Modification Approval process is not required as Ring Road is not a City road. Detailed design drawings are provided as part of Site Plan approval.

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2027 total traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 14** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix I**.

Table 14: 2027 Total Traffic Operations

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt
Ring Road/ Hospital Link Road(u)	15 sec.	B	EB	12 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (N-S)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	NB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (N-S)/ Ring Road (E-W)(u)	14 sec.	B	WBL/WBR	15 sec.	C	WBL/WBR
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/ South Haven Place(s)	0.70	B	WB	0.91	E	SBR
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	10 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Emergency Access(u)	12 sec.	B	SB	11 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ General Hospital Access Road*	31 sec.	D	WBL	**130 sec. 1.20**	**F**	**WBL**
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road(s)	0.61	B	EBL	0.83	D	SBR
Ring Road (N-C)/ Integrated Treatment Centre Loop Access(u)	12 sec.	B	WB	11 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (E-W)/ Parking Garage Access(u)	16 sec.	C	SB	11 sec.	B	SB

(u): Unsignalized intersection
(s): Signalized intersection
*: Modeled as a two-way stop intersection on Ring Road (E-W) due to limitations in Synchro software

All movements at study area intersections, except for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection, are expected to operate with acceptable LOS under 2027 total traffic conditions.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection has roughly 30m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 96m for the southbound right turn movement and 44m for the southbound left turn movement. This is not expected to block the egress of the proposed loop access.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection has roughly 35m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 74m for the southbound right turn movement and 51m for the southbound left turn movement. This is a marginal increase of 5m or less compared to the 2027 background traffic condition.

The westbound left turn lane at the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection operates with a LOS F during the PM peak hour. The westbound left turn lane has a 95th percentile queue length of 95m which exceeds the available storage of 40m. The westbound left turn lane has a v/c ratio of 1.20 during the PM peak hour.

As noted in the footnotes below **Table 14**, the Synchro results are based on a two-way stop control intersection at the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection with stop control along Ring Road (E-W). The analysis was completed using this assumption as Synchro is unable to analyze the existing intersection which is a three-way stop control intersection with the northbound leg of the General Hospital Access Road operating under free flow conditions. The analysis presented within this report is assumed to be conservative as the southbound leg of the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection was not modelled as having stop control and the free flow conditions modelled for the southbound leg limit the available capacity of the eastbound and westbound legs of the intersection and increase delays.

Although the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection is not under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa monitoring of this intersection is recommended. A second westbound left turn lane in addition to the existing left turn lane and through/right turn lane is not standard design practice at a stop controlled intersection. Any additional travel lanes would likely impact the existing bus stop and retaining wall east of the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection. During the PM peak hour the trips generated by the subject site send an additional 39 northbound left and 59 eastbound right turning vehicles through the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection. As this traffic is relatively small compared to the current 392 westbound left turning vehicles the high delays at this intersection are primarily due to existing conditions.

Monitoring of the westbound left can be considered after the proposed building is constructed. As a condition of Site Plan approval, the proponent can provide security to the City for costs to produce traffic count data and a TIA addendum for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection. Monitoring would be completed one year post-occupancy. If the monitoring data shows that the westbound left storage length is insufficient or that the westbound left movement is operating unacceptably, the proponent would be responsible for adjusting the existing pavement marking to maximize the westbound left storage. This approach was discussed and agreed on with City staff at a meeting on March 21, 2025.

4.6.3 2032 Total Traffic Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2032 total traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in **Table 15** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix I**.

Table 15: 2032 Total Traffic Operations

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt	Max v/c or Delay	LOS	Mvmt
Ring Road/ Hospital Link Road(u)	15 sec.	B	EB	12 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (N-S)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	11 sec.	B	NB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (N-S)/ Ring Road (E-W)(u)	14 sec.	B	WBL/WBR	15 sec.	C	WBL/WBR
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/ South Haven Place(s)	0.73	B	WB	0.92	E	SBR
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Non-Emergency Access(u)	10 sec.	B	SB	10 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ CHEO Emergency Access(u)	12 sec.	B	SB	11 sec.	B	SB
Ring Road (E-W)/ General Hospital Access Road*	31 sec.	D	WBL	**130 sec.**	**F**	**WBL**
Smyth Road/ General Hospital Access Road(s)	0.61	B	EBL	0.83	D	SBR
Ring Road (N-S)/ Integrated Treatment Centre Loop Access(u)	12 sec.	B	WB	11 sec.	B	WB
Ring Road (E-W)/ Parking Garage Access(u)	16 sec.	C	SB	11 sec.	B	SB

(u): Unsignalized intersection
(s): Signalized intersection
*: Modeled as a two-way stop intersection on Ring Road (E-W) due to limitations in Synchro software

All movements at study area intersections, except for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection, are expected to operate with acceptable LOS under 2032 total traffic conditions.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection has roughly 30m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 99m for the southbound right turn movement and 44m for the southbound left turn movement. Again, this is not expected to block the egress of the proposed loop access.

The southbound approach at the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection has roughly 35m of storage. During the PM peak hour the 95th percentile queues exceed the available storage for both the southbound right and left turn movements. The 95th percentile queue is 75m for the southbound right turn movement and 51m for the southbound left turn movement.

The westbound left turn lane at the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection operates with a LOS F during the PM peak hour. The westbound left turn lane has a 95th percentile queue length of 95m which exceeds the available storage of 40m. The westbound left turn lane has a v/c ratio of 1.20 during the PM peak hour.

A left turn lane warrant analysis was conducted to confirm if a southbound left turn lane would be required for the Ring Road (N-S)/Integrated Treatment Centre Loop Access. Based on a design speed of 50km/hr and the 2032 total traffic volumes, the left turn lane warrants indicated that a southbound left turn lane at the Ring Road (N-S)/Integrated Treatment Centre Loop Access intersection would

not be required. While a left turn lane is not warranted, a left turn lane is desired by Infrastructure Ontario to simplify operations at the proposed access. A left turn lane with a 15m storage with a 8:1 taper (26m long taper) is proposed. Left turn lane warrants are included in **Appendix E**.

As requested by City staff, SimTraffic analysis has been completed for the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection.

The SimTraffic analysis shows that during the PM peak hour, 95th percentile queues for total traffic conditions exceed available link storages for the southbound left and right turn movements at the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection. The proposed site traffic and traffic redistribution causes the 95th percentile queue of the southbound through and westbound left and right turn movements at the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection, the westbound through movement at the Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency intersection, and the westbound through movement at the Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Emergency intersection to exceed the available storage.

Due to the conversion of the CHEO access to one-way operation and the relocation of trips to the new parking garage, existing queueing patterns have been redistributed within the hospital campus. Southbound queues along Ring Road (N-S) have been reduced due to the proposed development and have been redistributed to Ring Road (E-W) during the PM peak hour. Westbound queues at the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection during the PM peak hour are expected to block the upstream Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access intersection 65% of the time compounding to cause further westbound queuing concerns along Ring Road (E-W) upstream of the Ring Road (E-W)/CHEO Non-Emergency Access intersection. Westbound queuing concerns along Ring Road (E-W) may cause drivers to consider using the eastern Smyth Road intersection when leaving the hospital during the PM peak hour. Monitoring of queues within the hospital campus is recommended.

SimTraffic outputs are included in **Appendix L**.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Forecasting

- The proposed hospital clinic development is estimated to generate 401 person trips (including 241 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 387 person trips (including 233 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

- Traffic from the existing school and Parking Lots B and E were redistributed within the subject site to account for the construction of the new parking garage and the Integrated Treatment Centre building. Traffic along the CHEO main access was redistributed due to the conversion of the road from two-way to one-way operations within the site.

Development Design

- The existing PXO Type D along Ring Road (N-S) is proposed to be maintained at its current location. Based on the 8-hour traffic volumes from the March 19, 2024 traffic count at the Ring Road (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W) intersection, the northern leg of the intersection has an 8-hour traffic volume of 2,847 vehicles. Given the posted speed limit of 40km/h, Table 7 of the OTM Book 15 was used to confirm the required Type of PXO. Although the current roadway has a road platform of 9.5m and an effective 3 lane cross-section, it is recommended the roadway be narrowed for a total road platform width of 7.5m. A Type D PXO is appropriate for this width.

- Parking Lot B includes 286 parking spaces and Parking Lot E includes 270 parking spaces. The approved parking garage in Parking Lot E will provide approximately 1,050 parking spaces and within the Integrated Treatment Centre site an additional 65 parking spaces are provided. The total number of parking spaces in the existing Parking Lots B and E plus the additional parking required by the ZBL for the Integrated Treatment Centre building totals 849 parking spaces. The approved parking garage therefore exceeds the parking requirements of the ZBL.

- Per Section 4.2.3 of the Stantec 2022 Transportation Study, the estimated parking generation rate for CHEO is approximately 3 spaces/100m². This is more than double the minimum zoning rate. Based on the observed rate, approximately 600 parking spaces are required to meet the demand for the new Integrated Treatment Centre clinic. With the 286 Lot B displaced spaces and the 270 Lot E displaced spaces, this amount to a total demand of 1,156 spaces. The 1,050 spaces in the approved Ph1A parking garage and the proposed 65 spaces for the Ph1B Integrated Treatment Centre site plan provide a total of 1,115 spaces, or 96% of the demand.

- The proposed development is considered to meet the required loading spaces as per Section 111 of the City's ZBL, due to the school bus lane to the north of the Integrated Treatment Centre building and the proposed loading area.

- For parking lots with over 1,000 parking spaces the City's Accessibility Design Standards require 11 +1% of the total parking spaces provided be a combination of Type A and Type B accessible parking spaces. Within the Integrated Treatment Centre site 14 Type A and 14 Type B parking spaces are provided. As 11 Type A and 11 Type B parking spaces are required for 1,115 total parking spaces this requirement is met.

- A total of 40 bicycle parking spaces will be provided near the Integrated Treatment Centre building.

Boundary Streets

- The proposed site plan includes 3.0m-wide multi-use pathways along the Ring Road (N-S) and Ring Road (E-W) frontages with boulevard widths of at least 0.5m.

Access Design

- The TAC *Geometric Design Guide* minimum corner clearance requirement of 20m is met by the proposed loop.

- The minimum clear throat requirement of 15m is met at the proposed access loop to Ring Road (N-S).

- The width of the one-way egress of the proposed access loop exceeds the requirements of the Private Approach By-law. However, Ring Road is not a municipal road and the accesses are not subject to the PABL. The one-way ingress of the proposed access loop does meet the requirements of the Private Approach By-law.

- The proposed accesses to Ring Road (E-W) and Ring Road (N-S) are anticipated to operate with acceptable delays for the build-out year 2027 and horizon year 2032.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM)

- A review of the City's TDM Measures Checklist has been conducted. The proponent, is committed to providing the following TDM measures within this development:

    - Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
    - Provide relevant transit schedules and route maps at main building entrances;
    - Charge for staff and visitor parking; and
    - Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new employees.

- In addition to the above measures CHEO has a Security & Parking Services department that includes a Parking Attendant and a Manager. While their role is not dedicated to TDM they do have a mandate to maximize onsite parking availability and ensure compliance with accessibility requirements, and provide recommendations to Management on ways to improve parking access for both the public and staff.

- CHEO also plans on continuing its partnerships with Travel Wise and OC Transpo in an effort to reduce car dependency and increase the use of non-auto mode shares. As a Provincially Funded Organization, CHEO is not funded for considerations such as Transit Fare Incentives, Private Transit Services, or other TDM measures.

Transit

- Bus stop #1808 will need to be relocated to bus stop #7045 within the subject site due to the changes being proposed to the CHEO non-emergency access.

Intersection MMLOS

- The east approach of the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection meets the City's vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks (greater than 400,000 vehicle/pedestrian conflicts over an eight-hour period). This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

- Implementing bike boxes the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection would require restricting right turns on red (RTOR) on the east and west approaches. This is identified for the City's consideration.

- A bike lane or higher order facility is identified on the north approach of the Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place intersection for the City's consideration.

- The east and west approaches of the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road meet the City's vehicle/pedestrian conflict threshold for zebra-striped crosswalks. This would improve the level of comfort for pedestrians crossing Smyth Road.

- Two-stage left turn bike boxes are required to meet the target BLOS C at the north and west approaches of the Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection. For cyclists turning left from the north approach, this would involve a bike box in front of the eastbound stop bar. For cyclists turning left from the west approach, this would involve a jug handle facility and cyclist crossing signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.

Background Traffic Analysis

- All movements at study area intersections operate with acceptable LOS under 2027 and 2032 background traffic conditions.

Total Traffic Analysis

- All movements at study area intersections, except for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection, are expected to operate with acceptable LOS under total traffic conditions.

- Due to limitations in Synchro software the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital intersection was conservatively modeled as having free flow on the north and south approaches as opposed to only the south approach. It is assumed to operate with less delays and is recommended to be monitored in the future. Delays at the intersection are largely attributable to the existing conditions which includes a high volume of westbound left turning vehicles during the PM peak hour. A second westbound left turn lane in addition to the existing left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane is not standard design practice at a stop controlled intersection. Any additional travel lanes would likely impact the existing bus stop and retaining wall east of the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection.

- Monitoring of the westbound left can be considered after the proposed building is constructed. As a condition of Site Plan approval, the proponent can provide security to the City for costs to produce traffic count data and a TIA addendum for the Ring Road (E-W)/General Hospital Access Road intersection. Monitoring would be completed one year post-occupancy. If the monitoring data shows that the westbound left storage length is insufficient or that the westbound left movement is operating unacceptably, the proponent would be responsible for adjusting the existing pavement marking to maximize the westbound left storage. This approach was discussed and agreed on with City staff at a meeting on March 21, 2025.

Based on the foregoing, the proposed development can be recommended from a transportation perspective.

NOVATECH

Prepared by:



Trevor Van Wiechen, P.Eng.
Project Engineer | Transportation


Reviewed by:



Jennifer Luong, P.Eng.
Senior Project Manager | Transportation

APPENDIX A

Preliminary Site Plan



1 SITE PLAN
1 : 500

PIN 04258-0401 & 04258-0421
PART OF LOT 15 JUNCTION GORE
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF GLOUCESTER
CITY OF OTTAWA

REFER TO SURVEY 20370-19 & 21265-20 PREPARED BY ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN VOLLEBEKK LTD. DATED 2020/02/04

FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS AND REMOVALS PLAN, REFER TO CIVIL DWG C1501 BY WALTERFEDY.



2 CONTEXT PLAN
1 : 10000

SYMBOL LEGEND

FFE FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION
+120.45 GEODETIC ELEVATION (REFER TO CIVIL)
CB CATCH BASIN (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
AD AREA DRAIN (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
MH MANHOLE (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
CB/MH CATCH BASIN / MANHOLE (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
STREET LIGHTING (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
EXISTING SITE LIGHTING (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
SECURITY CAMERA (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE (REFER TO ELEC.)
DURESS STATION (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
ELECTRICAL OUTLETS (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION
FIRE HYDRANT (REFER TO CIVIL)
PROPERTY LINE
FIRE TRUCK ACCESS ROUTE
FIRE TRUCK ACCESS ROUTE CLEARANCE
SETBACK
SCOPE OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1A (UNDER SEPARATE SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION)
SCOPE OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1B (IRP)
EXTENT OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1B (ADDITIONAL)
LEVEL SPLIT (LEVEL 1 AND LEVEL 2, PFE AS NOTED)
ELECTRICAL SITE SERVICES (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
LANDSCAPED AREA (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
ROADS
EXISTING CURB TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXISTING BUILDING
PROPOSED BUILDING
GREEN ROOF
CANOPY OR SHELTER (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
BUILDING ENTRANCE / EXIT
EXISTING LOADING ENTRANCE
LOADING DRIVE-LEVEL ENTRANCE
PARKING GARAGE ENTRANCE / EXIT
BICYCLE PARKING
INTAKE / EXHAUST VENT
WF # WAYFINDING LANDMARK
EXISTING TREE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
NEW TREE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
BENCH (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
REST AREAS (ACCOMMODATE TWO PEOPLE ON FIXED SEATING W/ CLEAR FLOOR SPACE OF 900mm x 1500mm ADJACENT FOR MOBILITY DEVICE OR SERVICE ANIMAL, TRAVEL DISTANCE SPACED MAXIMUM 30m APART)
ATTENTION TACTILE INDICATOR (900mm DEPTH, ALIGN TO CURB)
VEHICULAR DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
PARKING SPACE
BARRIER-FREE PARKING SPACE
BF BARRIER FREE PARKING SIGNAGE
C TYPE C PARKING SIGNAGE
LINE PAINTING
BUS STOP (OC TRANSPO)
BOLLARD (REFER TO LANDSCAPE)
SITE SIGNAGE
WASTE RECEPTACLE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
SLOPED WALKWAY (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
RW RETAINING WALL

SITE DATA

ZONING: I2 [EXCEPTION 370] (I1.5)

MIN. LOT WIDTH	NO MINIMUM
MIN. LOT AREA	NO MINIMUM
MAX BUILDING HEIGHT	
	WITHIN 12m OF R1, R2 OR R3 ZONE: 15m
	OTHER CASES: NO MAXIMUM
MIN. FRONT YARD SETBACK	40m [EXCEPTION 370]
MIN. REAR YARD SETBACK	7.5m
MIN. CORNER YARD SETBACK	7.5m
MIN. INTERIOR SIDE YARD SETBACK	7.5m

COVERAGE TABLE

	m2	%
SCOPE OF WORK EXTENTS	36,450	68.0%
PHASE 1A	19,531	44.5%
PHASE 1B	20,301	55.5%
PARKING AREA	2,760	16.3%
PHASE 1A	1,090	5.7%
PHASE 1B	1,670	4.8%
BUILDING AREA	9,837	26.9%
PHASE 1A	4,910	13.4%
PHASE 1B	4,911	13.4%
SOFT LANDSCAPE AREA	18,900	29.0%
HARD LANDSCAPE AREA	12,120	33.1%

PROPOSED GROSS FLOOR AREA

	m2	m2
	GFA	GCA
LEVEL 1	3,281	3,324
LEVEL 2	3,916	3,171
LEVEL 3	3,916	3,379
LEVEL 4	3,799	2,622
LEVEL 5	2,364	2,379
LEVEL 6	2,214	1,927
PENTHOUSE	1,760	1,772
LEVEL 1 TUNNEL TO CHEO	606	626
TOTAL	21,860	21,172

GCA + GFA reduced by shafts and elevators

BUILDING AREA BY OCCUPANCY

	m2
GROUP A2 (SCHOOL)	1,004
GROUP B2 (DAYCARE/CHOOL)	2,119
GROUP D	14,125
GROUP F3	3,952

BUILDING HEIGHT

	m	m
	GEODETIC	HEIGHT
TOP OF PENTHOUSE ROOF	114.50	34.73
TOP OF PENTHOUSE LEVEL PARAPET	106.33	26.55
LEVEL 1	79.80	4.33
LEVEL 1	85.13	0.00

CHEO
Infrastructure Ontario
EllisDon INFRASTRUCTURE Healthcare

Montgomery Sisam Architects Inc.
197 Spadina Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5T 2C8 montgomerysisam.com
Tel 416.964.8979 Fax 416.964.7725
MontgomerySisam

PROJECT NORTH (PN) KEYPLAN

10	25/7/201	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL RESUBMISSION R2
9	25/06/06	ISSUED FOR ADA/29
8	25/05/02	ISSUED FOR ADA/49
7	25/05/14	ISSUED FOR ADA/14
6	25/04/01	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL RESUBMISSION
5	25/03/21	ISSUED FOR 100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS R1
4	24/12/06	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL R1
3	24/11/27	ISSUED FOR 100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
2	24/05/03	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT
1	24/05/03	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
0	24/05/07	ISSUED FOR 50% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
#	date	revision

revisions

All drawing and specifications are the property of the architect. The contractor shall verify all dimensions and information on site and report any discrepancy to architect before proceeding.


CHEO Integrated Treatment Centre

401 Smyth Rd
Ottawa, ON K1H 8L1

OVERALL SITE PLAN

scale:	As indicated
drawn by:	MSA
reviewed by:	JS/JD
job number:	22004
plot date:	25/03/29
drawing number:	A0601

2025-03-29 3:04:47 PM Autodesk Docs://22004_CHEO_ISTC/22004_CHC-CHEO_MSA_MAIN_R23.rvt



1 SITE PLAN
1 : 250

NOTE: SITE PLAN IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH CIVIL AND LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS

SYMBOL LEGEND

FFE FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION
+120.45 GEODETIC ELEVATION (REFER TO CIVIL)
CB CATCH BASIN (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
AD AREA DRAIN (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
MH MANHOLE (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
CBMH CATCH BASIN / MANHOLE (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
STREET LIGHTING (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
EXISTING SITE LIGHTING (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
SECURITY CAMERA (REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS)
WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE (REFER TO ELEC.)
DURESS STATION (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
ELECTRICAL OUTLETS (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION
FIRE HYDRANT (REFER TO CIVIL)
PROPERTY LINE
FIRE TRUCK ACCESS ROUTE
FIRE TRUCK ACCESS ROUTE CLEARANCE
SETBACK
SCOPE OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1A (UNDER SEPARATE SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION)
SCOPE OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1B (RFP)
EXTENT OF WORK BOUNDARY - PHASE 1B (ADDITIONAL)
LEVEL SPLIT (LEVEL 1 AND LEVEL 2; PFE AS NOTED)
ELECTRICAL SITE SERVICES (REFER TO ELEC. DWGS)
LANDSCAPED AREA (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
ROADS
EXISTING CURB TO BE DEMOLISHED
EXISTING BUILDING
PROPOSED BUILDING
GREEN ROOF
CANOPY OR SHELTER (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
BUILDING ENTRANCE / EXIT
EXISTING LOADING ENTRANCE
LOADING DRIVE-LEVEL ENTRANCE
PARKING GARAGE ENTRANCE / EXIT
BICYCLE PARKING
INTAKE / EXHAUST VENT
WF # WAYFINDING LANDMARK
EXISTING TREE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
NEW TREE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
BENCH (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
REST AREAS (ACCOMMODATE TWO PEOPLE ON FIXED SEATING W/ CLEAR FLOOR SPACE OF 900mm x 1500mm ADJACENT FOR MOBILITY DEVICE OR SERVICE ANIMAL; TRAVEL DISTANCE SPACED MAXIMUM 30m APART)
ATTENTION TACTILE INDICATOR (900mm DEPTH, ALIGN TO CURB)
VEHICULAR DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
PARKING SPACE
BARRIER-FREE PARKING SPACE
BARRIER FREE PARKING SIGNAGE
TYPE C PARKING SIGNAGE
LINE PAINTING
BUS STOP (OC TRANSPO)
BOLLARD (REFER TO LANDSCAPE)
SITE SIGNAGE
WASTE RECEPTACLE (REFER TO LANDSCAPE DWGS)
SLOPED WALKWAY (REFER TO CIVIL DWGS)
RW RETAINING WALL

PARKING DATA

VEHICULAR PARKING REQUIRED — Zoning By-Law 2008-250 Schedule 1A - Area B

PHASE 1A - PARKING GARAGE: 1,050
FORMER VISITOR PARKING (LOT C): (370 DISPLACED SPACES)

PHASE 1B - INTEGRATED TREATMENT CENTRE: 73
FORMER STAFF PARKING (LOT B): (226 DISPLACED SPACES)

VEHICULAR PARKING PROVIDED
PHASE 1A - PARKING GARAGE: 1,050

PHASE 1B - ICU/ORA/CARE	TYPE A REG	TYPE A PRO	TYPE B REG	TYPE B PRO	TYPE C REG	TYPE C PRO	TOTAL
CHEO ENTRANCE	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
ITC L1 DROP-OFF	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PARKING LOT B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EAST PARKING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	0	2	0	0	0	3

TOTAL NEW PARKING SPACES: 1,126

PARKING SPACE SIZES
REGULAR 2.6m x 5.2m: 14
TYPE A 3.4m x 5.2m, w/ ACCESS AISLE 1.5m x 5.2m: 1A
TYPE B 2.4m x 5.2m, w/ ACCESS AISLE 1.5m x 5.2m: 1B
TYPE C 2.4m x 5.2m, w/ ACCESS AISLE 1.2m x 5.2m: 1C

BICYCLE PARKING

	UNENCLOSED REGULAR	UNENCLOSED LARGE	ENCLOSED REGULAR	ENCLOSED LARGE
	REQ PRO	REQ PRO	REQ PRO	REQ PRO
ITC L1 DROP-OFF	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
PARKING LOT B	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
EXISTING PARKING LOT A	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
TOTAL	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0

TOTAL BICYCLE PARKING SPACES: 49

BICYCLE PARKING SPACE SIZES
REGULAR 0.6m x 1.8m
LARGE 0.9m x 2.0m

CHEO
Infrastructure Ontario
EllisDon INFRASTRUCTURE Healthcare

Montgomery Sisam Architects Inc.
197 Spadina Avenue, Toronto, Ontario M5T 2C8 montgomerysisam.com
Tel 416.964.8979 Fax 416.964.7725
MontgomerySisam

PROJECT NORTH (PN) KEYPLAN

16	25/7/01	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL RESUBMISSION R2
15	25/06/06	ISSUED FOR ADA/079
14	25/05/02	ISSUED FOR ADA/048
13	25/03/14	ISSUED FOR ADA/014
12	25/04/01	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL RESUBMISSION
11	25/02/21	ISSUED FOR 100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS R1
10	25/02/28	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL R1
9	24/12/06	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL R1
8	24/11/07	ISSUED FOR 100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
7	24/05/23	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT
6	24/05/23	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
#	date	revision

revisions

All drawing and specifications are the property of the architect. The contractor shall verify all dimensions and information on site and report any discrepancy to architect before proceeding.

CHEO Integrated Treatment Centre

401 Smyth Rd
Ottawa, ON K1H 8L1

CHEO ITC SITE PLAN - PHASE 1B

scale: As indicated
drawn by: MSA
reviewed by: JSL/JD
job number: 22004
plot date: 25/2/07

drawing number: A0602

2025-02-25 2:22:24 PM Autodesk Docs://22004_CHEO_ITC/22004_CHEO_ITC_MSA_MARK_2022.rvt

APPENDIX B

TIA Screening Form

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines TIA Screening

1. Description of Proposed Development

Municipal Address	401 Smyth Road
Description of Location	NE of Ring Rd (N-S)/Ring Road (E-W)
Land Use Classification	hospital
Development Size (units)	
Development Size square metre (m^2)	21,700 sq.m.
Number of Accesses and Locations	1 one-way access to Ring Rd (N-S) plus ex. access to CHEO
Phase of Development	Phase 2 of 2 (parking garage is Phase 1)
Buildout Year	2027 (Parking Garage complete 2025)

If available, please attach a sketch of the development or site plan to this form.

2. Trip Generation Trigger

Considering the Development's Land Use type and Size (as filled out in the previous section), please refer to the Trip Generation Trigger checks below.

Table notes:

1. Table 2, Table 3 & Table 4 TRANS Trip Generation Manual
2. Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual 11.1 Ed.

Land Use Type	Minimum Development Size
Single-family homes	**60 units**
Multi-Use Family (Low-Rise)[1]	**90 units**
Multi-Use Family (High-Rise)[1]	**150 units**
Office[2]	**1,400 m^2**
Industrial[2]	**7,000 m^2**
Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop[2]	**110 m^2**
Destination retail[2]	**1,800 m^2**
Gas station or convenience market[2]	**90 m^2**

If the proposed development size is equal to or greater than the sizes identified above, the Trip Generation Trigger is satisfied.

3. Location Triggers

	Yes	No
Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the Transit Priority Network, Rapid Transit network or Cross-Town Bikeways?	☐	☑
Is the development in a Hub, a Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA), or a Design Priority Area (DPA)?[2]	☐	☑

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Location Trigger is satisfied.

4. Safety Triggers

	Yes	No
Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are 80 kilometers per hour (km/h) or greater?	☐	☑
Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway?	☐	☑
Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 metre [m] of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)?	☑	☐
Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection?	☐	☑
Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site?	☐	☑

[2] Hubs are identified in Schedules B1 to B8 of the City of Ottawa Official Plan. PMTSAs are identified in Schedule C1 of the Official Plan. DPAs are identified in Schedule C7A and C7B of the Official. See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA.

	Yes	No
Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?	☐	☑
Does the development include a drive-thru facility?	☐	☑

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Safety Trigger is satisfied.

5. Summary

Results of Screening	Yes	No
Does the development satisfy the Trip Generation Trigger?	☑	☐
Does the development satisfy the Location Trigger?	☐	☑
Does the development satisfy the Safety Trigger?	☑	☐

If none of the triggers are satisfied, the TIA Study is complete. If one or more of the triggers is satisfied, the TIA Study must continue into the next stage (Screening and Scoping).

APPENDIX C

OC Transpo Route Maps

45 Rapide

HOSPITAL / HÔPITAL — HURDMAN

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day and limited overnight service
Service toute la journée et limité la nuit



Transitway & Station

Timepointe / Heures de passage

45 When O-Train Line 1 is not running overnight, Route 45 will be extended downtown to Rideau Station. / Lorsque la ligne 1 de l'O-Train ne circule pas la nuit, le circuit 45 sera prolongée au centre-ville jusqu'à la station Rideau.

2019.07

1

Future route after O-Train Line 1 is open
Trajet du circuit après l'ouverture de la Ligne 1 de l'O-Train


Lost and Found / Objets perdus..... **613-563-4011**
Security / Sécurité..... **613-741-2478**

OC Transpo **INFO 613-741-4390**
octranspo.com

55 ELMVALE / WESTGATE

Local

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine




2021.06


Schedule / Horaire 613-560-1000
Text / Texto* 560560
plus your four digit bus stop number / *plus* votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres
*Standard message rates may apply / Les tarifs réguliers de messagerie texte peuvent s'appliquer

Customer Service
Service à la clientèle **613-741-4390**
Lost and Found / Objets perdus.....**613-563-4011**
Security / Sécurité **613-741-2478**

Effective June 20, 2021
En vigueur 20 juin 2021

OC Transpo
INFO 613-741-4390
octranspo.com


DE LA SALLE
Rideau
Mackenzie King
uOttawa
Lees
Hurdman
Lycée Claudel
Smyth
Riverside
Pleasant Park
St-Laurent
Tremblay
Elmvale
To /Vers Elmvale
Boteler
Bruyère
Old St. Patrick
Murray
Rideau
Besserer
Laurier E.
Somerset E.
Mann
Lees
Strathcona
Argyle
Catherine
Isabella
Pretoria
Montréal
Tormey
Beechwood
Maple
Springfield
Acacia
Crichton
St. Charles
Marier
Ste. Monique
Pères Blancs
Granville
Montfort
Alfred
Lafontaine
McArthur
Prom. Vanier Pkwy
North River
Range
Chapel
Charlotte
Cobourg
King Edward
Dalhousie
MacKenzie
Sussex
Bank
O'Connor
Metcalfe
Elgin
Main
Queen Mary
Quill
Donald
Coventry
Lola
Prince Albert
Tremblay
Belfast
Trainyards
Industrial
Coronation
Alta Vista
Russell
St. Laurent
Smyth
Haig
Kilborn
Pleasant Park
417
609
602
St. Patrick
PM
AM
Dec. 2020


Carling
Train
St-Laurent
Hurdman
Lycée Claudel
Smyth
Riverside
Carleton
FRANCO-CITÉ
TOH Rehabilitation Centre / Centre de réadaptation de L'HO
TOH General Campus/Campus Général de L'HO
CHEO / HEEO
Pleasant Park
Heron
Billings Bridge
Mooney's Bay
Elmvale
Walkley
Greenboro
South Keys
Centre EY Centre
Last modified: Sept. 2019


Hurdman
Tremblay
St-Laurent
Lycée Claudel
Smyth
Riverside
Oblats
Hazel
Saint-Paul University
Université Saint-Paul
Lycée Claudel
Ottawa Train Yards
VIA Rail
CHEO
TOH Rehabilitation Centre
Centre de réadaptation de L'HO
TOH General Campus
Campus Général de L'HO
Franco-Cité
Billings Estate
Domaine Billings
Hillcrest
Elmvale
Canterbury
Grasshopper Hill
Lynda Lane
Billings
Springhurst
C.C. St. Laurent S.C.
Coventry
Tremblay
Terminal
Sandford Fleming
Belfast
Trainyards
Triole
Coronation
Industrial
Alta Vista
Transitway
Riverside
Colonel By
Main
Dauphin
Haig
Russell
Pleasant Park
Kilborn
St-Laurent
Smyth
56
5
55
16
44
45
49
42
19
18
40
46
48
97
98
99
10
88
90
92
93
96
190
199
290
291
294
299

APPENDIX D

Traffic Count Data

5587528 - RING RD @ SMYTH RD/S HAVEN PL - SE... - TMC

Tue Oct 4, 2022
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 996505, Location: 45.399016, -75.652851, Site Code: 40590103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA


Leg Direction	South Northbound						North Southbound						West Eastbound						East Westbound						
Time	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	Int
2022-10-04 7:30AM	0	0	0	0	**0**	1	12	0	33	1	**46**	2	82	212	0	0	**294**	0	0	136	30	0	**166**	1	**506**
7:45AM	3	0	0	0	**3**	0	8	0	30	0	**38**	10	91	194	1	0	**286**	2	1	141	27	0	**169**	2	**496**
8:00AM	1	1	0	0	**2**	1	2	1	23	0	**26**	1	101	174	0	0	**275**	0	0	126	14	0	**140**	0	**443**
8:15AM	2	0	0	0	**2**	1	6	0	34	0	**40**	2	90	184	1	0	**275**	0	0	153	32	0	**185**	4	**502**
Total	6	1	0	0	**7**	3	28	1	120	1	**150**	15	364	764	2	0	**1130**	2	1	556	103	0	**660**	7	**1947**
% Approach	85.7%	14.3%	0%	0%	–	–	18.7%	0.7%	80.0%	0.7%	-	-	32.2%	67.6%	0.2%	0%	–	–	0.2%	84.2%	15.6%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.3%	0.1%	0%	0%	**0.4%**	-	1.4%	0.1%	6.2%	0.1%	**7.7%**	-	18.7%	39.2%	0.1%	0%	**58.0%**	-	0.1%	28.6%	5.3%	0%	**33.9%**	-	-
PHF	0.500	-	-	-	**0.500**	-	0.583	-	0.875	0.250	**0.822**	-	0.896	0.904	0.500	-	**0.965**	-	0.250	0.908	0.805	-	**0.892**	-	0.965
Lights and Motorcycles	6	0	0	0	**6**	-	27	0	111	1	**139**	-	355	748	2	0	**1105**	-	1	539	100	0	**640**	-	1890
% Lights and Motorcycles	100%	0%	0%	0%	**85.7%**	-	96.4%	0%	92.5%	100%	**92.7%**	-	97.5%	97.9%	100%	0%	**97.8%**	-	100%	96.9%	97.1%	0%	**97.0%**	-	97.1%
Heavy	0	0	0	0	**0**	-	1	0	8	0	**9**	-	7	15	0	0	**22**	-	0	17	3	0	**20**	-	51
% Heavy	0%	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	3.6%	0%	6.7%	0%	**6.0%**	-	1.9%	2.0%	0%	0%	**1.9%**	-	0%	3.1%	2.9%	0%	**3.0%**	-	2.6%
Bicycles on Road	0	1	0	0	**1**	-	0	1	1	0	**2**	-	2	1	0	0	**3**	-	0	0	0	0	**0**	-	6
% Bicycles on Road	0%	100%	0%	0%	**14.3%**	-	0%	100%	0.8%	0%	**1.3%**	-	0.5%	0.1%	0%	0%	**0.3%**	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0.3%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	6	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-	-	-	-	-	73.3%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	85.7%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	-	26.7%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	14.3%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5587528 - RING RD @ SMYTH RD/S HAVEN PL - SE... - TMC

Tue Oct 4, 2022
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 996505, Location: 45.399016, -75.652851, Site Code: 40590103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



5587528 - RING RD @ SMYTH RD/S HAVEN PL - SE... - TMC

Tue Oct 4, 2022
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 996505, Location: 45.399016, -75.652851, Site Code: 40590103


Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

Leg / Direction	South Northbound						North Southbound						West Eastbound						East Westbound						
Time	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	R	U	App	Ped*	Int
2022-10-04 3:30PM	1	0	0	0	**1**	0	39	2	92	0	**133**	1	23	141	0	0	**164**	0	2	252	6	0	**260**	0	**558**
3:45PM	2	0	4	0	**6**	4	23	0	77	0	**100**	6	34	149	2	0	**185**	1	0	219	4	0	**223**	4	**514**
4:00PM	0	0	0	0	**0**	2	36	2	92	0	**130**	3	16	117	2	0	**135**	2	1	213	0	0	**214**	2	**479**
4:15PM	2	0	0	0	**2**	2	30	7	66	0	**103**	5	18	116	0	0	**134**	0	1	195	1	0	**197**	0	**436**
Total	5	0	4	0	**9**	8	128	11	327	0	**466**	15	91	523	4	0	**618**	3	4	879	11	0	**894**	6	**1987**
% Approach	55.6%	0%	44.4%	0%	–	-	27.5%	2.4%	70.2%	0%	–	-	14.7%	84.6%	0.6%	0%	–	-	0.4%	98.3%	1.2%	0%	–	-	-
% Total	0.3%	0%	0.2%	0%	**0.5%**	-	6.4%	0.6%	16.5%	0%	**23.5%**	-	4.6%	26.3%	0.2%	0%	**31.1%**	-	0.2%	44.2%	0.6%	0%	**45.0%**	-	-
PHF	0.625	-	0.250	-	**0.375**	-	0.814	0.250	0.880	-	**0.863**	-	0.669	0.874	0.500	-	**0.832**	-	0.500	0.875	0.458	-	**0.862**	-	0.887
Lights and Motorcycles	5	0	4	0	**9**	-	126	1	320	0	**447**	-	87	503	4	0	**594**	-	4	859	11	0	**874**	-	1924
% Lights and Motorcycles	100%	0%	100%	0%	**100%**	-	98.4%	9.1%	97.9%	0%	**95.9%**	-	95.6%	96.2%	100%	0%	**96.1%**	-	100%	97.7%	100%	0%	**97.8%**	-	96.8%
Heavy	0	0	0	0	**0**	-	1	0	4	0	**5**	-	4	18	0	0	**22**	-	0	19	0	0	**19**	-	46
% Heavy	0%	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0.8%	0%	1.2%	0%	**1.1%**	-	4.4%	3.4%	0%	0%	**3.6%**	-	0%	2.2%	0%	0%	**2.1%**	-	2.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	**0**	-	1	10	3	0	**14**	-	0	2	0	0	**2**	-	0	1	0	0	**1**	-	17
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0.8%	90.9%	0.9%	0%	**3.0%**	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	**0.3%**	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	**0.1%**	-	0.9%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	6	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	75.0%	-	-	-	-	-	93.3%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	25.0%	-	-	-	-	-	6.7%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5587528 - RING RD @ SMYTH RD/S HAVEN PL - SE... - TMC
Tue Oct 4, 2022
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 996505, Location: 45.399016, -75.652851, Site Code: 40590103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



5473326 - RING RD @ CHEO EAST ENTRANCE - FEB... - TMC

Thu Feb 20, 2020
AM Peak (9 AM - 10 AM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 754891, Location: 45.399679, -75.649878

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA


Leg	North					West					East					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	App	Ped*	L	T	U	App	Ped*	T	R	U	App	Ped*	Int
2020-02-20 9:00AM	4	4	0	**8**	0	23	16	0	**39**	0	18	12	0	**30**	2	**77**
9:15AM	4	2	0	**6**	1	28	9	1	**38**	0	21	13	0	**34**	1	**78**
9:30AM	5	9	0	**14**	0	39	14	0	**53**	0	11	13	0	**24**	4	**91**
9:45AM	7	6	0	**13**	0	42	14	2	**58**	0	19	13	1	**33**	6	**104**
Total	20	21	0	**41**	1	132	53	3	**188**	0	69	51	1	**121**	13	**350**
% Approach	48.8%	51.2%	0%	-	-	70.2%	28.2%	1.6%	-	-	57.0%	42.1%	0.8%	-	-	-
% Total	5.7%	6.0%	0%	**11.7%**	-	37.7%	15.1%	0.9%	**53.7%**	-	19.7%	14.6%	0.3%	**34.6%**	-	-
PHF	0.714	0.583	-	**0.732**	-	0.786	0.828	0.375	**0.810**	-	0.821	0.962	0.250	**0.882**	-	0.839
Lights and Motorcycles	19	21	0	**40**	-	132	48	3	**183**	-	58	50	1	**109**	-	332
% Lights and Motorcycles	95.0%	100%	0%	**97.6%**	-	100%	90.6%	100%	**97.3%**	-	84.1%	98.0%	100%	**90.1%**	-	94.9%
Heavy	1	0	0	**1**	-	0	5	0	**5**	-	11	0	0	**11**	-	17
% Heavy	5.0%	0%	0%	**2.4%**	-	0%	9.4%	0%	**2.7%**	-	15.9%	0%	0%	**9.1%**	-	4.9%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	**0**	-	0	0	0	**0**	-	0	1	0	**1**	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0%	2.0%	0%	**0.8%**	-	0.3%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	13	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5473326 - RING RD @ CHEO EAST ENTRANCE - FEB... - TMC

Thu Feb 20, 2020
AM Peak (9 AM - 10 AM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 754891, Location: 45.399679, -75.649878

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



5473326 - RING RD @ CHEO EAST ENTRANCE - FEB... - TMC

Thu Feb 20, 2020
PM Peak (3:15 PM - 4:15 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 754891, Location: 45.399679, -75.649878

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA


Leg	North					West					East					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	**App**	Ped*	L	T	U	**App**	Ped*	T	R	U	**App**	Ped*	**Int**
2020-02-20 3:15PM	10	14	0	**24**	1	10	15	0	**25**	0	12	6	0	**18**	3	**67**
3:30PM	11	15	0	**26**	2	7	14	0	**21**	0	24	3	0	**27**	1	**74**
3:45PM	11	14	0	**25**	2	3	18	0	**21**	0	20	4	0	**24**	1	**70**
4:00PM	13	10	0	**23**	0	4	23	0	**27**	0	19	3	0	**22**	2	**72**
Total	45	53	0	**98**	5	24	70	0	**94**	0	75	16	0	**91**	7	**283**
% Approach	45.9%	54.1%	0%	**-**	-	25.5%	74.5%	0%	**-**	-	82.4%	17.6%	0%	**-**	-	-
% Total	15.9%	18.7%	0%	**34.6%**	-	8.5%	24.7%	0%	**33.2%**	-	26.5%	5.7%	0%	**32.2%**	-	-
PHF	0.865	0.883	-	**0.942**	-	0.600	0.761	-	**0.870**	-	0.804	0.667	-	**0.865**	-	0.966
Lights and Motorcycles	44	53	0	**97**	-	23	67	0	**90**	-	66	16	0	**82**	-	269
% Lights and Motorcycles	97.8%	100%	0%	**99.0%**	-	95.8%	95.7%	0%	**95.7%**	-	88.0%	100%	0%	**90.1%**	-	95.1%
Heavy	1	0	0	**1**	-	1	3	0	**4**	-	8	0	0	**8**	-	13
% Heavy	2.2%	0%	0%	**1.0%**	-	4.2%	4.3%	0%	**4.3%**	-	10.7%	0%	0%	**8.8%**	-	4.6%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	**0**	-	0	0	0	**0**	-	1	0	0	**1**	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	1.3%	0%	0%	**1.1%**	-	0.4%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	7	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5473326 - RING RD @ CHEO EAST ENTRANCE - FEB... - TMC

Thu Feb 20, 2020
PM Peak (3:15 PM - 4:15 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 754891, Location: 45.399679, -75.649878

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



5467450 - Smyth and General Hospital E - Dec... - TMC

Wed Dec 11, 2019
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 735526, Location: 45.399388, -75.647834, Site Code: 39229103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA


Leg	North					West					East					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	**App**	Ped*	L	T	U	**App**	Ped*	T	R	U	**App**	Ped*	**Int**
2019-12-11 7:30AM	36	58	0	**94**	2	92	108	0	**200**	3	165	98	0	**263**	5	**557**
7:45AM	32	41	0	**73**	1	102	114	0	**216**	4	146	128	0	**274**	8	**563**
8:00AM	24	38	0	**62**	0	87	91	0	**178**	4	167	116	0	**283**	18	**523**
8:15AM	21	37	0	**58**	0	88	102	0	**190**	2	189	79	0	**268**	20	**516**
Total	113	174	0	**287**	3	369	415	0	**784**	13	667	421	0	**1088**	51	**2159**
% Approach	39.4%	60.6%	0%	-	-	47.1%	52.9%	0%	-	-	61.3%	38.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	5.2%	8.1%	0%	**13.3%**	-	17.1%	19.2%	0%	**36.3%**	-	30.9%	19.5%	0%	**50.4%**	-	-
PHF	0.785	0.750	-	**0.763**	-	0.902	0.910	-	**0.906**	-	0.882	0.816	-	**0.965**	-	0.957
Lights and Motorcycles	110	172	0	**282**	-	364	406	0	**770**	-	644	410	0	**1054**	-	2106
% Lights and Motorcycles	97.3%	98.9%	0%	**98.3%**	-	98.6%	97.8%	0%	**98.2%**	-	96.6%	97.4%	0%	**96.9%**	-	97.5%
Heavy	3	2	0	**5**	-	4	9	0	**13**	-	23	8	0	**31**	-	49
% Heavy	2.7%	1.1%	0%	**1.7%**	-	1.1%	2.2%	0%	**1.7%**	-	3.4%	1.9%	0%	**2.8%**	-	2.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	**0**	-	1	0	0	**1**	-	0	3	0	**3**	-	4
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0.3%	0%	0%	**0.1%**	-	0%	0.7%	0%	**0.3%**	-	0.2%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	50	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	98.0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	2.0%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5467450 - Smyth and General Hospital E - Dec... - TMC

Wed Dec 11, 2019
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 735526, Location: 45.399388, -75.647834, Site Code: 39229103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



5467450 - Smyth and General Hospital E - Dec... - TMC

Wed Dec 11, 2019
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 735526, Location: 45.399388, -75.647834, Site Code: 39229103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA


Leg	North					West					East					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	**App**	Ped*	L	T	U	**App**	Ped*	T	R	U	**App**	Ped*	**Int**
2019-12-11 3:30PM	70	93	0	**163**	3	39	198	0	**237**	5	127	33	0	**160**	14	**560**
3:45PM	81	100	0	**181**	6	34	164	0	**198**	2	156	39	0	**195**	21	**574**
4:00PM	83	98	0	**181**	1	21	192	0	**213**	3	143	40	0	**183**	14	**577**
4:15PM	96	78	0	**174**	1	36	222	0	**258**	4	109	29	0	**138**	23	**570**
Total	330	369	0	**699**	11	130	776	0	**906**	14	535	141	0	**676**	72	**2281**
% Approach	47.2%	52.8%	0%	-	-	14.3%	85.7%	0%	-	-	79.1%	20.9%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	14.5%	16.2%	0%	**30.6%**	-	5.7%	34.0%	0%	**39.7%**	-	23.5%	6.2%	0%	**29.6%**	-	-
PHF	0.859	0.923	-	**0.965**	-	0.833	0.874	-	**0.878**	-	0.854	0.881	-	**0.864**	-	0.989
Lights and Motorcycles	329	363	0	**692**	-	123	756	0	**879**	-	522	137	0	**659**	-	2230
% Lights and Motorcycles	99.7%	98.4%	0%	**99.0%**	-	94.6%	97.4%	0%	**97.0%**	-	97.6%	97.2%	0%	**97.5%**	-	97.8%
Heavy	1	6	0	**7**	-	7	20	0	**27**	-	11	4	0	**15**	-	49
% Heavy	0.3%	1.6%	0%	**1.0%**	-	5.4%	2.6%	0%	**3.0%**	-	2.1%	2.8%	0%	**2.2%**	-	2.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	**0**	-	0	0	0	**0**	-	2	0	0	**2**	-	2
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0%	0%	0%	**0%**	-	0.4%	0%	0%	**0.3%**	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	70	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	97.2%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	2.8%	-

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

5467450 - Smyth and General Hospital E - Dec... - TMC

Wed Dec 11, 2019
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 735526, Location: 45.399388, -75.647834, Site Code: 39229103


Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA



Diagrams, Maps and Photographs

Ring Road N-S & Hospital Link Road (Loc 01)

Tuesday, March 19, 2024



Intersection Names – CHEO & General Hospital Campus

1. Ring Road N-S & Hospital Link Road;
2. Ring Road N-S & CHEO Main Access (West);
3. Ring Road N-S & Ring Road E-W;
4. Ring Road E-W & CHEO Main Access (South);
5. Ring Road E-W & Parking Lots A/E; and
6. Ring Road E-W & General Hospital Access


Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Ring Road N-S & Hospital Link Road (Loc 01) — Ottawa, ON


All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Total vehicle volume, all approaches. (B + C + D)

Tuesday, March 19, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18




AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0730-0830
Volume	650
PHF	0.96

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram




Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1530-1630
Volume	524
PHF	0.87

Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles


Ring Road N-S & CHEO Main Access West (Loc 02) — Ottawa, ON

All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Tuesday, March 19, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18




AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0730-0830
Volume	604
PHF	0.87

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1530-1630
Volume	535
PHF	0.80


Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Ring Road N-S & Ring Road E-W (Loc 03) — Ottawa, ON


All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Tuesday, March 19, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18

Total vehicle volume, all approaches. (A + C + D)




AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0730-0830
Volume	687
PHF	0.86

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram




Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1515-1615
Volume	637
PHF	0.85


Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles


Ring Road E-W & CHEO Main Access South (Loc 04) — Ottawa, ON

All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)


Wednesday, March 20, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18








AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0815-0915
Volume	317
PHF	0.84

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram




Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1515-1615
Volume	244
PHF	0.85

Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Ring Road E-W & Parking Lot A&E (Loc 05) — Ottawa, ON


All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Wednesday, March 20, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18






AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0845-0945
Volume	236
PHF	0.82

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram




Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1500-1600
Volume	181
PHF	0.82

Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles


Ring Road E-W & General Hospital Access (Loc 06) — Ottawa, ON

All Vehicles
(Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Tuesday, March 19, 2024
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 18




AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0715-0815
Volume	1113
PHF	0.91

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram



Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1500-1600
Volume	1010
PHF	0.86

APPENDIX E

Collision Records

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2017 **To:** December 31, 2021

Location: HIGHLAND TER @ SMYTH RD

Traffic Control: Stop sign **Total Collisions:** 2

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2017-May-17, Wed,16:06	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	West	Changing lanes	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2020-Nov-20, Fri,18:08	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injury	Dry	West	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Overtaking	Police vehicle	Other motor vehicle	

Location: SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E

Traffic Control: Traffic signal **Total Collisions:** 17

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2017-Dec-08, Fri,06:52	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Jan-26, Fri,08:30	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Loose snow	East	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Jun-27, Wed,07:32	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	Dry	East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Jul-06, Fri,07:29	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Oct-17, Wed,11:10	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	South	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Nov-30, Fri,15:32	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injury	Dry	West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2017 **To:** December 31, 2021

Location: SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E

Traffic Control: Traffic signal **Total Collisions:** 17

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2019-Jan-23, Wed,07:15	Snow	Sideswipe	Non-reportable	Packed snow	East	Changing lanes	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Sep-05, Thu,07:57	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	Dry	East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Sep-10, Tue,09:00	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	West	Going ahead	Truck - dump	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Dec-14, Sat,22:42	Snow	SMV other	P.D. only	Packed snow	East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Pole (utility, power)	0
2019-Dec-20, Fri,16:22	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2020-Jan-15, Wed,18:00	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Municipal transit bus	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2020-Jan-27, Mon,16:09	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	South	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2020-Mar-03, Tue,11:30	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Loose snow	West	Going ahead	School van	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Slowing or stopping	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2020-Mar-10, Tue,09:10	Rain	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Wet	East	Going ahead	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2021-Feb-03, Wed,06:40	Snow	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Slush	South	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Turning left	Ambulance	Other motor vehicle	
2021-Nov-26, Fri,07:10	Rain	Turning movement	P.D. only	Wet	East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2017 **To:** December 31, 2021

Location: SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Traffic Control: Traffic signal **Total Collisions:** 13

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2017-Jan-27, Fri,09:43	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					South	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2017-Jun-27, Tue,12:44	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	Dry	West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
2017-Jun-27, Tue,13:43	Rain	Turning movement	P.D. only	Wet	West	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
2017-Aug-06, Sun,19:50	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2017-Nov-03, Fri,19:44	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					North	Turning left	Bus (other)	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Nov-07, Wed,18:00	Rain	Rear end	P.D. only	Wet	East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Stopped	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Jan-07, Mon,08:35	Clear	Turning movement	P.D. only	Ice	West	Turning left	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Jan-22, Tue,10:40	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	Packed snow	East	Going ahead	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					North	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Aug-12, Mon,14:40	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	East	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Nov-29, Fri,16:49	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	East	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Dec-04, Wed,09:50	Snow	Rear end	P.D. only	Wet	East	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2017 **To:** December 31, 2021

Location: SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Traffic Control: Traffic signal **Total Collisions:** 13

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2020-Sep-29, Tue,19:59	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injury	Dry	East	Turning left	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2021-Mar-23, Tue,09:36	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	East	Changing lanes	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	


Location: SMYTH RD btwn HIGHLAND TERR & RING RD/GENERAL HOSPITAL

Traffic Control: No control **Total Collisions:** 3

Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuver	Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2017-Nov-15, Wed,17:00	Rain	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Wet	East	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
2018-Oct-03, Wed,23:50	Clear	Rear end	P.D. only	Dry	East	Pulling onto shoulder or toward curb	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Overtaking	Police vehicle	Other motor vehicle	
2019-Apr-18, Thu,16:45	Clear	Sideswipe	P.D. only	Dry	East	Unknown	Unknown	Other motor vehicle	0
					East	Changing lanes	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	

APPENDIX F

Strategic Long-Range Model Snapshots



TRANS Regional Model

Version 2.15 - Assigned June 16, 2020

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

Smyth Road

2011 Model - Basecase

N/A

User: Manav Singla
Plot Prepared: January 29, 2024
EMME Scenario: 21713

Legend

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

1000 2000 3000 4000 5000

Distance (m)


100 200 300 400 500

N

The TRANS model is continuously refined & maintained, and all information is provided in good faith. However, model outputs are provided "as is", and no warranty or guarantee is provided as to the accuracy, reliability or reasonableness of the results. In using this data, you agree to accept any and all risks arising from any incorrect, incomplete, or misleading information.

Recipients are required to use caution and professional judgement in using and interpreting model outputs. In particular, caution should be used when focusing on a geographically limited area (such as a single road or intersection), as the model is primarily designed to simulate regional-scale phenomena and has been calibrated at a regional level.

As general good practice, it is recommended that the user confirm the network coding within the area of interest, and compare base year forecasts against traffic count data to assess the extent to which the model may be over- or under-estimating the travel demand.



TRANS Regional Model

Version 2.15 - Assigned June 16, 2020

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

Smyth Road

2031 Model - Basecase

N/A

User: Manav Singla
Plot Prepared: January 29, 2024
EMME Scenario: 21717

TRANS

Legend

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

1000 2000 3000 4000 5000

N

Distance (m)

100 200 300 400 500

The TRANS model is continuously refined & maintained, and all information is provided in good faith. However, model outputs are provided "as is", and no warranty or guarantee is provided as to the accuracy, reliability or reasonableness of the results. In using this data, you agree to accept any and all risks arising from any incorrect, incomplete, or misleading information.


Recipients are required to use caution and professional judgement in using and interpreting model outputs. In particular, caution should be used when focusing on a geographically limited area (such as a single road or intersection), as the model is primarily designed to simulate regional-scale phenomena and has been calibrated at a regional level.

As general good practice, it is recommended that the user confirm the network coding within the area of interest, and compare base year forecasts against traffic count data to assess the extent to which the model may be over- or under-estimating the travel demand.

APPENDIX G


Other Area Developments

Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan



St Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster

The St Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection. The north and south legs consist of two thru lanes, an auxiliary left-turn lane and a YIELD-controlled channelized right-turn lane. The west leg consists of two left-turn lanes, a single thru lane and a YIELD-controlled channelized right-turn lane. The east leg consists of two thru lanes, an auxiliary left-turn lane and a YIELD-controlled channelized right-turn lane. All left-turn movements at the intersection are fully protected. There are no restricted movements at the intersection.



Existing Driveways to Adjacent Developments

In the interim, connectivity to the residential apartments to/from St Laurent Blvd will be limited to pedestrians, cyclists and emergency vehicles. As such, all traffic generated by both existing and planned future residential developments along Everest Priv will be directed to/from Russell Rd only[1]. There are no existing driveways between the planned future development sites and Russell Rd (200 m radius).

Pedestrian/Cycling Network

With regards to pedestrians, sidewalk facilities and pathways are provided throughout Everest Priv and provide connectivity to Russell Rd and St Laurent Blvd. Along Russell Rd, sidewalks are provided on both the east and west sides of the roadway, between the Perley-Rideau access and Smyth Rd, and on the east side only of the roadway between the Perley-Rideau access and Industrial Ave. A pedestrian crossing signal (PXO) is provided at the south crosswalk of the Perley-Rideau driveway access. Along St Laurent Blvd, pedestrians have access to the sidewalk on the west side of the roadway.


With regards to cyclists, Russell Rd allows mixed traffic, while St Laurent Blvd is classified as a spine route and provides elevated cycling tracks on both sides of the roadway.

Transit Network and Bus Services

The following OC Transpo bus routes operate within the study area:

- **Route #40 (St Laurent <-> Greenboro, Hurdman)**: identified by OC Transpo as a "Frequent Route", this route operates at an average rate of every 15 minutes or less during weekday peak hours periods. The nearest bus stops to the site are available along St Laurent Blvd.
- **Route #42 (Blair <-> Hurdman)**: identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Route", this route operates at a rate of every 15-to-30 minutes during weekday morning and afternoon peak hour periods. The nearest bus stops to the future development site are available along Industrial Ave.
- **Route #46 (Hurdman <-> Billings Bridge)**: identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Route", this route operates at a rate of every 15 minutes during weekday morning and afternoon peak hour periods. The route runs along Russell Rd.
- **Route #55 (Elmvale <-> Bayshore)**: identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Rote", this route operates at a rate of every 15-to-30 minutes during weekday peak hour periods. The nearest bus stops to the development site are available along Smyth Rd.

[1] Until such time that traffic signal control is provided at St. Laurent Boulevard as part of future commercial development.



SITE PLAN - PROPOSED (1 / A100D) 1:500

REFERENCE SURVEY:	1) 2023-11-25 REF. NO. 105 - 15 (JG) GR*
	2) 2022-11-22 FILE NO. 623-22*
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	451 SMYTH ROAD, OTTAWA ON
	K1H 8M5, CANADA

AREA OF WORK:	24,078.02 M2
COMPLETE SITE AREA:	204,834.211 M2
GFA (CITY OF OTTAWA DEF.) OF PROPOSED AMRC:	13,726.17 M2
GFA (CITY OF OTTAWA DEF.) OF EXIST. BUILDINGS ON SITE:	128,023 M2
GFA (CITY OF OTTAWA DEF.) OF PROPOSED + EXIST. BLDGS ON SITE:	141,749.17 M2
BUILDING HEIGHT:	40.3M (6 STOREYS + MECHANICAL PENTHOUSE AND ATRIUM ROOF)
ZONE:	I2 [402] F(1.5) S144
SCHEDULE 1:	N/A
SCHEDULE 1A:	N/A

ZONING PROVISION	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
MIN. LOT WIDTH	NO MINIMUM	257.4 M
MIN. LOT AREA	NO MINIMUM	204834.211 M
MIN. FRONT YARD SETBACK	45M	YES - 365M
MIN. CORNER YARD SETBACK	7.5M	YES - 103.7M
MIN. REAR YARD SETBACK	7.5M	YES - 26.1
MIN. INTERIOR YARD SETBACK	7.5M	YES - 28.9M
MAX. HEIGHT	NO MAXIMUM	39.1 M
LANDSCAPED AREA (AREA OF WORK)	15%	22.8% (5502.69 M2)
LANDSCAPED AREA (SITE)	15%	29% (59721.75 M2)

PARKING QUEING + LOADING	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
VISITOR SPACES (EXISTING)	N/A	879
STAFF SPACES (EXISTING)	N/A	1219
SPACES TB ELIMINATED (EXISTING)	N/A	361
VISITOR + STAFF SPACES (NEW)	N/A	120
SERVICE SPACES (NEW)	N/A	6
ACCESSIBLE PARKING (AMRC)	3	6
TOTAL PARKING (NEW AMRC)	103	126
TOTAL PARKING (SITE + AMRC)	1720	1863
BICYCLE PARKING (NEW AMRC)	55	222
BICYCLE PARKING (SITE + AMRC)	267	699

SITE PLAN GENERAL NOTES:

1. ALL SITE INFORMATION GATHERED FROM SURVEYS 2022-11-22 FILE NO. 623-22 AND 2023-11-25 REF. NO. 101 - 15 (JG) GR
2. NO EXISTING TREES ARE TO BE RETAINED - ALL TREES IN PLAN WILL BE PLANTED.
3. SNOW STORAGE WILL OCCUR OFF SITE. AN INTERIM SNOW STORAGE LOCATION HAS BEEN OUTLINED AS PER THE RELEVANT HATCH (SEE LEGEND).

* 1) A PORTION OF THE LANDS OWNED BY THE OTTAWA HEALTH SCIENCES CENTRE INC. AND CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF EASTERN ONTARIO CHILDREN'S TREATMENT CENTRE, CITY OF OTTAWA, FARLEY, SMITH & DENIS SURVEYING LTD. DATED 2023-11-25


* 2) PART OF LOTS 36, 35 & 30, PART OF TERRACE ROAD CLOSED BY JUDGE'S ORDER INSTR.GL404431 REGISTERED PLAN 405 PART OF LOT 15 JUNCTION GORE, GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF GLOUCESTER BEING PART OF THE NORTHERLY AND WESTERLY LIMITS OF P.I.R. 54258-0402 CITY OF OTTAWA; FARLEY, SMITH & DENIS SURVEYING LTD. DATED, 2022-11-22

LEGEND


- EXISTING ELEMENT
- NEW ELEMENT
- NEW ELEMENT - CANOPY
- AREA OF WORK
- SNOW STORAGE AREA
- LANDSCAPED AREA
- BUILDING ENTRANCE
- SERVICE ENTRANCE
- EMERGENCY EXIT
- TREE
- EV ELECTRIC VEHICLE PARKING SPOT
- ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPOT
- CR CURB RAMP
- STANDARD EXT. LIGHT FIXTURE
- STANDARD EXT. POST LIGHT
- PROPERTY LINE
- AREA OF WORK LINE

0 10 20 50 100


SCALE BAR 1:500




DETAIL - TYPICAL CURB RAMP (2 / A100D) NTS



DETAIL - TYPICAL BICYCLE RACK (3 / A100D) NTS



DETAIL - TYPICAL EV CHARGER (4 / A100D) NTS



DETAIL - TYPICAL WASTE ENCLOSURE (5 / A100D) NTS

TN

No.	Description	Date
1	ISSUED FOR SPA PHASE 3 RESUBMISSION	02/26/24
1	ISSUED FOR REVISED SITE PLAN APPL.	01/22/24

Revisions:

All measurements are to be checked and verified on site by the contractor before proceeding with the work.

Do not scale the drawings.

PARKIN

331 Laurier Street, Suite 200, Ottawa, Canada K1P 0P6 613.789.7788

Owner:
uOttawa
UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA
451 SMYTH ROAD
OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1H 8M5
TEL: 613-229-7104

Applicant:
PCL
PCL CONSTRUCTORS CANADA INC.
43 AUBIGA DRIVE
NEPEAN, ONTARIO, K2E 8A1
TEL: 613-229-7104

Consultants:
wsp
WSP
2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300
OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K2B 8K2
TEL: 613-829-2800

Fairhall Moffatt & Woodland LIMITED
FAIRHALL MOFFATT & WOODLAND LTD.
600 TERRY FOX DRIVE, SUITE 100
OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K2L 4B6
TEL: 613-591-1495

CLELAND JARDINE ENGINEERING
CLELAND JARDINE ENGINEERING LTD.
580 TERRY FOX DRIVE
KANATA, ONTARIO, K2L 4B9
TEL: 613-591-1495

MERRICK CANADA
MERRICK
580 TERRY FOX DRIVE
KANATA, ONTARIO, K2L 4B9
TEL: 613-592-5289

Project:
ADVANCED MEDICAL RESEARCH CENTRE


Drawn By:
Date: 02/26/2024
Checked By:
Scale: 1:500

Sheet Title:
SITE PLAN - PROPOSED

Sheet Number:
A100D

FILENAME: N:\2021\project\21-0703\2021-0703-04 AMRC\graphics\cover\working\indesign\cover design\Working Updates\A100D-SITE PLAN - PROPOSED_PAL.dwg

A0 841x1189



Legend

xx A.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

(xx) P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Figure 2-8

Development-Generated Auto Trips

Figure 1: Local Context




Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan



Site Plan received August 2023

signalized intersection will be located approximately 150m south of St. Laurent Boulevard. The 'new' site-generated vehicle trips provided in **Table 12,** were assigned to the study area network as shown in **Figure 14**. Note that negative numbers reflect pass-by trips.

Figure 14: 'New' Site-Generated Traffic



3.2. Background Network Travel Demands

3.2.1. Transportation Network Plans

As mentioned in **Section 2.1.3** Planned Conditions, St. Laurent Boulevard is designated as a 'transit priority corridor with isolated measures' from Montreal Road to Elmvale Shopping Center within the 2031 Affordable Network and an at grade transitway within the New Official Plan.

The St. Laurent Transit Priority Corridor Planning and Environmental Assessment Study between Hemlock Road and Industrial Avenue is currently ongoing. Though the design is still in its early stages, the study aims at improving transit efficiency and connectivity to LRT while also improving the travel environment for all other modes of transportation such as pedestrians and cyclists. The EA study is anticipated to be complete by winter 2024.

For further detail, refer to **Section 2.1.3**.

3.2.2. Background Growth


The emphasis in the City's recent Official Plan and Transportation Master Plan is to place priority on transit, encourage intensification around transit stations, encourage mixed-use developments and provide "complete streets" that better accommodate the active transportation needs of its residents and reduce the use of the private auto. Given the location of the site near frequent bus service within the St. Laurent transit priority corridor, close bus connectivity to the LRT Confederation Line and Elmvale Mall, the trips generated from this development as well as nearby developments will likely choose alternate modes of transportation over driving as transit infrastructure improves.

The following background traffic growth (summarized in **Table 13**) was calculated based on historical traffic count data (years 2001, 2009, 2014, 2016 and 2020) provided by the City of Ottawa at the Smyth/St. Laurent intersection near the site. Note that the year 2012 was omitted as counts were almost double any other year count and it was considered an anomaly year or miscount. Detailed background traffic growth analysis is included as **Appendix E**.

Table 13: Smyth/St. Laurent Historical Background Growth (2001-2020)

Time Period	Percent Annual Change				
	North Leg	South Leg	East Leg	West Leg	Overall
8 hrs	-0.17%	-0.13%	1.66%	0.47%	0.17%
AM Peak	-0.24%	0.00%	1.77%	0.52%	0.23%
PM Peak	-0.48%	-0.42%	1.53%	0.33%	-0.06%

As shown in **Table 13**, the Smyth/St. Laurent intersection, has experienced between 0.25% to 0% overall annual growth in traffic within recent years. A conservative growth rate of 1% annually will be added to background growth to account for future potential growth to the south and surrounding areas.



1 MASTER SITE PLAN SHOWING PROPOSED MULTI-USE PATHWAY & LINEAR PARK
A1.11 | 1 : 500

0m 10m 20m 30m 40m 50m
VISUAL SCALE 1:500 @ A1

SCHLEGEL VILLAGES

CORNERSTONE ARCHITECTURE


CSV ARCHITECTS
sustainable design · conception écologique

SCHLEGEL VILLAGES
OTTAWA
SITE MASTER PLAN

1919, 1967 RIVERSIDE DRIVE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO

2022-11-01

Figure 12: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



6 Background Network Travel Demands

6.1 Transportation Network Plans

The transportation network plans were discussed in Section 2.3. Changes from the Smyth Road Cycling Safety Improvements project will be included in the future conditions.

6.2 Background Growth

A review of the background projections from the City's TRANS Regional Model for the 2011 and 2031 horizons was completed to determine the background growth for each of the study area roadways, and these horizons were compared to the existing roadway volumes. Table 16 summarizes the results of the model, and the projections are provided in Appendix E.

Figure 2: Concept Plan





Figure 13: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



6 Background Network Travel Demands

6.1 Transportation Network Plans


The transportation network plans were discussed in Section 2.3. None of the proposed changes are considered to have any notable impact on the study area traffic volumes and travel patterns.

6.2 Background Growth

A review of the background projections from the City's TRANS Regional Model for the 2011 and 2031 horizons was completed to determine the background growth for each of the study area roadways. The TRANS model plots and a summary of the results of the model interpolation are provided in Appendix E.

When comparing the existing volumes to 2031 horizons, the existing volumes northbound and southbound directions in the study area have exceeded the forecasted volumes. Resultantly, growth rates derived from the existing horizon to the 2031 model horizon rounded to the nearest 0.25% will be peak-directionally applied to the appropriate roadway's mainline volumes and to the appropriate major turning movements at the intersections. Table 14 summarizes the growth rates applied within the study area.

Figure 7: AM and PM Peak Hour Site Generated Trips



4. Analysis

4.1 Development Design

The proposed development and its transportation network elements were reviewed in order to ensure that a safe and efficient design has been proposed that will encourage walking, cycling, and transit use.

Pedestrian facilities will be provided between the proposed parking garage building entrance and the CHEO hospital facilities. A connection to the sidewalk along Ring Road (E-W) will be provided, as shown on the site plan. Sidewalks will be depressed and continuous across the study area road network, in accordance with City standards.

Bicycle parking will also be facilitated at the parking garage. It will be located at the south side of the garage and will be in accordance wit the minimum requirement of the City's Zoning By-Law. A copy of the proposed site plan is included in ***Appendix E***.

OC Transpo's service will not have its riders destined to the parking garage so the associated design features for transit do not come into play. However, if one feels they should be in play, the guidelines for peak period service to provide service within a five minute (400m) walk of the proposed development should be confirmed. Stops #1808, #7072, #1806, and #7234 are all located within 400m actual walking distance (measured using legal crosswalks) of the proposed development. As stated previously, the nearest bus stops to the subject site are described in ***Section 2.1.2*** and shown in ***Figure 4***.

A review of the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) – Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist has been conducted. A copy of the TDM checklist is included in ***Appendix F***. All required TDM-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist are met.

4.2 Parking

The parking garage itself does not generate a need for parking. It is the medical related buildings on campus that generate the parking needs. However, if one was to apply the by-law rates to identify parking requirements the following would come into play. The subject site is located in Area C on Schedule 1 and 1A of the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-Law. Minimum vehicular and bicycle parking rates for the proposed uses are identified and are summarized in the following ***Table 9***.

Table 9: Parking Requirement Per Zoning By-Law

Land Use	Rate	Units/GFA	Required
Minimum Vehicle Parking			
Hospital	0.7 per 100 m^2 of gross floor area	33,500 m^2	234.5
		Proposed Vehicle Parking	**1,050 Total**
Minimum Bicycle Parking			
Hospital	1 per 1000 m^2 of gross floor area	33,500 m^2	33.5
		Proposed Bicycle Parking	**40 Total**

The proposed development will include 1,050 parking spaces in a parking garage accessible via Ring Road (E-W), meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements. As the proposed supply of on-site parking meets or exceeds the By-law requirement, no further review of vehicular parking is required.

As was the case for vehicle parking, bicycle parking would not apply for a parking garage. However, if bicycle parking was calculated for the garage the proposed development will include a total of 40 bicycle parking spaces, meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements for all land uses in the Site Plan.

The TIA guidelines identify the need to review spillover parking when the parking supply is 15% below demand. As the 1,050 proposed parking spaces are exceeded the required demand, a review of spillover parking is not required for the TIA.

Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan



SITE PLAN



LOCATION PLAN

SITE INFO.

SITE AREA	25283.0 sq. m.
EXISTING ZONING	AM10(2210)
GROSS FLOOR AREA (ZONING DEFINITION)	
2 EXISTING APARTMENTS (9 STOREY BUILDINGS)	~25,245.0 SQ.M.
EXISTING RESIDENTIAL UNITS	340

PROJECT INFO.

NORTH BUILDING

BUILDING HEIGHT	27 STOREY
GROSS FLOOR AREA (ZONING DEFINITION)	20111.5 SQ.M.
RESIDENTIAL UNITS	292

SOUTH BUILDING

BUILDING HEIGHT	18 STOREY
GROSS FLOOR AREA (ZONING DEFINITION)	14911.7 SQ.M.
RESIDENTIAL UNITS	218

TOTAL RESIDENTIAL UNITS (PROPOSED)	498 (INCLUDING 199 TWO BEDROOM)
TOTAL GFA. (PROPOSED)	35023.2 SQ.M.

CAR PARKING (FOR EXISTING + PROPOSED)

ZONING REQUIRED (0.6+0.1)	595
PROVIDED	715 (183 SURFACE +532 UNDERGROUND)

BICYCLE PARKING (FOR PROPOSED)

ZONING REQUIRED (0.5)	255
PROVIDED	255

AMENITY SPACE (FOR PROPOSED)

ZONING REQUIRED (6 SQ.M. /PER UNITS)	3060 SQ.M.
MIN. COMMUNAL	1530 SQ.M.
PROVIDED COMMUNAL	2605 SQ.M. (890 INDOOR +1715 ROOFDECK)

LANDSCAPE AREA (INCLUDING 10% PARK LAND)	~ 40%
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL UNITS (EXISTING + PROPOSED)	838
TOTAL GFA. (EXISTING + PROPOSED)	60268.2 SQ.M.
FSI (EXISTING + PROPOSED)	~ 2.4

rla/architecture

PLOT DATE: Friday, August 27, 2021

2025 OTHELLO AVENUE
OTTAWA ONTARIO

Osgoode PROPERTIES

SHEET # 01

PROJ. # 1954

Figure 10: 'New' Site-Generated Traffic





Figure 11: 'Existing' Site-Generated Traffic



3.1.3. Trip Assignment

Site-generated trips were then assigned to the road network based on the proportions developed in ***Section 3.1.2***. The AM and PM peak hour site-generated traffic volumes are presented in ***Figure 8***.




Figure 8: AM and PM Peak Hour Site Generated Trips

4.2 Parking

The parking garage itself does not generate a need for parking. It is the medical related buildings on campus that generate the parking needs. However, if one was to apply the by-law rates to identify parking requirements the following would come into play. The subject site is located in Area C on Schedule 1 and 1A of the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-Law. Minimum vehicular and bicycle parking rates for the proposed uses are identified and are summarized in the following ***Table 10***.

Table 10: Parking Requirement Per Zoning By-Law

Land Use	Rate	Units/GFA	Required
Minimum Vehicle Parking			
Hospital	0.7 per 100 m^2 of gross floor area	33,500 m^2	234.5
		Proposed Vehicle Parking	**1,050 Total**
Minimum Bicycle Parking			
Hospital	1 per 1,000 m^2 of gross floor area	33,500 m^2	33.5
		Proposed Bicycle Parking	**40 Total**

The proposed development will include 1,083 parking spaces in a parking garage accessible via Ring Road (E-W), meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements. As the proposed supply of on-site parking meets or exceeds the By-law requirement, no further review of vehicular parking is required.

As was the case for vehicle parking, bicycle parking would not apply for a parking garage. However, if bicycle parking was calculated for the garage the proposed development will include a total of 40 bicycle parking spaces, meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements for all land uses in the Site Plan.

The TIA guidelines identify the need to review spillover parking when the parking supply is 15% below demand. As the 1,083 proposed parking spaces exceeded the required demand, a review of spillover parking is not required for the TIA.

APPENDIX H

Signal Timing Plans

Traffic Signal Timing

City of Ottawa, Public Works Department


Traffic Signal Operations Unit

Intersection:	***Main:*** Smyth	***Side:***	South Haven/Ring
Controller:	**ATC 3**	**TSD:**	**5545**
Author:	Ricardo Bettencourt-Da Silva	**Date:**	09-Jan-2024


Existing Timing Plans†

	Plan				**Ped Minimum Time**		
	AM Peak 1	**Off Peak** 2	**PM Peak** 3	**Night** 4	***Walk***	***DW***	***A+R***
Cycle	115	105	115	65			
Offset	66	41	62	X			
EB Thru	84	74	78	36	7	19	3.3+2.4
WB Thru	42	49	62	36	7	19	3.3+2.4
NB Thru	31	31	37	29	7	16	3.3+2.2
SB Thru	31	31	37	29	7	16	3.3+2.2
EB Left	42	25	16	-	-	-	3.3+2.3

Plan: **1,2,3**



Plan: **4**



Notes: 1) The NB & SB thru movements are prohibited with bicycles excepted.

Schedule

Weekday

Time	Plan
0:15	4
6:15	1
9:30	2
15:00	3
18:30	2
22:30	4

Weekend

Time	Plan
0:15	4
8:00	2
22:30	4

Notes

†: Time for each direction includes amber and all red intervals

‡: Start of first phase should be used as reference point for offset

Asterisk (*) Indicates actuated phase

Traffic Signal Timing

City of Ottawa, Public Works Department

Traffic Signal Operations Unit


Intersection:	***Main:*** Smyth	***Side:***	General Hospital
Controller:	**ATC 3**	**TSD:**	**5585**
Author:	Ricardo Bettencourt-Da Silva	**Date:**	09-Jan-2024

Existing Timing Plans†


	Plan				**Ped Minimum Time**		
	AM Peak 1	**Off Peak** 2	**PM Peak** 3	**Night** 4	***Walk***	***DW***	***A+R***
Cycle	115	105	115	85			
Offset	43	35	59	X			
EB Thru	70	56	55	42	-	-	3.3+3.1
WB Thru	42	42	43	42	7	28	3.3+3.1
East Ped	32	32	32	32	7	21	3.0+1.0
SB Thru	45	49	60	32	7	17	3.3+2.2
SB Left (fp)	13	17	28	11	-	-	3.3+2.6
WB Right	13	17	28	11	-	-	3.3+2.6
EB Left	28	14	12	-	-	-	3.3+2.4
SB Right	28	14	12	-	-	-	3.3+2.4

Phasing Sequence‡

Plan: 1,2,3



Plan: 4



Schedule

Weekday

Time	Plan
0:15	4
6:15	1
9:30	2
15:00	3
18:30	2
22:30	4

Weekend

Time	Plan
0:15	4
8:00	2
22:30	4

Notes

†: Time for each direction includes amber and all red intervals

‡: Start of first phase should be used as reference point for offset

Asterisk (*) Indicates actuated phase

(fp): Fully Protected Left Turn

◄·····► Pedestrian signal

Cost is $62.38 ($55.20 + HST)

APPENDIX I


Detailed Analysis Reports


Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	364	764	2	1	556	103	6	1	0	30	0	120
Future Volume (vph)	364	764	2	1	556	103	6	1	0	30	0	120
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			0.99			1.00		0.99		0.98
Frt					0.977							0.850
Flt Protected		0.984						0.958		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3446	0	0	3361	0	0	1766	0	1717	0	1493
Flt Permitted		0.516			0.954			0.958		0.752		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1803	0	0	3206	0	0	1761	0	1345	0	1468
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					19							133
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		3	3		15	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3						1			2
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%
Adj. Flow (vph)	404	849	2	1	618	114	7	1	0	33	0	133
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1255	0	0	733	0	0	8	0	33	0	133
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	42.0	84.0		42.0	42.0		31.0	31.0		31.0		31.0
Total Split (%)	36.5%	73.0%		36.5%	36.5%		27.0%	27.0%		27.0%		27.0%
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	78.3		36.3	36.3		25.5	25.5		25.5		25.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		93.3			36.3			10.5		10.5		10.5
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.81			0.32			0.09		0.09		0.09
v/c Ratio		0.57			0.72			0.05		0.27		0.52
Control Delay		4.4			32.9			47.9		54.3		16.0
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		4.4			32.9			47.9		54.3		16.0
LOS		A			C			D		D		B
Approach Delay		4.4			32.9			47.9			23.6	
Approach LOS		A			C			D			C	

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 66 (57%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 90			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72			
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.7		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.9%		ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	368	415	667	418	113	174		
Future Volume (vph)	368	415	667	418	113	174		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	1.00			0.97	0.59	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3502	3468	1567	3364	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.308				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	567	3502	3468	1523	1975	1519		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				464		42		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3			3	51	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				3				
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	409	461	741	464	126	193		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	409	461	741	464	126	193		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	28.0	70.0	42.0	13.0	13.0	28.0	32.0	45.0
Total Split (%)	24.3%	60.9%	36.5%	11.3%	11.3%	24.3%	28%	39%
Maximum Green (s)	22.3	63.6	35.6	7.1	7.1	22.3	28.0	39.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	93.6	92.9	67.8	78.1	9.8	29.4		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.81	0.81	0.59	0.68	0.09	0.26		
v/c Ratio	0.62	0.16	0.36	0.39	0.44	0.45		
Control Delay	12.6	2.6	14.4	1.7	54.3	26.1		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	12.6	2.6	14.4	1.7	54.3	26.1		
LOS	B	A	B	A	D	C		
Approach Delay		7.3	9.5		37.2			
Approach LOS		A	A		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 43 (37%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 105			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62			
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.9%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	273	147	29	74	54	73
Future Volume (vph)	273	147	29	74	54	73
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	303	163	32	82	60	81

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	466	114	141
Volume Left (vph)	0	32	60
Volume Right (vph)	163	0	81
Hadj (s)	-0.13	0.12	-0.12
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.9	5.1
Degree Utilization, x	0.56	0.16	0.20
Capacity (veh/h)	812	692	635
Control Delay (s)	12.5	8.8	9.3
Approach Delay (s)	12.5	8.8	9.3
Approach LOS	B	A	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	11.3		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	46.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	322	49	30	65	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	322	49	30	65	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	8	92	2	41	4	358	54	33	72	2

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	11	135	416	107
Volume Left (vph)	3	92	4	33
Volume Right (vph)	8	41	54	2
Hadj (s)	-0.35	0.11	0.00	0.12
Departure Headway (s)	5.0	5.2	4.4	4.9
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.20	0.51	0.15
Capacity (veh/h)	628	625	790	694
Control Delay (s)	8.1	9.5	12.0	8.7
Approach Delay (s)	8.1	9.5	12.0	8.7
Approach LOS	A	A	B	A


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.9			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	47.2%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	27	50	321	132	32	125
Future Volume (Veh/h)	27	50	321	132	32	125
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	30	56	357	147	36	139
Pedestrians	8					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	650	438			512	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	650	438			512	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	93	91			96	
cM capacity (veh/h)	415	605			1019	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	86	504	175
Volume Left	30	0	36
Volume Right	56	147	0
cSH	521	1700	1019
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.30	0.04
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.1	0.0	0.8
Control Delay (s)	13.3	0.0	2.0
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	13.3	0.0	2.0
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	47.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	39	158	77	54	19	4
Pedestrians			17		2	
Lane Width (m)			4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage			2		0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	133				359	106
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	133				359	106
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	97				97	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1449				575	946

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	197	131	23
Volume Left	39	0	19
Volume Right	0	54	4
cSH	1449	1700	617
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.08	0.04
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.6	0.0	0.8
Control Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	11.1
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	11.1
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Future Volume (Veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	147	84	107	56	22	23
Pedestrians		2	28		7	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	3		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	170				548	144
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	170				548	144
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	89				95	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	1344				419	879

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	231	163	45
Volume Left	147	0	22
Volume Right	0	56	23
cSH	1344	1700	572
Volume to Capacity	0.11	0.10	0.08
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.6	0.0	1.8
Control Delay (s)	5.4	0.0	11.8
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	5.4	0.0	11.8
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.1		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	35.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	461	12	137	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	461	12	137	15
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	24	21	176	28	21	102	149	512	13	152	17
Pedestrians		27			65						4	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0						4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage		3			7						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	606	632	188	638	640	218	196			214		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	606	632	188	638	640	218	196			214		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	93	97	39	91	97	92			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	300	326	802	287	303	759	1307			1258		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	66	117	108	251	512	182
Volume Left	21	117	59	102	0	13
Volume Right	21	0	21	0	512	17
cSH	389	287	332	1307	1700	1258
Volume to Capacity	0.17	0.41	0.32	0.08	0.30	0.01
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.2	13.3	9.6	1.8	0.0	0.2
Control Delay (s)	16.2	25.9	21.0	3.7	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	C	D	C	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	16.2	23.6		1.2		0.6
Approach LOS	C	C				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	60.2%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			




Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	91	523	4	4	879	11	5	0	4	139	0	327
Future Volume (vph)	91	523	4	4	879	11	5	0	4	139	0	327
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			1.00			0.99		0.99		0.97
Frt		0.999			0.998			0.946				0.850
Flt Protected		0.993						0.971		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3435	0	0	3493	0	0	1679	0	1751	0	1567
Flt Permitted		0.607			0.953			0.971		0.751		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2098	0	0	3328	0	0	1674	0	1372	0	1523
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		1			1			79				229
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		8	8		15	3		6	6		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						14
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	101	581	4	4	977	12	6	0	4	154	0	363
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	686	0	0	993	0	0	10	0	154	0	363
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	16.0	78.0		62.0	62.0		37.0	37.0		37.0		37.0
Total Split (%)	13.9%	67.8%		53.9%	53.9%		32.2%	32.2%		32.2%		32.2%
Maximum Green (s)	10.4	72.3		56.3	56.3		31.5	31.5		31.5		31.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		84.6			56.3			19.2		19.2		19.2
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.74			0.49			0.17		0.17		0.17
v/c Ratio		0.38			0.61			0.03		0.68		0.82
Control Delay		6.4			22.8			0.1		58.3		31.2
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		6.4			22.8			0.1		58.3		31.2
LOS		A			C			A		E		C
Approach Delay		6.4			22.8			0.1			39.3	
Approach LOS		A			C			A			D	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 62 (54%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 75			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82			
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.5		Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.7%		ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth






Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	130	776	533	141	330	369		
Future Volume (vph)	130	776	533	141	330	369		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	0.99			0.96	0.81	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1701	3468	3502	1551	3397	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.369				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	657	3468	3502	1491	2761	1517		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				157		84		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11			11	72	14		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				2				
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	144	862	592	157	367	410		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	144	862	592	157	367	410		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	12.0	55.0	43.0	28.0	28.0	12.0	32.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	10.4%	47.8%	37.4%	24.3%	24.3%	10.4%	28%	52%
Maximum Green (s)	6.3	48.6	36.6	22.1	22.1	6.3	28.0	54.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	85.8	85.1	64.7	82.9	17.6	32.5		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.74	0.56	0.72	0.15	0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.34	0.30	0.14	0.71	0.83		
Control Delay	4.6	4.8	15.4	1.1	53.7	41.0		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	4.6	4.8	15.4	1.1	53.7	41.0		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	D		
Approach Delay		4.8	12.4		47.0			
Approach LOS		A	B		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 59 (51%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83			
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.0		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.8%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	84	48	54	225	85	28
Future Volume (vph)	84	48	54	225	85	28
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	93	53	60	250	94	31

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	146	310	125
Volume Left (vph)	0	60	94
Volume Right (vph)	53	0	31
Hadj (s)	-0.14	0.10	0.17
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	4.5	5.1
Degree Utilization, x	0.18	0.39	0.18
Capacity (veh/h)	774	771	651
Control Delay (s)	8.4	10.3	9.2
Approach Delay (s)	8.4	10.3	9.2
Approach LOS	A	B	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	9.6		
Level of Service	A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	44.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	42	24	17	292	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	42	24	17	292	3
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	13	130	0	21	7	47	27	19	324	3

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	16	151	81	346
Volume Left (vph)	2	130	7	19
Volume Right (vph)	13	21	27	3
Hadj (s)	-0.43	0.20	-0.08	0.09
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	5.1	4.7	4.5
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.22	0.11	0.44
Capacity (veh/h)	676	648	725	764
Control Delay (s)	7.8	9.5	8.2	11.0
Approach Delay (s)	7.8	9.5	8.2	11.0
Approach LOS	A	A	A	B


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.2			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	42.4%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	89	19	58	52	27	392
Future Volume (Veh/h)	89	19	58	52	27	392
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	99	21	64	58	30	436
Pedestrians	7					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	596	100			129	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	596	100			129	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	78	98			98	
cM capacity (veh/h)	453	937			1415	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	120	122	466
Volume Left	99	0	30
Volume Right	21	58	0
cSH	498	1700	1415
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.07	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	0.0	0.5
Control Delay (s)	14.5	0.0	0.7
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	14.5	0.0	0.7
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.9		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	43.1%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	27	71	117	30	18	8
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	147				257	132
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	147				257	132
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				97	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	1435				679	917

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	98	147	26
Volume Left	27	0	18
Volume Right	0	30	8
cSH	1435	1700	738
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.09	0.04
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.8
Control Delay (s)	2.2	0.0	10.1
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	2.2	0.0	10.1
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.8		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	25.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	27	81	71	18	50	59
Pedestrians		4	16		6	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	2		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	95				237	90
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	95				237	90
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	98				93	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	1435				709	941

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	108	89	109
Volume Left	27	0	50
Volume Right	0	18	59
cSH	1435	1700	818
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.05	0.13
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	3.2
Control Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	10.1
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	10.1
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.3		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.0%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	9	56	392	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	9	56	392	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	10	62	436	36	19	47	146	160	26	139	20
Pedestrians		35			83			1			27	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Percent Blockage		4			9			0			3	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	540	559	185	592	569	256	194			229		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	540	559	185	592	569	256	194			229		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	97	92	0	89	97	96			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	317	359	797	296	334	689	1297			1216		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	95	291	200	193	160	185
Volume Left	23	291	145	47	0	26
Volume Right	62	0	19	0	160	20
cSH	533	296	320	1297	1700	1216
Volume to Capacity	0.18	0.98	0.63	0.04	0.09	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	4.5	70.9	27.9	0.8	0.0	0.5
Control Delay (s)	13.2	86.8	33.4	2.2	0.0	1.3
Lane LOS	B	F	D	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	13.2	65.1		1.2		1.3
Approach LOS	B	F				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	30.1			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	55.0%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	428	816	2	1	588	114	6	1	0	33	0	140
Future Volume (vph)	428	816	2	1	588	114	6	1	0	33	0	140
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			0.99			1.00		0.99		0.98
Frt					0.976							0.850
Flt Protected		0.983						0.959		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3442	0	0	3356	0	0	1768	0	1717	0	1493
Flt Permitted		0.519			0.954			0.959		0.753		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1813	0	0	3202	0	0	1763	0	1347	0	1468
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					20							140
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		3	3		15	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3						1			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%
Adj. Flow (vph)	428	816	2	1	588	114	6	1	0	33	0	140
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1246	0	0	703	0	0	7	0	33	0	140
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	42.0	84.0		42.0	42.0		31.0	31.0		31.0		31.0
Total Split (%)	36.5%	73.0%		36.5%	36.5%		27.0%	27.0%		27.0%		27.0%
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	78.3		36.3	36.3		25.5	25.5		25.5		25.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		93.3			36.3			10.5		10.5		10.5
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.81			0.32			0.09		0.09		0.09
v/c Ratio		0.57			0.69			0.04		0.27		0.54
Control Delay		4.4			33.4			47.6		54.1		16.0
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		4.4			33.4			47.6		54.1		16.0
LOS		A			C			D		D		B
Approach Delay		4.4			33.4			47.6			23.3	
Approach LOS		A			C			D			C	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 66 (57%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 90			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69			
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.7		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.3%		ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	382	444	713	428	113	174		
Future Volume (vph)	382	444	713	428	113	174		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	1.00			0.97	0.59	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3502	3468	1567	3364	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.329				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	606	3502	3468	1523	1975	1519		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				428		48		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3			3	51	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				3				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	382	444	713	428	113	174		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	382	444	713	428	113	174		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	28.0	70.0	42.0	13.0	13.0	28.0	32.0	45.0
Total Split (%)	24.3%	60.9%	36.5%	11.3%	11.3%	24.3%	28%	39%
Maximum Green (s)	22.3	63.6	35.6	7.1	7.1	22.3	28.0	39.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	94.2	93.5	72.2	81.9	9.2	25.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.82	0.81	0.63	0.71	0.08	0.22		
v/c Ratio	0.59	0.16	0.33	0.35	0.42	0.47		
Control Delay	9.7	2.4	11.7	1.4	54.7	27.3		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	9.7	2.4	11.7	1.4	54.7	27.3		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	C		
Approach Delay		5.8	7.8		38.1			
Approach LOS		A	A		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 43 (37%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59			
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.9		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132
Future Volume (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	487	159	186
Volume Left (vph)	0	52	54
Volume Right (vph)	163	0	132
Hadj (s)	-0.12	0.13	-0.24
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.1	5.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.61	0.23	0.27
Capacity (veh/h)	772	663	625
Control Delay (s)	14.3	9.6	10.0
Approach Delay (s)	14.3	9.6	10.0
Approach LOS	B	A	B

Intersection Summary			
Delay	12.4		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	60.2%	ICU Level of Service	B
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	10	122	450	120
Volume Left (vph)	3	83	4	30
Volume Right (vph)	7	37	49	2
Hadj (s)	-0.33	0.11	0.02	0.11
Departure Headway (s)	5.1	5.3	4.4	4.9
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.18	0.55	0.16
Capacity (veh/h)	610	609	793	696
Control Delay (s)	8.2	9.5	12.8	8.8
Approach Delay (s)	8.2	9.5	12.8	8.8
Approach LOS	A	A	B	A


Intersection Summary				
Delay	11.5			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	48.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Future Volume (Veh/h)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Pedestrians	8					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	682	470			536	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	682	470			536	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	93	91			97	
cM capacity (veh/h)	399	580			998	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	77	528	180
Volume Left	27	0	32
Volume Right	50	132	0
cSH	500	1700	998
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.31	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.8	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	13.5	0.0	1.8
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	13.5	0.0	1.8
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	48.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Pedestrians			17		2	
Lane Width (m)			4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage			2		0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	120				324	96
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	120				324	96
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				97	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1464				604	959

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	177	118	21
Volume Left	35	0	17
Volume Right	0	49	4
cSH	1464	1700	650
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.07	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	10.7
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	10.7
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.6		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Future Volume (Veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Pedestrians		2	28		7	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	3		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	153				496	130
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	153				496	130
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	90				96	98
cM capacity (veh/h)	1364				455	895

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	208	146	41
Volume Left	132	0	20
Volume Right	0	50	21
cSH	1364	1700	608
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.09	0.07
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.2	0.0	1.5
Control Delay (s)	5.3	0.0	11.3
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	5.3	0.0	11.3
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	35.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Pedestrians		27			65						4	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0						4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage		3			7						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	549	578	172	582	586	203	179			199		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	549	578	172	582	586	203	179			199		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	94	98	50	92	98	93			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	336	353	819	319	329	774	1326			1274		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	60	105	97	226	485	164
Volume Left	19	105	53	92	0	12
Volume Right	19	0	19	0	485	15
cSH	422	319	364	1326	1700	1274
Volume to Capacity	0.14	0.33	0.27	0.07	0.29	0.01
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.4	9.8	7.4	1.6	0.0	0.2
Control Delay (s)	14.9	21.7	18.4	3.6	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	B	C	C	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	14.9	20.2		1.1		0.6
Approach LOS	B	C				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	5.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.7%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			




Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	102	553	4	4	935	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Future Volume (vph)	102	553	4	4	935	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			1.00			0.99		0.99		0.97
Frt		0.999			0.998			0.940				0.850
Flt Protected		0.992						0.973		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3431	0	0	3492	0	0	1670	0	1751	0	1567
Flt Permitted		0.611			0.953			0.973		0.752		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2112	0	0	3328	0	0	1666	0	1374	0	1523
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		1			2			79				237
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		8	8		15	3		6	6		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						14
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	102	553	4	4	935	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	659	0	0	952	0	0	9	0	152	0	385
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	16.0	78.0		62.0	62.0		37.0	37.0		37.0		37.0
Total Split (%)	13.9%	67.8%		53.9%	53.9%		32.2%	32.2%		32.2%		32.2%
Maximum Green (s)	10.4	72.3		56.3	56.3		31.5	31.5		31.5		31.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		83.9			56.3			19.9		19.9		19.9
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73			0.49			0.17		0.17		0.17
v/c Ratio		0.37			0.58			0.03		0.64		0.84
Control Delay		6.7			23.1			0.1		55.2		33.1
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		6.7			23.1			0.1		55.2		33.1
LOS		A			C			A		E		C
Approach Delay		6.7			23.1			0.1			39.3	
Approach LOS		A			C			A			D	


Intersection Summary	
Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length: 115	
Actuated Cycle Length: 115	
Offset: 62 (54%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green	
Natural Cycle: 75	
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated	
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84	
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.0	Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.6%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth






Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	130	826	568	141	338	382		
Future Volume (vph)	130	826	568	141	338	382		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	0.99			0.96	0.81	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1701	3468	3502	1551	3397	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.386				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	687	3468	3502	1491	2761	1517		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				141		93		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11			11	72	14		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				2				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	130	826	568	141	338	382		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	130	826	568	141	338	382		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	12.0	55.0	43.0	28.0	28.0	12.0	32.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	10.4%	47.8%	37.4%	24.3%	24.3%	10.4%	28%	52%
Maximum Green (s)	6.3	48.6	36.6	22.1	22.1	6.3	28.0	54.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	86.7	86.0	67.8	84.9	16.7	29.4		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.75	0.59	0.74	0.15	0.26		
v/c Ratio	0.21	0.32	0.28	0.12	0.69	0.83		
Control Delay	4.2	4.4	13.3	1.0	53.9	41.6		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	4.2	4.4	13.3	1.0	53.9	41.6		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	D		
Approach Delay		4.4	10.9		47.4			
Approach LOS		A	B		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 59 (51%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83			
Intersection Signal Delay: 19.3		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.6%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40
Future Volume (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	165	391	141
Volume Left (vph)	0	111	101
Volume Right (vph)	48	0	40
Hadj (s)	-0.09	0.12	0.14
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.6	5.3
Degree Utilization, x	0.21	0.50	0.21
Capacity (veh/h)	726	755	608
Control Delay (s)	8.9	12.2	9.7
Approach Delay (s)	8.9	12.2	9.7
Approach LOS	A	B	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	10.9		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	15	136	85	383
Volume Left (vph)	2	117	6	17
Volume Right (vph)	12	19	24	3
Hadj (s)	-0.42	0.20	-0.06	0.09
Departure Headway (s)	4.8	5.2	4.7	4.5
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.20	0.11	0.48
Capacity (veh/h)	661	633	723	773
Control Delay (s)	7.9	9.5	8.3	11.6
Approach Delay (s)	7.9	9.5	8.3	11.6
Approach LOS	A	A	A	B


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.6			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	46.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Future Volume (Veh/h)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Pedestrians	7					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	621	104			130	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	621	104			130	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	80	98			98	
cM capacity (veh/h)	439	933			1414	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	108	123	490
Volume Left	89	0	27
Volume Right	19	52	0
cSH	484	1700	1414
Volume to Capacity	0.22	0.07	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.9	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	14.6	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	14.6	0.0	0.6
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.6		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	132				230	118
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	132				230	118
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				98	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	1453				706	933

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	88	132	23
Volume Left	24	0	16
Volume Right	0	27	7
cSH	1453	1700	762
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.08	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	2.1	0.0	9.9
Lane LOS	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	2.1	0.0	9.9
Approach LOS			A


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	25.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Pedestrians		4	16		6	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	2		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	86				215	82
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	86				215	82
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	98				94	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	1446				731	951

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	97	80	98
Volume Left	24	0	45
Volume Right	0	16	53
cSH	1446	1700	836
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.05	0.12
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	2.8
Control Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	9.9
Lane LOS	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	9.9
Approach LOS			A


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.0%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Pedestrians		35			83			1			27	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Percent Blockage		4			9			0			3	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	490	513	170	540	522	241	178			214		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	490	513	170	540	522	241	178			214		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	98	93	0	91	98	97			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	351	384	812	326	358	703	1315			1231		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	86	275	187	173	144	166
Volume Left	21	275	138	42	0	23
Volume Right	56	0	17	0	144	18
cSH	565	326	349	1315	1700	1231
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.84	0.54	0.03	0.08	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.7	52.2	21.1	0.7	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	12.5	54.5	26.6	2.1	0.0	1.2
Lane LOS	B	F	D	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	12.5	43.2		1.1		1.2
Approach LOS	B	E				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	21.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	55.6%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	428	855	2	1	617	114	6	1	0	32	0	140
Future Volume (vph)	428	855	2	1	617	114	6	1	0	32	0	140
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			0.99			1.00		0.99		0.98
Frt					0.977							0.850
Flt Protected		0.984						0.959		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3446	0	0	3361	0	0	1768	0	1717	0	1493
Flt Permitted		0.517			0.954			0.959		0.753		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1806	0	0	3206	0	0	1763	0	1347	0	1468
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					19							140
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		3	3		15	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3						1			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%
Adj. Flow (vph)	428	855	2	1	617	114	6	1	0	32	0	140
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1285	0	0	732	0	0	7	0	32	0	140
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	42.0	84.0		42.0	42.0		31.0	31.0		31.0		31.0
Total Split (%)	36.5%	73.0%		36.5%	36.5%		27.0%	27.0%		27.0%		27.0%
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	78.3		36.3	36.3		25.5	25.5		25.5		25.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		93.3			36.3			10.5		10.5		10.5
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.81			0.32			0.09		0.09		0.09
v/c Ratio		0.59			0.71			0.04		0.26		0.54
Control Delay		4.6			33.6			47.6		53.8		16.0
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		4.6			33.6			47.6		53.8		16.0
LOS		A			C			D		D		B
Approach Delay		4.6			33.6			47.6			23.1	
Approach LOS		A			C			D			C	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 66 (57%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 90			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71			
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.9%		ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	382	466	748	428	113	174		
Future Volume (vph)	382	466	748	428	113	174		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	1.00			0.97	0.59	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3502	3468	1567	3364	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.312				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	574	3502	3468	1523	1975	1519		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				428		41		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3			3	51	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				3				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	382	466	748	428	113	174		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	382	466	748	428	113	174		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	28.0	70.0	42.0	13.0	13.0	28.0	32.0	45.0
Total Split (%)	24.3%	60.9%	36.5%	11.3%	11.3%	24.3%	28%	39%
Maximum Green (s)	22.3	63.6	35.6	7.1	7.1	22.3	28.0	39.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	94.2	93.5	71.0	80.7	9.2	26.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.82	0.81	0.62	0.70	0.08	0.23		
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.16	0.35	0.36	0.42	0.45		
Control Delay	10.7	2.5	12.6	1.5	54.7	27.6		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	10.7	2.5	12.6	1.5	54.7	27.6		
LOS	B	A	B	A	D	C		
Approach Delay		6.2	8.5		38.3			
Approach LOS		A	A		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 43 (37%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60			
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.4		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.7%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132
Future Volume (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	324	163	52	107	54	132

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	487	159	186
Volume Left (vph)	0	52	54
Volume Right (vph)	163	0	132
Hadj (s)	-0.12	0.13	-0.24
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.1	5.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.61	0.23	0.27
Capacity (veh/h)	772	663	625
Control Delay (s)	14.3	9.6	10.0
Approach Delay (s)	14.3	9.6	10.0
Approach LOS	B	A	B


Intersection Summary			
Delay	12.4		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	60.2%	ICU Level of Service	B
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	83	2	37	4	397	49	30	88	2

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	10	122	450	120
Volume Left (vph)	3	83	4	30
Volume Right (vph)	7	37	49	2
Hadj (s)	-0.33	0.11	0.02	0.11
Departure Headway (s)	5.1	5.3	4.4	4.9
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.18	0.55	0.16
Capacity (veh/h)	610	609	793	696
Control Delay (s)	8.2	9.5	12.8	8.8
Approach Delay (s)	8.2	9.5	12.8	8.8
Approach LOS	A	A	B	A


Intersection Summary				
Delay	11.5			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	48.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Future Volume (Veh/h)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	27	50	396	132	32	148
Pedestrians	8					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	682	470			536	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	682	470			536	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	93	91			97	
cM capacity (veh/h)	399	580			998	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	77	528	180
Volume Left	27	0	32
Volume Right	50	132	0
cSH	500	1700	998
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.31	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.8	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	13.5	0.0	1.8
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	13.5	0.0	1.8
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	48.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	35	142	69	49	17	4
Pedestrians			17		2	
Lane Width (m)			4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage			2		0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	120				324	96
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	120				324	96
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				97	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1464				604	959

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	177	118	21
Volume Left	35	0	17
Volume Right	0	49	4
cSH	1464	1700	650
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.07	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	10.7
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	1.6	0.0	10.7
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.6		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Future Volume (Veh/h)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	132	76	96	50	20	21
Pedestrians		2	28		7	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	3		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	153				496	130
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	153				496	130
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	90				96	98
cM capacity (veh/h)	1364				455	895

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	208	146	41
Volume Left	132	0	20
Volume Right	0	50	21
cSH	1364	1700	608
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.09	0.07
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.2	0.0	1.5
Control Delay (s)	5.3	0.0	11.3
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	5.3	0.0	11.3
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	35.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	22	19	158	25	19	92	134	485	12	137	15
Pedestrians		27			65						4	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0						4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage		3			7						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	549	578	172	582	586	203	179			199		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	549	578	172	582	586	203	179			199		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	94	98	50	92	98	93			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	336	353	819	319	329	774	1326			1274		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	60	105	97	226	485	164
Volume Left	19	105	53	92	0	12
Volume Right	19	0	19	0	485	15
cSH	422	319	364	1326	1700	1274
Volume to Capacity	0.14	0.33	0.27	0.07	0.29	0.01
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.4	9.8	7.4	1.6	0.0	0.2
Control Delay (s)	14.9	21.7	18.4	3.6	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	B	C	C	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	14.9	20.2		1.1		0.6
Approach LOS	B	C				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	5.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.7%	ICU Level of Service		B
Analysis Period (min)	15			




Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	102	582	4	4	981	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Future Volume (vph)	102	582	4	4	981	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			1.00			0.99		0.99		0.97
Frt		0.999			0.998			0.940				0.850
Flt Protected		0.993						0.973		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3435	0	0	3493	0	0	1670	0	1751	0	1567
Flt Permitted		0.602			0.953			0.973		0.752		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2081	0	0	3328	0	0	1666	0	1374	0	1523
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		1			2			79				229
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		8	8		15	3		6	6		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						14
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	102	582	4	4	981	13	5	0	4	152	0	385
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	688	0	0	998	0	0	9	0	152	0	385
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	16.0	78.0		62.0	62.0		37.0	37.0		37.0		37.0
Total Split (%)	13.9%	67.8%		53.9%	53.9%		32.2%	32.2%		32.2%		32.2%
Maximum Green (s)	10.4	72.3		56.3	56.3		31.5	31.5		31.5		31.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		83.6			56.3			20.2		20.2		20.2
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73			0.49			0.18		0.18		0.18
v/c Ratio		0.39			0.61			0.03		0.63		0.84
Control Delay		7.0			23.3			0.1		54.0		34.4
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		7.0			23.3			0.1		54.0		34.4
LOS		A			C			A		D		C
Approach Delay		7.0			23.3			0.1			39.9	
Approach LOS		A			C			A			D	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 62 (54%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 75			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84			
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.2		Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.9%		ICU Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth






Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	130	868	597	141	338	382		
Future Volume (vph)	130	868	597	141	338	382		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	0.99			0.96	0.81	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1701	3468	3502	1551	3397	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.371				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	660	3468	3502	1491	2761	1517		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				141		82		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11			11	72	14		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				2				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	130	868	597	141	338	382		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	130	868	597	141	338	382		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	12.0	55.0	43.0	28.0	28.0	12.0	32.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	10.4%	47.8%	37.4%	24.3%	24.3%	10.4%	28%	52%
Maximum Green (s)	6.3	48.6	36.6	22.1	22.1	6.3	28.0	54.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	86.7	86.0	67.1	84.2	16.7	30.1		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.75	0.58	0.73	0.15	0.26		
v/c Ratio	0.21	0.33	0.29	0.12	0.69	0.83		
Control Delay	4.3	4.5	13.9	1.0	53.9	42.1		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	4.3	4.5	13.9	1.0	53.9	42.1		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	D		
Approach Delay		4.5	11.5		47.7			
Approach LOS		A	B		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 59 (51%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83			
Intersection Signal Delay: 19.2		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.6%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40
Future Volume (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	117	48	111	280	101	40

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	165	391	141
Volume Left (vph)	0	111	101
Volume Right (vph)	48	0	40
Hadj (s)	-0.09	0.12	0.14
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.6	5.3
Degree Utilization, x	0.21	0.50	0.21
Capacity (veh/h)	726	755	608
Control Delay (s)	8.9	12.2	9.7
Approach Delay (s)	8.9	12.2	9.7
Approach LOS	A	B	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	10.9		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	12	117	0	19	6	55	24	17	363	3

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	15	136	85	383
Volume Left (vph)	2	117	6	17
Volume Right (vph)	12	19	24	3
Hadj (s)	-0.42	0.20	-0.06	0.09
Departure Headway (s)	4.8	5.2	4.7	4.5
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.20	0.11	0.48
Capacity (veh/h)	661	633	723	773
Control Delay (s)	7.9	9.5	8.3	11.6
Approach Delay (s)	7.9	9.5	8.3	11.6
Approach LOS	A	A	A	B


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.6			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	46.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Future Volume (Veh/h)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	89	19	71	52	27	463
Pedestrians	7					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	621	104			130	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	621	104			130	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.3			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	80	98			98	
cM capacity (veh/h)	439	933			1414	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	108	123	490
Volume Left	89	0	27
Volume Right	19	52	0
cSH	484	1700	1414
Volume to Capacity	0.22	0.07	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.9	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	14.6	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	B		A
Approach Delay (s)	14.6	0.0	0.6
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.6		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	64	105	27	16	7
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	132				230	118
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	132				230	118
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				98	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	1453				706	933

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	88	132	23
Volume Left	24	0	16
Volume Right	0	27	7
cSH	1453	1700	762
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.08	0.03
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.7
Control Delay (s)	2.1	0.0	9.9
Lane LOS	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	2.1	0.0	9.9
Approach LOS			A


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	1.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	25.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Future Volume (Veh/h)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	73	64	16	45	53
Pedestrians		4	16		6	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	2		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	86				215	82
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	86				215	82
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	98				94	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	1446				731	951

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	97	80	98
Volume Left	24	0	45
Volume Right	0	16	53
cSH	1446	1700	836
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.05	0.12
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	2.8
Control Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	9.9
Lane LOS	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	9.9
Approach LOS			A

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	26.0%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	9	56	413	32	17	42	131	144	23	125	18
Pedestrians		35			83			1			27	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Percent Blockage		4			9			0			3	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	490	513	170	540	522	241	178			214		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	490	513	170	540	522	241	178			214		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	98	93	0	91	98	97			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	351	384	812	326	358	703	1315			1231		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	86	275	187	173	144	166
Volume Left	21	275	138	42	0	23
Volume Right	56	0	17	0	144	18
cSH	565	326	349	1315	1700	1231
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.84	0.54	0.03	0.08	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.7	52.2	21.1	0.7	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	12.5	54.5	26.6	2.1	0.0	1.2
Lane LOS	B	F	D	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	12.5	43.2		1.1		1.2
Approach LOS	B	E				


Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	21.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	55.6%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			


Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	514	835	2	1	601	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Future Volume (vph)	514	835	2	1	601	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			0.99			1.00		0.99		0.98
Frt					0.976							0.850
Flt Protected		0.981						0.959		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3435	0	0	3356	0	0	1768	0	1717	0	1493
Flt Permitted		0.521			0.954			0.959		0.753		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1819	0	0	3202	0	0	1763	0	1347	0	1468
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					20							182
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		3	3		15	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3						1			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%
Adj. Flow (vph)	514	835	2	1	601	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1351	0	0	716	0	0	7	0	33	0	182
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	42.0	84.0		42.0	42.0		31.0	31.0		31.0		31.0
Total Split (%)	36.5%	73.0%		36.5%	36.5%		27.0%	27.0%		27.0%		27.0%
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	78.3		36.3	36.3		25.5	25.5		25.5		25.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		93.0			36.3			10.8		10.8		10.8
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.81			0.32			0.09		0.09		0.09
v/c Ratio		0.62			0.70			0.04		0.26		0.60
Control Delay		5.0			33.0			47.0		53.3		15.9
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		5.0			33.0			47.0		53.3		15.9
LOS		A			C			D		D		B
Approach Delay		5.0			33.0			47.0			21.6	
Approach LOS		A			C			D			C	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 66 (57%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 100			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.70			
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.4		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.5%		ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	401	444	713	473	131	187		
Future Volume (vph)	401	444	713	473	131	187		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	1.00			0.97	0.59	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3502	3468	1567	3364	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.323				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	595	3502	3468	1523	1975	1519		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				473		48		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3			3	51	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				3				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	401	444	713	473	131	187		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	401	444	713	473	131	187		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	28.0	70.0	42.0	13.0	13.0	28.0	32.0	45.0
Total Split (%)	24.3%	60.9%	36.5%	11.3%	11.3%	24.3%	28%	39%
Maximum Green (s)	22.3	63.6	35.6	7.1	7.1	22.3	28.0	39.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	93.4	92.7	69.5	80.0	10.0	27.7		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.81	0.81	0.60	0.70	0.09	0.24		
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.16	0.34	0.39	0.45	0.46		
Control Delay	11.2	2.6	13.3	1.6	54.2	25.9		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	11.2	2.6	13.3	1.6	54.2	25.9		
LOS	B	A	B	A	D	C		
Approach Delay		6.7	8.6		37.5			
Approach LOS		A	A		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 43 (37%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61			
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.9		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.8%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132
Future Volume (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	498	159	192
Volume Left (vph)	0	52	60
Volume Right (vph)	174	0	132
Hadj (s)	-0.13	0.13	-0.22
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.2	5.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.63	0.23	0.28
Capacity (veh/h)	770	657	620
Control Delay (s)	14.7	9.7	10.2
Approach Delay (s)	14.7	9.7	10.2
Approach LOS	B	A	B

Intersection Summary			
Delay	12.8		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.3%	ICU Level of Service	B
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	10	0	428	117
Volume Left (vph)	3	0	6	20
Volume Right (vph)	7	0	10	2
Hadj (s)	-0.33	0.00	0.06	0.10
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	5.1	4.1	4.4
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.00	0.49	0.14
Capacity (veh/h)	673	640	867	787
Control Delay (s)	7.8	8.1	10.9	8.2
Approach Delay (s)	7.8	0.0	10.9	8.2
Approach LOS	A	A	B	A


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.3			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	36.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Future Volume (Veh/h)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Pedestrians	8					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)		5				
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	484	344			622	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	484	344			622	
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	67	83			94	
cM capacity (veh/h)	477	635			913	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2
Volume Total	268	336	278	75	39
Volume Left	158	0	0	55	0
Volume Right	110	0	278	0	0
cSH	809	1700	1700	913	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.20	0.16	0.06	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.2	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Control Delay (s)	14.4	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0
Lane LOS	B			A	
Approach Delay (s)	14.4	0.0		4.5	
Approach LOS	B				


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.4		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	41.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Pedestrians			17		2	
Lane Width (m)			4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage			2		0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	138				502	138
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	138				502	138
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	100				97	86
cM capacity (veh/h)	1443				486	908

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	347	136	142
Volume Left	0	0	14
Volume Right	0	0	128
cSH	1443	1700	837
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.08	0.17
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	4.3
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.2
Lane LOS			B
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.2
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.3		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	35.1%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Pedestrians		2	28		7	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	3		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	156				590	146
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	156				590	146
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	99				97	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	1360				441	877

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	410	149	26
Volume Left	9	0	15
Volume Right	0	25	11
cSH	1360	1700	558
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.09	0.05
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	1.0
Control Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	11.8
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	11.8
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Pedestrians		27			65						4	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0						4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage		3			7						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	679	708	172	744	716	203	179			199		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	679	708	172	744	716	203	179			199		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	92	94	30	90	98	88			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	260	281	819	227	261	774	1326			1274		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	92	105	97	291	485	164
Volume Left	19	105	53	157	0	12
Volume Right	51	0	19	0	485	15
cSH	431	227	274	1326	1700	1274
Volume to Capacity	0.21	0.46	0.35	0.12	0.29	0.01
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.6	15.9	10.7	2.8	0.0	0.2
Control Delay (s)	15.6	34.0	25.1	4.8	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	C	D	D	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	15.6	29.7		1.8		0.6
Approach LOS	C	D				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	7.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	63.8%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			144			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	527	425			425	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	527	425			425	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	98	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	512	629			1134	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	11	425	102
Volume Left	9	0	0
Volume Right	2	0	0
cSH	530	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.25	0.06
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	11.9	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	B		
Approach Delay (s)	11.9	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	44.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			99			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	539	437			449	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	539	437			449	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	503	620			1111	

Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	449	102
Volume Left	0	0
Volume Right	24	0
cSH	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.26	0.06
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS		

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	44.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Future Volume (Veh/h)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	197				878	136
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	197				878	136
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	76				84	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	1376				243	912

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	416	197	97
Volume Left	326	0	38
Volume Right	0	121	59
cSH	1376	1700	439
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.12	0.22
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	0.0	5.8
Control Delay (s)	7.1	0.0	15.5
Lane LOS	A		C
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	0.0	15.5
Approach LOS			C

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	6.3		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		


Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	141	565	4	4	956	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Future Volume (vph)	141	565	4	4	956	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			1.00			0.99		0.99		0.97
Frt		0.999			0.998			0.940				0.850
Flt Protected		0.990						0.973		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3423	0	0	3492	0	0	1670	0	1751	0	1567
Flt Permitted		0.535			0.953			0.973		0.752		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1848	0	0	3328	0	0	1666	0	1374	0	1523
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		1			2			79				233
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		8	8		15	3		6	6		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						14
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	141	565	4	4	956	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	710	0	0	973	0	0	9	0	152	0	470
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	16.0	78.0		62.0	62.0		37.0	37.0		37.0		37.0
Total Split (%)	13.9%	67.8%		53.9%	53.9%		32.2%	32.2%		32.2%		32.2%
Maximum Green (s)	10.4	72.3		56.3	56.3		31.5	31.5		31.5		31.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		78.5			56.3			25.3		25.3		25.3
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.68			0.49			0.22		0.22		0.22
v/c Ratio		0.48			0.60			0.02		0.50		0.91
Control Delay		9.4			23.2			0.1		43.8		44.0
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		9.4			23.2			0.1		43.8		44.0
LOS		A			C			A		D		D
Approach Delay		9.4			23.2			0.1			44.0	
Approach LOS		A			C			A			D	


Intersection Summary		
Area Type:	Other	
Cycle Length: 115		
Actuated Cycle Length: 115		
Offset: 62 (54%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green		
Natural Cycle: 75		
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91		
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.5	Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.7%	ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15		

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth






Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	142	826	568	167	376	403		
Future Volume (vph)	142	826	568	167	376	403		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	0.99			0.96	0.81	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1701	3468	3502	1551	3397	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.383				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	681	3468	3502	1491	2761	1517		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				167		93		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11			11	72	14		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				2				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	142	826	568	167	376	403		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	142	826	568	167	376	403		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	12.0	55.0	43.0	28.0	28.0	12.0	32.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	10.4%	47.8%	37.4%	24.3%	24.3%	10.4%	28%	52%
Maximum Green (s)	6.3	48.6	36.6	22.1	22.1	6.3	28.0	54.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	85.5	84.8	66.1	84.5	17.9	31.1		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.74	0.74	0.57	0.73	0.16	0.27		
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.32	0.28	0.15	0.71	0.83		
Control Delay	4.8	4.9	14.3	1.0	53.7	41.3		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	4.8	4.9	14.3	1.0	53.7	41.3		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	D		
Approach Delay		4.9	11.3		47.3			
Approach LOS		A	B		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 59 (51%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83			
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.1		Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.0%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40
Future Volume (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	169	391	149
Volume Left (vph)	0	111	109
Volume Right (vph)	52	0	40
Hadj (s)	-0.10	0.12	0.15
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.7	5.4
Degree Utilization, x	0.22	0.51	0.22
Capacity (veh/h)	722	749	606
Control Delay (s)	9.0	12.3	9.9
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	12.3	9.9
Approach LOS	A	B	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	11.0		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	53.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	15	0	134	359
Volume Left (vph)	2	0	6	15
Volume Right (vph)	12	0	60	3
Hadj (s)	-0.42	0.00	-0.15	0.09
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.0	4.1	4.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.41
Capacity (veh/h)	709	658	847	850
Control Delay (s)	7.6	8.0	7.9	10.1
Approach Delay (s)	7.6	0.0	7.9	10.1
Approach LOS	A	A	A	B


Intersection Summary			
Delay	9.4		
Level of Service	A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	38.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Future Volume (Veh/h)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Pedestrians	7					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)		5				
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	328	90			169	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	328	90			169	
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	51	93			97	
cM capacity (veh/h)	619	930			1359	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2
Volume Total	366	83	79	147	222
Volume Left	303	0	0	36	0
Volume Right	63	0	79	0	0
cSH	747	1700	1700	1359	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.49	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.13
Queue Length 95th (m)	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
Control Delay (s)	15.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Lane LOS	C			A	
Approach Delay (s)	15.0	0.0		0.8	
Approach LOS	C				


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	6.5		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	38.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	267				391	267
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	267				391	267
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	100				100	87
cM capacity (veh/h)	1297				578	772

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	124	267	103
Volume Left	0	0	0
Volume Right	0	0	103
cSH	1297	1700	772
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.16	0.13
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	3.2
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.4
Lane LOS			B
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.4
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	28.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Pedestrians		4	16		6	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	2		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	245				404	247
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	245				404	247
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	100				98	98
cM capacity (veh/h)	1262				576	769

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	139	239	27
Volume Left	6	0	10
Volume Right	0	4	17
cSH	1262	1700	684
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.14	0.04
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.9
Control Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.5
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.5
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.8		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	24.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	146	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	146	18
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	146	18
Pedestrians		35			83			1			27	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Percent Blockage		4			9			0			3	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	589	612	191	698	621	241	199			214		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	589	612	191	698	621	241	199			214		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	97	85	0	89	98	94			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	290	326	790	229	303	703	1292			1231		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	145	275	187	212	144	187
Volume Left	21	275	138	81	0	23
Volume Right	115	0	17	0	144	18
cSH	591	229	255	1292	1700	1231
Volume to Capacity	0.25	1.20	0.73	0.06	0.08	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.7	94.4	35.8	1.4	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	13.1	169.7	49.8	3.4	0.0	1.1
Lane LOS	B	F	E	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	13.1	121.3		2.0		1.1
Approach LOS	B	F				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	51.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	62.2%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Future Volume (Veh/h)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			144			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	482	129			129	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	482	129			129	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	97	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	543	921			1457	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	23	129	353
Volume Left	18	0	0
Volume Right	5	0	0
cSH	596	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.08	0.21
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.8	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	11.3	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	B		
Approach Delay (s)	11.3	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.5		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			99			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	488	135			141	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	488	135			141	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	539	914			1442	

Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	141	353
Volume Left	0	0
Volume Right	12	0
cSH	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.21
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Future Volume (Veh/h)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	131				321	103
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	131				321	103
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	95				83	82
cM capacity (veh/h)	1454				638	952

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	143	131	285
Volume Left	75	0	109
Volume Right	0	56	176
cSH	1454	1700	801
Volume to Capacity	0.05	0.08	0.36
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	11.3
Control Delay (s)	4.2	0.0	12.0
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	4.2	0.0	12.0
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	7.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	43.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	514	874	2	1	630	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Future Volume (vph)	514	874	2	1	630	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			0.99			1.00		0.99		0.98
Frt					0.977							0.850
Flt Protected		0.982						0.959		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3439	0	0	3361	0	0	1768	0	1717	0	1493
Flt Permitted		0.522			0.953			0.959		0.753		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1823	0	0	3203	0	0	1763	0	1347	0	1468
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					19							182
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		3	3		15	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			3						1			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%
Adj. Flow (vph)	514	874	2	1	630	114	6	1	0	33	0	182
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1390	0	0	745	0	0	7	0	33	0	182
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	42.0	84.0		42.0	42.0		31.0	31.0		31.0		31.0
Total Split (%)	36.5%	73.0%		36.5%	36.5%		27.0%	27.0%		27.0%		27.0%
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	78.3		36.3	36.3		25.5	25.5		25.5		25.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		93.0			36.3			10.8		10.8		10.8
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.81			0.32			0.09		0.09		0.09
v/c Ratio		0.63			0.73			0.04		0.26		0.60
Control Delay		5.1			33.1			47.0		53.3		15.9
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		5.1			33.1			47.0		53.3		15.9
LOS		A			C			D		D		B
Approach Delay		5.1			33.1			47.0			21.6	
Approach LOS		A			C			D			C	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 66 (57%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 100			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73			
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.6		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.5%		ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth





Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	401	466	748	473	131	187		
Future Volume (vph)	401	466	748	473	131	187		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	1.00			0.97	0.59	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1751	3502	3468	1567	3364	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.306				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	563	3502	3468	1523	1975	1519		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				473		41		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3			3	51	13		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				3				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	401	466	748	473	131	187		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	401	466	748	473	131	187		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	28.0	70.0	42.0	13.0	13.0	28.0	32.0	45.0
Total Split (%)	24.3%	60.9%	36.5%	11.3%	11.3%	24.3%	28%	39%
Maximum Green (s)	22.3	63.6	35.6	7.1	7.1	22.3	28.0	39.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	93.4	92.7	67.9	78.5	10.0	29.3		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.81	0.81	0.59	0.68	0.09	0.25		
v/c Ratio	0.61	0.17	0.37	0.40	0.45	0.44		
Control Delay	12.2	2.7	14.4	1.7	54.2	25.8		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	12.2	2.7	14.4	1.7	54.2	25.8		
LOS	B	A	B	A	D	C		
Approach Delay		7.1	9.5		37.5			
Approach LOS		A	A		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 43 (37%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 105			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61			
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3		Intersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.8%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132
Future Volume (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	324	174	52	107	60	132

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	498	159	192
Volume Left (vph)	0	52	60
Volume Right (vph)	174	0	132
Hadj (s)	-0.13	0.13	-0.22
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.2	5.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.63	0.23	0.28
Capacity (veh/h)	770	657	620
Control Delay (s)	14.7	9.7	10.2
Approach Delay (s)	14.7	9.7	10.2
Approach LOS	B	A	B

Intersection Summary			
Delay	12.8		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.3%	ICU Level of Service	B
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	7	0	0	0	6	412	10	20	95	2

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	10	0	428	117
Volume Left (vph)	3	0	6	20
Volume Right (vph)	7	0	10	2
Hadj (s)	-0.33	0.00	0.06	0.10
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	5.1	4.1	4.4
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.00	0.49	0.14
Capacity (veh/h)	673	640	867	787
Control Delay (s)	7.8	8.1	10.9	8.2
Approach Delay (s)	7.8	0.0	10.9	8.2
Approach LOS	A	A	B	A


Intersection Summary				
Delay	10.3			
Level of Service	B			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	36.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Future Volume (Veh/h)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	158	110	336	278	55	59
Pedestrians	8					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)		5				
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	484	344			622	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	484	344			622	
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	67	83			94	
cM capacity (veh/h)	477	635			913	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2
Volume Total	268	336	278	75	39
Volume Left	158	0	0	55	0
Volume Right	110	0	278	0	0
cSH	809	1700	1700	913	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.20	0.16	0.06	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.2	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0
Control Delay (s)	14.4	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0
Lane LOS	B			A	
Approach Delay (s)	14.4	0.0		4.5	
Approach LOS	B				


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	4.4		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	41.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	347	136	0	14	128
Pedestrians			17		2	
Lane Width (m)			4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)			1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage			2		0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	138				502	138
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	138				502	138
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	100				97	86
cM capacity (veh/h)	1443				486	908

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	347	136	142
Volume Left	0	0	14
Volume Right	0	0	128
cSH	1443	1700	837
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.08	0.17
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	4.3
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.2
Lane LOS			B
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.2
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.3		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	35.1%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	401	124	25	15	11
Pedestrians		2	28		7	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	3		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	156				590	146
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	156				590	146
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	99				97	99
cM capacity (veh/h)	1360				441	877

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	410	149	26
Volume Left	9	0	15
Volume Right	0	25	11
cSH	1360	1700	558
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.09	0.05
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	1.0
Control Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	11.8
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	0.2	0.0	11.8
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.7		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	19	22	51	158	25	19	157	134	485	12	137	15
Pedestrians		27			65						4	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0						4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage		3			7						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	679	708	172	744	716	203	179			199		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	679	708	172	744	716	203	179			199		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	92	94	30	90	98	88			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	260	281	819	227	261	774	1326			1274		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	92	105	97	291	485	164
Volume Left	19	105	53	157	0	12
Volume Right	51	0	19	0	485	15
cSH	431	227	274	1326	1700	1274
Volume to Capacity	0.21	0.46	0.35	0.12	0.29	0.01
Queue Length 95th (m)	5.6	15.9	10.7	2.8	0.0	0.2
Control Delay (s)	15.6	34.0	25.1	4.8	0.0	0.6
Lane LOS	C	D	D	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	15.6	29.7		1.8		0.6
Approach LOS	C	D				


Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	7.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	63.8%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	2	425	0	0	102
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			144			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	527	425			425	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	527	425			425	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	98	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	512	629			1134	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	11	425	102
Volume Left	9	0	0
Volume Right	2	0	0
cSH	530	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.25	0.06
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	11.9	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	B		
Approach Delay (s)	11.9	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	44.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	425	24	0	102
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			99			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	539	437			449	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	539	437			449	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	503	620			1111	

Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	449	102
Volume Left	0	0
Volume Right	24	0
cSH	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.26	0.06
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	44.3%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Future Volume (Veh/h)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	326	90	76	121	38	59
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	197				878	136
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	197				878	136
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	76				84	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	1376				243	912

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	416	197	97
Volume Left	326	0	38
Volume Right	0	121	59
cSH	1376	1700	439
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.12	0.22
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	0.0	5.8
Control Delay (s)	7.1	0.0	15.5
Lane LOS	A		C
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	0.0	15.5
Approach LOS			C

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	6.3		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	52.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		




Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	141	594	4	4	1002	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Future Volume (vph)	141	594	4	4	1002	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped Bike Factor		1.00			1.00			0.99		0.99		0.97
Frt		0.999			0.998			0.940				0.850
Flt Protected		0.991						0.973		0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3426	0	0	3493	0	0	1670	0	1751	0	1567
Flt Permitted		0.528			0.953			0.973		0.752		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1824	0	0	3328	0	0	1666	0	1374	0	1523
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		1			2			79				225
Link Speed (k/h)		50			50			40			40	
Link Distance (m)		218.8			394.7			154.1			51.9	
Travel Time (s)		15.8			28.4			13.9			4.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	15		8	8		15	3		6	6		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			1						14
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	141	594	4	4	1002	13	5	0	4	152	0	470
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	739	0	0	1019	0	0	9	0	152	0	470
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	L NA	Left	R NA	L NA	Left	R NA
Median Width(m)		0.0			4.0			0.0			5.0	
Link Offset(m)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01
Turning Speed (k/h)	24		14	24		14	24		14	24		14
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1		1
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left		Right
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0	10.0		2.0		2.0
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0	0.6		2.0		2.0
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4			9.4			9.4				
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6			0.6			0.6				
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				
Detector 2 Channel												
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	5	2			6			8				

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Permitted Phases	2			6			8			4		4
Detector Phase	5	2		6	6		8	8		4		4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0		10.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.6	31.7		31.7	31.7		28.5	28.5		28.5		28.5
Total Split (s)	16.0	78.0		62.0	62.0		37.0	37.0		37.0		37.0
Total Split (%)	13.9%	67.8%		53.9%	53.9%		32.2%	32.2%		32.2%		32.2%
Maximum Green (s)	10.4	72.3		56.3	56.3		31.5	31.5		31.5		31.5
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3		3.3		3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.4		2.4	2.4		2.2	2.2		2.2		2.2
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		5.7			5.7			5.5		5.5		5.5
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0
Recall Mode	Max	C-Max		C-Max	C-Max		None	None		None		None
Walk Time (s)		7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)		19.0		19.0	19.0		16.0	16.0		16.0		16.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		0		0	0		0	0		0		0
Act Effct Green (s)		78.1			56.3			25.7		25.7		25.7
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.68			0.49			0.22		0.22		0.22
v/c Ratio		0.51			0.63			0.02		0.50		0.91
Control Delay		9.8			23.4			0.1		43.3		45.1
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Delay		9.8			23.4			0.1		43.3		45.1
LOS		A			C			A		D		D
Approach Delay		9.8			23.4			0.1			44.7	
Approach LOS		A			C			A			D	


Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 62 (54%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 75			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91			
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.7		Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.1%		ICU Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 4: South Haven/Ring (N-S) & Smyth






Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Lane Configurations								
Traffic Volume (vph)	142	868	597	167	376	403		
Future Volume (vph)	142	868	597	167	376	403		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800	1800		
Storage Length (m)	55.0			175.0	0.0	0.0		
Storage Lanes	1			1	2	1		
Taper Length (m)	40.0				10.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.97	1.00		
Ped Bike Factor	0.99			0.96	0.81	0.97		
Frt				0.850		0.850		
Flt Protected	0.950				0.950			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1701	3468	3502	1551	3397	1567		
Flt Permitted	0.368				0.950			
Satd. Flow (perm)	655	3468	3502	1491	2761	1517		
Right Turn on Red				Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				167		82		
Link Speed (k/h)		50	50		40			
Link Distance (m)		394.7	397.7		58.6			
Travel Time (s)		28.4	28.6		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11			11	72	14		
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)				2				
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%		
Adj. Flow (vph)	142	868	597	167	376	403		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	142	868	597	167	376	403		
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No		
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Left	Right	Left	R NA		
Median Width(m)		4.0	4.0		8.0			
Link Offset(m)		0.0	0.0		0.0			
Crosswalk Width(m)		5.0	5.0		5.0			
Two way Left Turn Lane								
Headway Factor	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01	1.01		
Turning Speed (k/h)	24			14	24	14		
Number of Detectors	1	2	2	1	1	1		
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Thru	Right	Left	Right		
Leading Detector (m)	2.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Trailing Detector (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Position(m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Size(m)	2.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	2.0		
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		
Detector 1 Channel								
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Detector 2 Position(m)		9.4	9.4					
Detector 2 Size(m)		0.6	0.6					
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					
Detector 2 Channel								



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø4	Ø7
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0	0.0					
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	NA	pm+ov	Prot	pm+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	3	3	5	4	7
Permitted Phases	2			6		7		
Detector Phase	5	2	6	3	3	5		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	10.7	16.4	41.4	10.9	10.9	10.7	32.0	29.9
Total Split (s)	12.0	55.0	43.0	28.0	28.0	12.0	32.0	60.0
Total Split (%)	10.4%	47.8%	37.4%	24.3%	24.3%	10.4%	28%	52%
Maximum Green (s)	6.3	48.6	36.6	22.1	22.1	6.3	28.0	54.1
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.4	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.6	2.4	1.0	2.6
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	5.9	5.7		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None
Walk Time (s)			7.0				7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)			28.0				21.0	17.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)			0				0	0
Act Effct Green (s)	85.5	84.8	65.4	83.8	17.9	31.8		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.74	0.74	0.57	0.73	0.16	0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.34	0.30	0.15	0.71	0.83		
Control Delay	5.0	5.2	14.9	1.1	53.7	41.9		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	5.0	5.2	14.9	1.1	53.7	41.9		
LOS	A	A	B	A	D	D		
Approach Delay		5.1	11.9		47.6			
Approach LOS		A	B		D			

Intersection Summary			
Area Type:	Other		
Cycle Length: 115			
Actuated Cycle Length: 115			
Offset: 59 (51%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green			
Natural Cycle: 95			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83			
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.1		Intersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.0%		ICU Level of Service C	
Analysis Period (min) 15			

Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth & General Hospital






Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40
Future Volume (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	117	52	111	280	109	40

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1
Volume Total (vph)	169	391	149
Volume Left (vph)	0	111	109
Volume Right (vph)	52	0	40
Hadj (s)	-0.10	0.12	0.15
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.7	5.4
Degree Utilization, x	0.22	0.51	0.22
Capacity (veh/h)	722	749	606
Control Delay (s)	9.0	12.3	9.9
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	12.3	9.9
Approach LOS	A	B	A

Intersection Summary			
Delay	11.0		
Level of Service	B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	53.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3
Future Volume (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	1	12	0	0	0	6	68	60	15	341	3

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total (vph)	15	0	134	359
Volume Left (vph)	2	0	6	15
Volume Right (vph)	12	0	60	3
Hadj (s)	-0.42	0.00	-0.15	0.09
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	5.0	4.1	4.2
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.00	0.15	0.41
Capacity (veh/h)	709	658	847	850
Control Delay (s)	7.6	8.0	7.9	10.1
Approach Delay (s)	7.6	0.0	7.9	10.1
Approach LOS	A	A	A	B


Intersection Summary			
Delay	9.4		
Level of Service	A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	38.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Future Volume (Veh/h)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	303	63	83	79	36	333
Pedestrians	7					
Lane Width (m)	4.0					
Walking Speed (m/s)	1.0					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)		5				
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			52			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	328	90			169	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	328	90			169	
tC, single (s)	6.8	7.0			4.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.4			2.3	
p0 queue free %	51	93			97	
cM capacity (veh/h)	619	930			1359	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2
Volume Total	366	83	79	147	222
Volume Left	303	0	0	36	0
Volume Right	63	0	79	0	0
cSH	747	1700	1700	1359	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.49	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.13
Queue Length 95th (m)	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
Control Delay (s)	15.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Lane LOS	C			A	
Approach Delay (s)	15.0	0.0		0.8	
Approach LOS	C				


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	6.5		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	38.5%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	124	267	0	0	103
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	267				391	267
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	267				391	267
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.6	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.7	3.3
p0 queue free %	100				100	87
cM capacity (veh/h)	1297				578	772

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	124	267	103
Volume Left	0	0	0
Volume Right	0	0	103
cSH	1297	1700	772
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.16	0.13
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	3.2
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.4
Lane LOS			B
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	10.4
Approach LOS			B


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	2.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	28.2%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	133	235	4	10	17
Pedestrians		4	16		6	
Lane Width (m)		4.0	4.0		4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0	1.0		1.0	
Percent Blockage		0	2		1	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	245				404	247
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	245				404	247
tC, single (s)	4.2				6.5	6.3
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.3				3.6	3.4
p0 queue free %	100				98	98
cM capacity (veh/h)	1262				576	769

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	139	239	27
Volume Left	6	0	10
Volume Right	0	4	17
cSH	1262	1700	684
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.14	0.04
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.1	0.0	0.9
Control Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.5
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	0.4	0.0	10.5
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.8		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	24.6%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	125	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	125	18
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	9	115	413	32	17	81	131	144	23	125	18
Pedestrians		35			83			1			27	
Lane Width (m)		4.0			4.0			4.0			4.0	
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0	
Percent Blockage		4			9			0			3	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)								59				
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	568	591	170	676	600	241	178			214		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	568	591	170	676	600	241	178			214		
tC, single (s)	7.2	6.5	6.3	7.1	6.7	6.2	4.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.3	2.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	97	86	0	90	98	94			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	301	336	812	238	313	703	1315			1231		

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1
Volume Total	145	275	187	212	144	166
Volume Left	21	275	138	81	0	23
Volume Right	115	0	17	0	144	18
cSH	609	238	264	1315	1700	1231
Volume to Capacity	0.24	1.16	0.71	0.06	0.08	0.02
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.5	89.5	33.7	1.4	0.0	0.4
Control Delay (s)	12.8	151.6	45.7	3.4	0.0	1.2
Lane LOS	B	F	E	A		A
Approach Delay (s)	12.8	108.8		2.0		1.2
Approach LOS	B	F				

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	47.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	61.8%	ICU Level of Service	B	
Analysis Period (min)	15			



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Future Volume (Veh/h)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	18	5	129	0	0	353
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			144			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	482	129			129	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	482	129			129	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	97	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	543	921			1457	

Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	23	129	353
Volume Left	18	0	0
Volume Right	5	0	0
cSH	596	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.08	0.21
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.8	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	11.3	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS	B		
Approach Delay (s)	11.3	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS	B		


Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.5		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	129	12	0	353
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			99			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	488	135			141	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	488	135			141	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	539	914			1442	

Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	141	353
Volume Left	0	0
Volume Right	12	0
cSH	1700	1700
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.21
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Lane LOS		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0
Approach LOS		

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	0.0		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	40.9%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		



Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Future Volume (Veh/h)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	75	68	75	56	109	176
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	131				321	103
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	131				321	103
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	95				83	82
cM capacity (veh/h)	1454				638	952

Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1
Volume Total	143	131	285
Volume Left	75	0	109
Volume Right	0	56	176
cSH	1454	1700	801
Volume to Capacity	0.05	0.08	0.36
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	11.3
Control Delay (s)	4.2	0.0	12.0
Lane LOS	A		B
Approach Delay (s)	4.2	0.0	12.0
Approach LOS			B

Intersection Summary			
Average Delay	7.2		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	43.7%	ICU Level of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)	15		

APPENDIX J

Transportation Demand Checklists

TDM Measures Checklist:
Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend

BASIC — The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

BETTER — The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

★ — The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

		TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	
		1.1 Program coordinator	
BASIC	★	1.1.1 Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator	☐
		1.2 Travel surveys	
BETTER		1.2.1 Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress	☐
		2. WALKING AND CYCLING	
		2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes & destinations	
BASIC		2.1.1 Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances	☒
		2.2 Bicycle skills training	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	2.2.1 Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses	☐
		2.3 Valet bike parking	
		Visitor travel	
BETTER		2.3.1 Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐

		TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		3. TRANSIT	
		3.1 Transit information	
BASIC	3.1.1	Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances	☒
BASIC	3.1.2	Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information	☐
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances	☐
		3.2 Transit fare incentives	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	3.2.1	Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit	☐
BETTER ★	3.2.2	Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER	3.2.3	Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		3.3 Enhanced public transit service	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	3.3.1	Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER	3.3.2	Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		3.4 Private transit service	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	3.4.1	Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER	3.4.2	Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐

		TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
	4.	**RIDESHARING**	
	4.1	**Ridematching service**	
		Commuter travel	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com	☐
	4.2	**Carpool parking price incentives**	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	4.2.1	Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools	☐
	4.3	**Vanpool service**	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	4.3.1	Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters	☐
	5.	**CARSHARING & BIKESHARING**	
	5.1	**Bikeshare stations & memberships**	
BETTER	5.1.1	Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors	☐
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	5.1.2	Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel	☐
	5.2	**Carshare vehicles & memberships**	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	5.2.1	Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants	☐
BETTER	5.2.2	Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel	☐
	6.	**PARKING**	
	6.1	**Priced parking**	
		Commuter travel	
BASIC	6.1.1	Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly)	☒
BASIC	6.1.2	Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER	6.1.3	Charge for short-term parking (hourly)	☒

			TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		7.	**TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS**	
		7.1	**Multimodal travel information**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	7.1.1	Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students	☒
			Visitor travel	
BETTER	★	7.1.2	Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		7.2	**Personalized trip planning**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	7.2.1	Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees	☐
		7.3	**Promotions**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		7.3.1	Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes	☐
		8.	**OTHER INCENTIVES & AMENITIES**	
		8.1	**Emergency ride home**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	8.1.1	Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters	☐
		8.2	**Alternative work arrangements**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	8.2.1	Encourage flexible work hours	☐
BETTER		8.2.2	Encourage compressed workweeks	☐
BETTER	★	8.2.3	Encourage telework	☐
		8.3	**Local business travel options**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	8.3.1	Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work	☐
		8.4	**Commuter incentives**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		8.4.1	Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance	☐
		8.5	**On-site amenities**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		8.5.1	Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	☐

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:
Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend

REQUIRED	The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed
BASIC	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
BETTER	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	1.	**WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES**	
	1.1	**Building location & access points**	
BASIC	1.1.1	Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	☐
BASIC	1.1.2	Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	☒
BASIC	1.1.3	Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	☒
	1.2	**Facilities for walking & cycling**	
REQUIRED	1.2.1	Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)*	☒
REQUIRED	1.2.2	Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.12)*	☒

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3	Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)*	☒
REQUIRED	1.2.4	Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)*	☒
REQUIRED	1.2.5	Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on-road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)*	☒
BASIC	1.2.6	Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	☒
BASIC	1.2.7	Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	☐
BASIC	1.2.8	Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	☐
	1.3	**Amenities for walking & cycling**	
BASIC	1.3.1	Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	☒
BASIC	1.3.2	Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	☐

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	2.	**WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES**	
	2.1	**Bicycle parking**	
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)*	☒
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well-used areas *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☒
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☒
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met), plus the expected peak number of customer/visitor cyclists	☐
BETTER	2.1.5	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter and customer/visitor cyclists, plus an additional buffer (e.g. 25 percent extra) to encourage other cyclists and ensure adequate capacity in peak cycling season	☐
	2.2	**Secure bicycle parking**	
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single office building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☐
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met)	☐
	2.3	**Shower & change facilities**	
BASIC	2.3.1	Provide shower and change facilities for the use of active commuters	☐
BETTER	2.3.2	In addition to shower and change facilities, provide dedicated lockers, grooming stations, drying racks and laundry facilities for the use of active commuters	☐
	2.4	**Bicycle repair station**	
BETTER	2.4.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)	☐

	TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*		Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	3.	**TRANSIT**	
	3.1	**Customer amenities**	
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops	☐
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter	☐
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building	☐
	4.	**RIDESHARING**	
	4.1	**Pick-up & drop-off facilities**	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	☐
	4.2	**Carpool parking**	
BASIC	4.2.1	Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools	☐
BETTER	4.2.2	At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement	☐
	5.	**CARSHARING & BIKESHARING**	
	5.1	**Carshare parking spaces**	
BETTER	5.1.1	Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted non-residential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces *(see Zoning By-law Section 94)*	☐
	5.2	**Bikeshare station location**	
BETTER	5.2.1	Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	☐

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	6.	**PARKING**	
	6.1	**Number of parking spaces**	
REQUIRED	6.1.1	Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	☒
BASIC	6.1.2	Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	☐
BASIC	6.1.3	Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly *(see Zoning By-law Section 104)*	☐
BETTER	6.1.4	Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☐
	6.2	**Separate long-term & short-term parking areas**	
BETTER	6.2.1	Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	☐
	7.	**OTHER**	
	7.1	**On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips**	
BETTER	7.1.1	Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	☐

APPENDIX K

MMLOS Review

Segment MMLOS Analysis

This section provides a review of the boundary streets Ring Road (N-S) and Ring Road (E-W), using complete streets principles. The *Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) Guidelines*, produced by IBI Group in October 2015 and the 2017 Addendum, were used to evaluate the levels of service for each alternative mode of transportation on the boundary streets. Both boundary streets are located within the General Urban Area, as defined in the previous Official Plan.

Exhibit 4 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the segment pedestrian level of service (PLOS) of the boundary streets. Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies a target PLOS C for all roadways in the General Urban Area. The results of the segment PLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 1**.

Exhibit 11 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the segment bicycle level of service (BLOS) of the boundary streets. In the General Urban Area, Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies a target BLOS D for roadways with no cycling route designation (Ring Road). The results of the segment BLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 2**.

Exhibit 15 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the segment transit level of service (TLOS) of the boundary streets. Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* does not identify a target TLOS for roadways without a Rapid Transit or Transit Priority designation (Ring Road). Ring Road has still been evaluated for TLOS, as it is currently utilized by transit. The results of the segment TLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 3**.

Exhibit 20 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the segment truck level of service (TkLOS) of the boundary streets. In the General Urban Area, Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* does not identify a target TkLOS for local or collector roadways without a truck route designation (Ring Road). Ring Road has still been evaluated for TkLOS, due to the presence of heavy vehicles on Ring Road (including transit vehicles and ambulances). The results of the segment TkLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 4**.

Table 1: PLOS Segment Analysis

Sidewalk Width	Boulevard Width	Avg. Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume	Presence of On-Street Parking	Operating Speed[1]	PLOS
Ring Road (N-S), east side					
≥ 2.0m	0m	> 3,000 vpd	N/A	50 km/h	C
Ring Road (N-S), west side					
No sidewalk		> 3,000 vpd	No	50 km/h	**F**
Ring Road (E-W), north side					
No sidewalk		≤ 3,000 vpd	No	50 km/h	**F**
Ring Road (E-W), south side					
No sidewalk		≤ 3,000 vpd	No	50 km/h	**F**

1. Operating speed taken as the speed limit plus 10 km/h.

Table 2: BLOS Segment Analysis

Road Class	Route Type	Bikeway Type	Travel Lanes	Operating Speed	BLOS
Ring Road (N-S), from Hospital Link Road to Ring Road (E-W)					
Local	No Class	Mixed Traffic	2	50 km/h	D
Ring Road (E-W), from General Hospital Access Road to Ring Road (N-S)					
Local	No Class	Mixed Traffic	2	50 km/h	D

Table 3: TLOS Segment Analysis

Facility Type	Exposure to Congestion Delay, Friction, and Incidents			TLOS
	Congestion	Friction	Incident Potential	
Ring Road (N-S), from Hospital Link Road to Ring Road (E-W)				
Mixed Traffic – Limited Parking/Driveway Friction	Yes	Low	Medium	D
Ring Road (E-W), from General Hospital Access Road to Ring Road (N-S)				
Mixed Traffic – Limited Parking/Driveway Friction	Yes	Low	Medium	D

Table 4: TkLOS Segment Analysis

Curb Lane Width	Number of Travel Lanes Per Direction	TkLOS
Ring Road (N-S), from Hospital Link Road to Ring Road (E-W)		
> 3.7m	1	B
Ring Road (E-W), from General Hospital Access Road to Ring Road (N-S)		
> 3.7m	1	B

Intersection MMLOS Analysis

The following is a review of the MMLOS of the signalized intersections within the study area (Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place and Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road), using complete streets principles. These intersections have been evaluated based on existing conditions, using the MMLOS targets for intersections within the General Urban Area (Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road) and for intersections within 300m of a school (Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place).

Exhibit 5 of the 2017 *Addendum to the MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the existing PLOS at the intersections listed above. Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies a target PLOS C for all roadways in the General Urban Area and a target PLOS A for roadways within 300m of a school. The results of the intersection PLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 5** and **Table 6**.

Exhibit 12 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the existing BLOS at the intersections listed above. In the General Urban Area, Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies a target BLOS B for Local Routes (South Haven Place), a target BLOS C for Spine Routes (Smyth Road), and a target BLOS D for roadways with no cycling route designation (Ring Road N-S and General Hospital Access Road). The results of the intersection BLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 7**.

Exhibit 16 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the existing TLOS at the intersections listed above. In the General Urban Area and within 300m of a school, Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* does not identify a target TLOS for areas that are not Transit Priority Corridors with Isolated Measures. The TLOS has been evaluated for the north, east, and west approaches at intersections listed above, as they are currently utilized by transit. The results of the intersection TLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 8**.

Exhibit 21 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* has been used to evaluate the existing TkLOS at the intersections listed above. In the General Urban Area and within 300m of a school, Exhibit 22 of the *MMLOS Guidelines* identifies a target TkLOS D for arterial truck routes (Smyth Road). The results of the intersection TkLOS analysis are summarized in **Table 9**.

Table 5: PLOS Intersection Analysis – Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place

CRITERIA	North Approach		South Approach		East Approach		West Approach	
PETSI SCORE								
CROSSING DISTANCE CONDITIONS								
Median > 2.4m in Width	No	39	No	105	No	55	No	72
Lanes Crossed (3.5m Lane Width)	7		3		6		5	
SIGNAL PHASING AND TIMING								
Left Turn Conflict	Perm + Prot	-8	Permissive	-8	Permissive	-8	Permissive	-8
Right Turn Conflict	Permissive or Yield	-5	Permissive or Yield	-5	Permissive or Yield	-5	Permissive or Yield	-5
Right Turn on Red	RTOR Allowed	-3	RTOR Allowed	-3	RTOR Allowed	-3	RTOR Allowed	-3
Leading Pedestrian Interval	No	-2	No	-2	No	-2	No	-2
CORNER RADIUS								
Parallel Radius	> 10m to 15m	-6	> 5m to 10m	-5	> 5m to 10m	-5	> 5m to 10m	-5
Parallel Right Turn Channel	No Right Turn Channel	-4	No Right Turn Channel	-4	No Right Turn Channel	-4	No Right Turn Channel	-4
Perpendicular Radius	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Perpendicular Right Turn Channel	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
CROSSING TREATMENT								
Treatment	Standard	-7	Standard	-7	Standard	-7	Standard	-7
PETSI SCORE		**4**		**71**		**21**		**38**
LOS		**F**		**C**		**F**		**E**
DELAY SCORE								
Cycle Length		115		115		115		115
Pedestrian Walk Time		17.3		53.3		9.5		9.5
DELAY SCORE		**41.5**		**16.6**		**48.4**		**48.4**
LOS		**E**		**B**		**E**		**E**
OVERALL		**F**		**C**		**F**		**E**

Table 6: PLOS Intersection Analysis – Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road

CRITERIA	North Approach		East Approach		West Approach	
PETSI SCORE						
CROSSING DISTANCE CONDITIONS						
Median > 2.4m in Width	No	23	No	55	No	55
Lanes Crossed (3.5m Lane Width)	8		6		6	
SIGNAL PHASING AND TIMING						
Left Turn Conflict	Perm + Prot	-8	Protected	0	No Left Turn/Prohibited	0
Right Turn Conflict	Perm + Prot	-5	No Right Turn/Prohibited	0	Perm + Prot	-5
Right Turn on Red	RTOR Allowed	-3	RTOR Allowed	-3	N/A	0
Leading Pedestrian Interval	No	-2	Yes	0	Yes	0
CORNER RADIUS						
Parallel Radius	> 10m to 15m	-6	No Right Turn	0	> 10m to 15m	-6
Parallel Right Turn Channel	No Right Turn Channel	-4	No Right Turn	0	No Right Turn Channel	-4
Perpendicular Radius	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Perpendicular Right Turn Channel	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
CROSSING TREATMENT						
Treatment	Standard	-7	Standard	-7	Standard	-7
PETSI SCORE		**-12**		**45**		**33**
LOS		**F**		**D**		**E**
DELAY SCORE						
Cycle Length		115		115		115
Pedestrian Walk Time		7.6		7.0		35.5
DELAY SCORE		**50.2**		**50.7**		**27.5**
LOS		**E**		**E**		**C**
OVERALL		**F**		**E**		**E**

Table 7: BLOS Intersection Analysis

Approach	Facility Type	Criteria	Travel Lanes and/or Speed	BLOS
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place				
North Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Right turn lane < 50m; turning speed ≤ 25 km/h	**D**
		Left Turn Accommodation	One lane crossed; 50 km/h	**D**
South Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Shared left turn/right turn lane	A
		Left Turn Accommodation	No lanes crossed; ≤ 50 km/h	B
East Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Shared through/right turn lane	A
		Left Turn Accommodation	One lane crossed; ≥ 60 km/h	**F**
West Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Shared through/right turn lane	A
		Left Turn Accommodation	One lane crossed; ≥ 60 km/h	**F**
Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road				
North Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Right turn lane < 50m; turning speed ≤ 25 km/h	**D**
		Left Turn Accommodation	Dual left turn lanes	**F**
East Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	Right turn lane > 50m	**F**
		Left Turn Accommodation	N/A	-
West Approach	Mixed Traffic	Right Turn Lane Characteristics	N/A	-
		Left Turn Accommodation	Two lanes crossed; ≥ 50 km/h	**F**

Table 8: TLOS Intersection Analysis

Approach	Delay[1]		TLOS
	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place			
North Approach	24 sec	39 sec	**E**
East Approach	33 sec	23 sec	**E**
West Approach	4 sec	6 sec	B
Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road			
North Approach	37 sec	47 sec	**F**
East Approach	10 sec	12 sec	C
West Approach	7 sec	5 sec	B

1. Delay based on outputs from Synchro analysis of existing conditions

Table 9: TkLOS Intersection Analysis

Approach	Effective Corner Radius	Number of Receiving Lanes Departing Intersection	TkLOS
Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)/South Haven Place			
North Approach	< 10m	2	D
South Approach	< 10m	2	D
East Approach	10m to 15m	2(1)	B
West Approach	< 10m	1	**F**
Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road			
North Approach	10m to 15m	2	B
East Approach	10m to 15m	2(1)	B

1. Ring Road (N-S) and General Hospital Access Road have single receiving lanes. However, the widths of these lanes are greater than 7m and therefore more equivalent to the width of two standard lanes.

APPENDIX L

SimTraffic Analysis

7: General Hospital & Ring (E-W) Performance by movement

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Denied Del/Veh (s)	0.0	0.0	0.5	173.5	152.1	221.6	2.7	2.9	3.2	2.6	4.1	3.6
Total Del/Veh (s)	23.1	8.0	24.2	170.2	192.2	190.4	12.5	7.0	2.1	14.9	18.6	25.2

7: General Hospital & Ring (E-W) Performance by movement

Movement	All
Denied Del/Veh (s)	72.8
Total Del/Veh (s)	75.0

Intersection: 7: General Hospital & Ring (E-W)

Movement	EB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB
Directions Served	LTR	L	LTR	LT	R	LTR
Maximum Queue (m)	52.9	64.9	177.4	32.9	20.5	62.6
Average Queue (m)	18.8	60.4	153.0	14.0	15.9	19.9
95th Queue (m)	41.1	84.5	198.1	31.8	23.2	48.8
Link Distance (m)	51.9		161.0	28.2		68.7
Upstream Blk Time (%)	2		62	10	0	3
Queuing Penalty (veh)	3		0	30	0	0
Storage Bay Dist (m)		40.0			10.0	
Storage Blk Time (%)		29	98	15	0	
Queuing Penalty (veh)		75	201	22	1	