

Hydrogeological Existing Conditions Report

Proposed Residential Development

East Parcel - 5971 Flewellyn Road and 1770 and 1820
Shea Road
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Report PH4625-REP.02
dated April 24, 2026



Table of Contents

	PAGE
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Scope of Work.....	1
2.0 Previous Reports.....	3
3.0 Method of Investigation	4
3.1 Records Review	4
3.2 Field Program.....	4
3.3 Laboratory Testing	5
3.4 Monitoring Well Installation	6
3.5 Piezometer Installation	6
3.6 Groundwater Level Measurement	6
3.7 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing	7
3.8 Pask Permeameter Testing.....	8
3.9 Stable Isotope Investigation	8
4.0 Review and Evaluation.....	10
4.1 Physical Setting.....	10
4.2 Geology.....	10
4.3 Hydrogeological Setting	12
5.0 Assessment and Recommendations	22
6.0 Closure.....	25

List of Tables

Table 1 - Groundwater Level Measurement Summary

Table 2 - Minimum and Maximum Datalogger Water Level Readings From Monitoring Program

Table 3 - Single Well Response Test Results Summary

Table 4 - Overburden Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Results and Estimated Infiltration Rates

List of Figures

Figure 1: BH1-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 2: BH2-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 3: BH3-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 4: BH22-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 5: BH24-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 6: BH33-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 7: BH1-21 & BH1A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 8: BH2-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 10: BH4-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 11: BH5-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 12: HA1-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 13: Figure13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 14: Figure14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 15: Figure15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 16: Figure16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Appendices

Appendix 1	Paterson - Terms of Reference
Appendix 2	Paterson - Soil Profile and test Data Sheets PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan Paterson - Grain Size Analysis Results Others - Soil Analytical Results
Appendix 3	PH4625-1 - Surficial Geology Plan PH4625-2 - Drift Thickness Plan PH4625-3 - Bedrock Geology Plan PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan PG5570-2 - Bedrock Contour Plan
Appendix 4	Hydraulic Conductivity Results - Falling and Rising Head Tests
Appendix 5	MECP Water Well Records

1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was retained by Caivan (Stittsville) Inc. to complete a hydrogeological study for the proposed residential development to be located at 5971 Flewellyn Road and 1770 and 1820 Shea Road (East Parcel), in the City of Ottawa. It should be noted that this report was prepared solely to support the development applications for the East Parcel, with the understanding that the East Parcel forms part of a larger, integrated development. Accordingly, while the focus of this application is limited to the East Parcel, the hydrogeological study was undertaken for the entire area proposed for development. The study area, as illustrated in the Drawings in Appendix 3, includes both the East Parcel and the adjacent lands, as the hydrogeological systems must be considered together to ensure a comprehensive and accurate assessment for the entire development.

The purpose of this study is to characterize the hydrogeological setting of the study area, with respect to bedrock and surficial geology, aquifers, aquitards, horizontal and vertical flow patterns, existing groundwater use, and aquifer vulnerability. As mentioned above, this report was prepared in support of the East Parcel application.

This report should be read in conjunction with the current revision of the following reports.

- ☐ Paterson PE5472 Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment
- ☐ Paterson PG5570 Geotechnical Report
- ☐ Paterson PH4681 Hydrogeological Study and Water Budget Assessment

1.1 Scope of Work

Paterson has completed this report in accordance with Sub-section 4.2 of the finalized Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation Terms of Reference (GHI TOR), prepared for the City of Ottawa and the Rideau Valley conservation Authority (RVCA). As per the GHI TOR, the purpose of the hydrogeological study is to assist in maintaining the current hydrogeologic function of the study area. As per the GHI TOR, the study will provide a summary of existing hydrogeological conditions and identify the following:

- ☐ Hydraulic conductivities and aquifer characteristics
- ☐ Groundwater levels and seasonal fluctuations
- ☐ Hydraulic gradients
- ☐ Delineation of the aquifer

- ☐ Vulnerability of aquifers encountered
- ☐ Zones of influence for potential dewatering
- ☐ Water supply wells and the potential risk of impacts to these wells from the proposed development
- ☐ Sites identified by Environmental Site Assessments as potential sources of groundwater contamination
- ☐ Recharge/potential infiltration areas and mitigation measures

2.0 Previous Reports

In addition to a review of the general literature in the following sections and in the 'References' section of this report (MECP water well database, available geological and physiographic mapping, City of Ottawa Official Plan), Paterson reviewed the following reports:

- ☐ Paterson PE5472 Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment (prepared concurrently with this report).
- ☐ Paterson PG5570 Geotechnical Report (prepared concurrently with this report).
- ☐ Paterson PH4681 Hydrogeological Study and Water Budget Assessment (prepared concurrently with this report).
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2802-1 - Maguire Lands - Hartsmere Drive (November 2012) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2853-1 - Proposed Residential Development - Stittsville Main Street (January 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2983-1 - Faulkner Lands - Fernbank Road at Main Street (July 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ The Jock River Reach 2 & Mud Creek Subwatershed Study (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited and WESA, 2009)
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering - Technical Memorandum - Hydrogeological Study - (D007-16-13-0033) - Area 6, Stittsville South (April 2015) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering - Report on Private Well Monitoring Program Stittsville South Residential Development and Stormwater Management Pond - (November 2015) - As part of D07-16-15-0008.

3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Records Review

A review of available physiographic, geological, and hydrogeological data was completed as a part of this assessment. As discussed above, the literature review and previous reports provided a regional overview regarding the overburden and bedrock aquifers that included the study area. Further detail is provided in following sections.

3.2 Field Program

A field program was developed to assess geology, groundwater conditions, and hydraulic gradients in the overburden and bedrock at the study area. The test holes were advanced to various depths at the study area to assess hydrogeological conditions at the approximate depth of the proposed construction activities at the site. A supplemental field program was performed to provide additional hydraulic properties of the surficial soils and bedrock at the study area.

The initial field programs were carried out between November 2020 and January 2022. At that time, a total of thirty-eight (38) boreholes and eighteen (18) test pits were advanced to a maximum depth of 10.2 m below ground surface (bgs).

A supplemental field program was completed between September to October 2022 and consisted of advancing seven (7) boreholes and one (1) hand auger hole to a maximum depth of 9.1 m bgs, completing permeameter tests at twelve (12) locations across the study area, installing data loggers and slug testing the monitoring well installations. A total of twenty-four (24) Pask Permeameter tests were conducted at 12 testing locations across the study area at depths between 0.3 to 0.6 m bgs.

An additional field program was completed between January and February 2026 and consisted of advancing five (5) boreholes to a maximum depth of 6.2 m bgs.

The test holes for the field investigations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the study area.

Of the test holes completed on site, eighteen (18) were instrumented with monitoring wells. The test hole locations are shown on Drawing PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan, located in Appendix 2.

The boreholes were advanced using a low clearance drill rig operated by a two-person crew while the test pits were advanced using a hydraulic shovel excavator. Both drilling and excavating occurred under full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. Soil samples were obtained from test holes by means of grab sampling, split spoon or the sampling of shallow soils directly from auger flights. Split spoon

samples were taken at approximate 0.76 m intervals. In addition to soil sampling, rock core samples were obtained with the use of a standard diamond drill bit. The depths at which grab, split spoon, auger flight and rock core samples were obtained from the test holes are shown as "G", "SS", "AU" and "RC" respectively on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report in Appendix 2.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

All soil samples were classified on site, placed in sealed plastic bags and were transported to our laboratory for further review and testing. Transportation of the samples was completed in accordance with ASTM D4220-95 (2007) - Standard Practice for Preserving and Transporting Soil Samples.

Rock core samples were recovered from select boreholes (BH1-21, BH2-21, BH3-21, BH22A-21, BH24-21, BH33-21, BH34-21, BH1-22, BH2-22, BH3-22, BH4-22 and BH5-22) drilled during the geotechnical investigations using a core barrel and diamond drilling techniques. The bedrock samples were classified on site, placed in hard cardboard core boxes and transported to Paterson's laboratory.

The recovery value and a Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value were calculated for each drilled section of bedrock and are presented on the borehole logs. The recovery value is the length of the bedrock sample recovered over the length of the drilled section. The RQD value is the total length of intact rock pieces longer than 100 mm over the length of the core run. The values indicate the bedrock quality.

Subsurface conditions observed in the test holes were recorded in detail in the field. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 2 for specific details of the soil profile encountered at the test hole locations.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

All soil samples were retained for laboratory review following the field portion of the subsurface investigation. The soils were classified in general accordance with ASTM D2488-09a, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure).

A total of 8 split spoon samples were submitted for grain size analyses from the initial investigation. A total of 2 split spoon samples and 2 grab samples were submitted for resistivity, pH, sulphate and chloride analyses. The supplemental investigation included an additional 4 aggregated surficial soil samples that were

submitted for grain size analyses. The testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM C117 Test Method for Materials Finer Than 75- μ m (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates and Washing and ASTM C136 - Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates. Grain size analysis results are appended to this report. Based on the soil descriptions encountered across the study area during the various geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations and based on the spatial distribution of the above-noted samples, these samples are considered to be sufficiently representative of the study area. Grain size, resistivity, pH, sulphate and chloride analyses can be found in Appendix 2.

3.4 Monitoring Well Installation

A total of 13 groundwater monitoring wells were installed by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. The monitoring wells consisted of 51 mm diameter Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. A sand pack consisting of silica sand was placed around the screen, and a bentonite seal was placed above the screen and extended to ground surface to minimize cross-contamination.

An additional shallow groundwater monitor was installed using a hand auger to measure shallow overburden water levels at the west side of the study area.

Monitoring well construction details are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report.

3.5 Piezometer Installation

Flexible polyethylene standpipes were installed in select boreholes to permit the monitoring of groundwater levels subsequent to the completion of the initial field program.

3.6 Groundwater Level Measurement

Groundwater levels were measured at the piezometer installations after the initial field investigation and at the monitoring well installations as part of both field investigations using an electronic water level meter. Groundwater levels were measured relative to the ground surface elevation at each monitoring installation. Groundwater levels at all locations are summarized in Table 1 appended in the Tables section of this report.

Multiple groundwater level measurement events have been completed to date with measurements occurring between January 2022 to March 2026. Groundwater levels in piezometers and the monitoring wells varied between 0.6 to 2.8 m below ground surface (bgs) and -0.2 to 3.7 m bgs, respectively.

Long term groundwater monitoring was undertaken using the VanEssen TD-Diver Water Level Datalogger (10 m) at the fourteen monitoring well locations between October 2022 and May 2024.

3.7 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing

Hydraulic conductivity testing was completed in select monitoring wells installed during both subsurface investigations. Falling head and rising head tests (“slug tests”) were completed in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method D 4404 - Field Procedure for Instantaneous Change in Head (Slug) Tests for Determining Hydraulic Properties of Aquifers.

Slug testing was completed in October 2022 by Paterson personnel. The general test method consisted of the measurement of the static water level in the well, followed by inducing a near-instantaneous change of head in the monitoring well and subsequent monitoring of water level recovery with an electronic water level tape and a Mini Diver water level logger. The change in head was induced by the introduction of either an acetal slug, 0.9 m in length and 38 mm in diameter, or a metal slug, 1.0 m in length and 19 mm in diameter, depending on the well diameter. The slug was introduced to raise the groundwater level in the monitoring well, following which the decrease in water level over time was monitored (falling head test). Once the water level had stabilized (or nearly stabilized), the slug was then removed to lower the groundwater level, following which the increase in water level over time was monitored (rising head test).

Following the completion of the slug tests, the test data was analyzed as per the method set out by Hvorslev (1951). Assumptions inherent in the Hvorslev method include a homogeneous aquifer of infinite extent, and a screen length significantly greater than the monitoring well diameter. The assumption regarding screen length and well diameter is considered to be met based upon a typical length of 1.52 m and a diameter of 0.03 to 0.05 m.

While the idealized assumptions regarding aquifer extent, homogeneity are not strictly met in this case (or in any real-world situation), it has been our experience that the Hvorslev method produces effective point estimates of hydraulic conductivity in conditions similar to those encountered at the study area. Hvorslev analysis is based on the line of best fit through the field data (hydraulic head recovery vs. time), plotted on a semi-logarithmic scale.

Based on the above test methods, the overburden and bedrock monitoring wells displayed hydraulic conductivity values ranging from 4.2×10^{-6} to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec and 4.3×10^{-7} to 1.6×10^{-4} m/sec, respectively. The hydraulic conductivity test results can be found in Appendix 4 as well as in a Table 3 appended to this report.

3.8 Pask Permeameter Testing

Permeameter testing was conducted using a Pask (Constant Head Well) Permeameter in September 2022. An 83 mm diameter hole was excavated using a Riverside/Bucket auger to a depth of 0.3 m bgs and a separate hole was excavated to a depth of 0.6 m bgs at 12 locations. All soil from the auger flights were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The permeameter reservoir was filled with water and inverted into the hole, ensuring it was relatively vertical and rests on the bottom of the hole. The water level of the reservoir was monitored at 1-minute intervals until the rate of fall out of the permeameter reached equilibrium, known as quasi “steady state” flow rate. Quasi steady state flow can be considered to have been obtained after measuring 3 to 5 consecutive rate of fall readings with identical values. The values for the steady state rate of fall were recorded for each location.

Preparation and testing of this investigation are in accordance with the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) B65-12 - Annex E. The hydraulic conductivity (K_{fs}) values for each test hole location are presented in Table 4.

Hydraulic conductivity values were determined using Engineering Technologies Canada (ETC) Ltd. reference tables provided in the most recent ETC Pask Permeameter User Guide dated March 2016.

Based on the above testing, field saturated hydraulic conductivity values (K_{fs}) in the test holes ranged from 1.1×10^{-7} to 6.4×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.3 m and $\leq 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$ to 5.9×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.6 m. A summary of field saturated hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 4 appended in the Tables section of this report.

The field saturated hydraulic conductivity test results have been shown to be lower than the saturated hydraulic conductivity values typically measured. Reynolds (1993) has shown that the K_{fs} value can be less than or equal to half of K_s due to partial blocking of soil pores by air bubbles.

3.9 Stable Isotope Investigation

Stable isotopes, specifically deuterium (^2H) and oxygen-18 (^{18}O), are a useful tool to trace the water cycle and identify potential groundwater recharge and/or discharge zones. The ^2H and ^{18}O signature of local meteoric water will vary seasonally, apposed to the ^2H and ^{18}O signature of groundwater which remains constant (an average of local meteoric water). By comparing site specific isotope results to local meteoric water results, we can determine if surface water features are primarily impacted by groundwater or precipitation derived discharge.

A select number of monitoring wells were sampled for stable isotopes, specifically, deuterium (^2H) and oxygen-18 (^{18}O), to provide insight on groundwater recharge

and discharge at the study area. Prior to collecting each sample, a minimum of three well volumes were purged from the well to ensure that the sample was representative of the groundwater system. Once the well was developed and deemed to contain a representative sample, a 500 mL polyethylene sample bottle was filled with minimal to no headspace and refrigerated until it was submitted to the lab for isotopic analyses.

4.0 Review and Evaluation

4.1 Physical Setting

The study area is a mix of agricultural land, forested areas, and a hydro corridor. There is a Stormwater Management Pond (SWMP) located adjacent to the northwestern boundary of the proposed residential development with the outlet extending southeast between the study area parcels. The hydro corridor extends in a northerly direction across the site with a second SWMP located centrally at the subject site and owned by others. The eastern portion of the study area consists of a cleared area that has been converted into agricultural land. Northwest of the study area has municipal services with existing and proposed residential developments. An existing, privately serviced residential development with country estate lots is located to the west of the proposed residential development. Shea Road is located to the east of the proposed residential development while Flewellyn Road is located to the south.

The study area has topographical relief extending from the west corner of the site that ranges from approximately 109 m asl down to 102 m asl in the east corner. The ground surface exhibits a greater slope in the west portion of the site with a reduced slope extending eastward.

The Faulkner Drain transects the study area from the northwest to the southeast. The west corner of the site contains a small shallow man-made excavation that was likely used for private aggregates (location displayed on Drawing PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan). The excavation has been observed to be filled with water with a connection noted to extend to a man-made drainage ditch leading to the Faulkner Drain.

According to available mapping, the region is generally characterized by non-cohesive material with glaciomarine deposits which is generally consistent with field observations at the study area. To the north, a small portion of the region is characterized by glacial till deposits which is generally consistent with field observations at the study area.

4.2 Geology

4.2.1 Surficial Geology

The surficial geology mapping of the National Capital Region provided by the Ontario Geologic Survey was reviewed as a part of this assessment. Available mapping indicates that overburden soils at the study area consist primarily of glaciomarine deposits with fine grained material to the east and coarse-grained material to the west. To the north, a small portion of the study area consists of glacial till. Overburden soils mapping is shown on Drawing PH4625-1 - Surficial Geology Plan in Appendix 3.

Overburden soils identified by the geotechnical investigations by Paterson were generally consistent with the available mapping. Overburden thickness was observed to extend from 0.3 to 6.1 m bgs across the study area, with available mapping indicating between 0 to 10 m of soil generally present which is shown on Drawing PH4625-2 - Overburden Drift Thickness Plan in Appendix 3. The overburden generally consisted of topsoil over silty sand to a sandy silt deposit underlain by glacial till. Clay was observed interbedded with the sandy silt layer on the eastern portion of the study area. All layers were not observed in all test holes.

Specific details are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report in Appendix 2.

4.2.2 Bedrock Geology

Bedrock mapping, provided by Ontario Geologic Survey of the National Capital Region was reviewed as a part of this assessment. Available mapping indicates that bedrock across the study area consists of limestone, dolostone, shale and sandstone of the Gull River Formation (Middle Ordovician). The Gull River Formation is a member of the Simcoe Group. Bedrock Geology mapping is shown on Drawing PH4625-3 - Bedrock Geology Plan in Appendix 3.

Bedrock was encountered and cored during the geotechnical investigations and was generally consistent with available mapping. Bedrock was encountered between 0.8 to 6.0 m bgs across the study area and cored to a maximum depth of 10.2 m bgs. Shallower bedrock was encountered within the western portion of the study area and deeper bedrock within the eastern portion. Generally, bedrock was characterized as excellent quality dolostone interbedded with limestone across the study area. Bedrock depths are identified on the appended Drawing PG5570-2 - Bedrock Contour Plan.

4.2.3 Karst Features

The term 'karst' refers to a geologic formation characterized by the dissolution of carbonate bedrock, such as limestone. Based on a review of Ontario Geological Survey available mapping, a small area within the western portion of the study area is inferred to contain karst, while the remainder of the site falls within an area that can potentially contain karst. It should be noted that no evidence of karstification was observed at the time of the field investigations completed at the study area. Site specific testing provides better resolution than high level mapping as well as our experience at other sites in the area, it is our opinion that the study area does not contain karst.

4.3 Hydrogeological Setting

4.3.1 Existing Aquifer Systems

Aquifer systems may be defined as geological media, either overburden soils or fractured bedrock, which permit the movement of groundwater under hydraulic gradients. In general, aquifer systems may be present in overburden soils or bedrock. The overburden soils at the study area are relatively shallow and consist of moderate hydraulic conductivities with lower value materials on the east side of the study area. Given the limited thickness and available quantity of groundwater within the overburden aquifer, it is not considered an adequate source for water supply wells. The domestic water wells surrounding the study area are accessing the bedrock aquifer(s).

Based on a review of the MECP water well record database, Paterson has identified one aquifer system in the vicinity of the study area which consists of the underlying bedrock aquifer. The Gull River Formation aquifer system is located over the entirety of the study area. The majority of water wells are completed at greater depths within the bedrock unit.

This assessment will address the overburden aquifer and maintaining the existing water balance in order to protect existing water users/uses and the quantity/quality. The existing man-made excavation, as previously noted, allows a mixture of precipitation and limited volumes of shallow groundwater to daylight to surface. It currently allows for localized surficial flows to be directed to an unnamed man-made drainage ditch that connects to the Faulkner Drain. Isotope testing results, which are presented in Section 4.3.6., and the high RQD values observed in the bedrock aquifer support the interpretation that this man-made surface water feature is primarily impacted by surficial flows and surface water runoff. Construction of servicing and building excavations are expected to contribute to altering the existing flow paths and would limit the ability of the man-made water feature to function in the same manner. However, limiting the surficial contributions to this man-made surface water feature will not have an impact to the overall hydrogeological function of the site given that shallow groundwater will continue to flow laterally at the bedrock interface until it is discharged at the Faulkner Drain or roadside ditch.

4.3.2 Groundwater Levels

Piezometers and monitoring wells were placed across the study area for the purpose of monitoring groundwater levels. The piezometers were installed in the overburden and the monitoring wells were installed in the bedrock. Multiple groundwater level measurement events have been completed to date with measurements occurring between January 2022 to March 2026. Groundwater levels were observed to be between 0.6 to 2.8 m bgs in the piezometers and between -0.2 to 3.7 m bgs in the monitoring wells. The initial groundwater levels

are shown on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report in Appendix 2. Groundwater elevations that were collected on October 11, 2022, were used to determine hydraulic gradients and the general groundwater flow direction at the study area which is shown on Paterson Drawing PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan within Appendix 3.

The water level monitoring program provides an overview of the variations in the monitoring well water levels based upon seasonal fluctuations. The manual measurements from the monitoring program are summarized in Table 1 at the end of this report.

The monitoring program extended from October 2022 to May 2024. The monitoring data was compared with Environment and Natural Resources Canada precipitation data from the Ottawa International Airport over the same timeframe as part of the monitoring program. The monitoring data is presented in Figures 1-12 appended in the Figures section of this report. Groundwater levels in the monitoring wells throughout the monitoring period varied between -0.2 and 3.8 m bgs. The monitoring data is presented in Figures 1-12 appended in the Figures section of this report.

4.3.3 Horizontal Hydraulic Gradients

The direction of hydraulic gradients shows that groundwater flow travels predominantly from west to east towards the eastern corner of the study area. The study area is located within the Flowing Creek subwatershed where local groundwater flow is generally in an eastward direction towards the Faulkner Drain. Regional groundwater flow is also in an eastward direction towards the Jock River.

The horizontal hydraulic gradient in the bedrock was observed to be in a general eastward direction with increased values within the western portion of the study area as shown on Drawing PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan. Based on groundwater elevations measurements collected on October 11, 2022, the bedrock horizontal gradients ranged from approximately 0.001 to 0.026. As for the horizontal hydraulic gradient in the overburden material, it was interpreted to have a similar magnitude and direction as the bedrock given the similarities in groundwater levels at the nested well locations and the topographic profile of the site. An overview of the general bedrock flow regime is displayed on Drawing PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan

4.3.4 Vertical Hydraulic Gradients

Vertical hydraulic gradients were calculated within two nested well installations across the study area based on a select number of groundwater level measurements collected between October 2022 and May 2023. The vertical hydraulic gradients provide insight on the hydraulic interactions between the bedrock and overburden units. BH 1-22 and BH 1A-22 (west portion of the study

area) had a vertical upward gradient of approximately 0.012 to 0.016 while BH 3-22 and BH 3A-22 (east portion of the study area) had a vertical downward gradient of 0.004 to 0.035 or a vertical upward gradient of 0.010, depending on the time of year.

4.3.5 Hydraulic Conductivity

Based on the field hydraulic conductivity testing undertaken as part of this assessment, the hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials were observed to range between 4.2×10^{-6} m/sec to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec while the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock was observed to range between 4.3×10^{-7} to 1.6×10^{-4} m/sec. These values are consistent with tabulated values from Freeze and Cherry (1979) and field values encountered at similar sites. A summary of the hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 3 in the Tables section of this report.

To determine the field saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{fs}) of the unsaturated soils at the study area, Pask Permeameter testing was conducted at depths of 0.3 and 0.6 m bgs. Twelve test locations were identified across the study area to provide general coverage of surficial values.

The test results showed the field saturated hydraulic conductivity ranged from 1.1×10^{-7} to 6.4×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.3 m bgs and $\leq 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$ to 5.9×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.6 m bgs. The values observed at 0.6 m bgs were generally lower than the values at 0.3 m bgs. Highest surficial field saturated values were observed within the western portion of the study area indicating that the western portion of the site consists of more permeable surficial soils than the eastern portion of the study area. A summary of the surficial field saturated hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 4 appended in the Tables section of this report.

4.3.6 Isotopes - Deuterium and ^{18}O

Isotope testing was conducted to provide further review of the groundwater flow regime. By comparing the isotopic sample results to the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL), interpretations about potential groundwater recharge and discharge zones can be made. A LMWL shows the relationship between ^2H and ^{18}O in precipitation for a specific geographic region, in this case Ottawa. Water features that are largely impacted by precipitation events will generally consist of a ^2H and ^{18}O signature that is similar to the ^2H and ^{18}O isotopes associated with that specific event. However, groundwater will generally have a ^2H and ^{18}O signature similar to the average ^2H and ^{18}O value of the LMWL. Therefore, it is expected that precipitation derived water ^2H and ^{18}O values will show seasonal variability where groundwater will not. Assessing temporal trends in the isotopic data will provide insight on potential discharge and recharge zones at the study area.

To date, samples were collected from the man-made surface water feature and adjacent monitoring wells on October 28, 2022, December 5, 2022, February 1, 2023, April 4, 2023 and May 30, 2023. The results show that the bedrock water well samples collected during the sampling events all have similar ^2H and ^{18}O signatures. Therefore, it is apparent that the bedrock monitoring well samples are representative of the local bedrock groundwater system given the lack of seasonal variability in the isotope results. The samples collected from the man-made surface water feature had different ^2H and ^{18}O signatures between the sampling events, showing a more depleted isotopic signature in the winter than the fall and spring. The evolution in the surface water feature's isotopic signature follows the same trend as local meteoric waters, therefore, indicating that the man-made surface water feature is likely impacted by precipitation derived water within the overburden soil with minimal influence from bedrock aquifer discharge. Stable isotope results can be found in Figures 13-16: $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results, appended to this report.

4.3.7 Groundwater Recharge and Discharge

In general, groundwater will follow the path of least resistance from areas of higher hydraulic head to areas of lower hydraulic head. Upward and downward hydraulic gradients are typically indicative of areas of discharge and recharge, respectively.

Based on field saturated hydraulic conductivity testing in the overburden soils, the overburden soils are considered to have a moderate hydraulic conductivity, which are mapped as a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA) by the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Region (MRSPR)

It is our interpretation that precipitation will intercept the soil surface where it will flow vertically downward through the unsaturated surficial soils before intercepting the shallow overburden aquifer where it will predominantly travel laterally at the bedrock interface. This interpretation is supported by the isotope and vertical gradient information discussed above coupled with the topographic relief of the site and high RQD bedrock. It should be noted that site specific testing provides better resolution than the high level SGRA mapping provided by the MRSPR.

There is inferred minor groundwater discharge to a man-made surface water feature in the western portion of the study area due to topographic variations, however, is expected to be limited in nature due to the isotope results discussed above.

The study area intersects one subwatershed as previously mentioned and will have flow generally travelling in an eastward direction within the western portion of the study area, and in a southeastern direction within the eastern portion of the study area, towards the Faulkner Drain which is tributary to the Jock River. The elevation of the Faulkner Drain at the southeastern edge of the study area has an elevation similar to the groundwater elevations in that area, thus supporting the interpretation

that shallow groundwater at the study area is predominantly travelling east/southeast towards the Faulkner Drain.

It should be noted that the study area is not identified by the MRSPR as a drinking water protection zone.

Additional measures to maintain post-development recharge should be reviewed by the Civil Consultant based on the soil properties and water budget information. The shallow bedrock, perched groundwater in the shallow overburden, and high RQD values may make it impractical to use infiltrating Low Impact Development (LID) measures on the site. The use of best management practices (BMP) should be used for stormwater quality and quantity control to assist in infiltrating clean water, treating salt impacted water where possible or redirecting salt impacted water away from the SGRA during seasonal periods with expected elevated salt levels.

4.3.8 Groundwater Flow Paths

The potential for large-scale groundwater flow pathways was assessed as part of this investigation. The majority of the study area consists of moderately permeable material characterized by coarse to fine-grained non-cohesive glaciomarine deposits. The site-specific geological data and hydraulic conductivity testing confirmed the properties of the permeable subsoils across the site. However, localized conditions within the overburden material show variations in the hydraulic conductivity values at the study area. Regions with more cohesive soils and higher percentages of fine-grained soils have lower hydraulic conductivity values than areas with non-cohesive soils.

4.3.9 Impact of Proposed Development on Surrounding Wells

As a component of this investigation, a review of water well records in the vicinity of the study area was conducted, using the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) online water well record search tool. Water well records within 500 m of the study area can be found in Appendix 5, and the locations of the water wells provided by MECP's mapping tool are shown on the attached Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan in Appendix 3.

If service trench dewatering is necessary, the radius of influence of the dewatering of service trench excavations can be estimated by using the Sichardt (1992) formula for unconfined aquifers:

$$R_0 = 3000[H - h_w]\sqrt{k}$$

Where R_0 (m) is the steady state radius of influence, H (m) is the thickness of the saturated aquifer, h_w (m) is the thickness of the dewatered aquifer and k (m/sec) is the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer unit. The Sichardt formula assumes predominantly horizontal equipotential lines within the unconfined aquifer. This

leads to increased accuracy for radius of influence approximations for the excavation being analyzed with increased distance from the dewatering source.

The hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials was observed to range between 4.2×10^{-6} m/sec to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec while the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock was observed to range between 4.3×10^{-7} m/sec to 1.6×10^{-4} , respectively (geometric mean of 2.47×10^{-5}). The groundwater levels used for analysis purposes ranged from at ground surface to 3.7 m bgs with the understanding that groundwater levels can vary seasonally. Therefore, groundwater levels may be below the anticipated servicing and housing excavation depths at the time of development.

A steady state condition was used as the point of analysis; however, this condition may not be reached due to the typically short duration that servicing excavations are open prior to backfilling. Based on the above-noted assumed parameter values, radius of influence values for service trenches (maximum depth of 2 to 9 m below existing ground surface) within the development were estimated to be between 22 to 127 m. It should be noted that other construction activities such as blasting and hoe-ramming may have an impact on adjacent well users depending on the location and duration of such activities.

A search of the Ontario Water Well Records online mapping database indicates there are several wells within 500 m of the site as depicted on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan included in Appendix 3. The development to the northwest is municipally serviced and any wells in that area would be erroneously located. The development to the west of the study area is privately serviced and is considered to be upgradient. A number of WWR for the adjacent subdivision have been placed at the previous centroid of the Lot/Concession where they were drilled with multiple well records mapped on top of one another. However, due to the estate lot sizing, the majority of the wells would be expected to be outside of the theoretical radius of influence and extend well below any proposed excavation depth.

A groundwater impact assessment (GIA) will be completed at the detailed design stage and will inform the baseline sampling program area. Previous reporting by others for the adjacent subdivision had completed a radius of 200 m. The City will be consulted on the scope and radius of the future program based on the GIA review. Typically, wells accessing deeper aquifers are at lower risk of impacts by construction dewatering activities, due to the greater vertical separation between the dewatering / construction zone and the zone(s) at which water was encountered in these wells. Existing developments have been constructed in the area and Paterson is unaware of negative impacts on private wells related to the previous dewatering / bedrock removal (blasting and hoe-ramming) for pre or post development conditions.

The water wells shown on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan in Appendix 3 should be reviewed based upon available MECP mapping and well installation logs to determine potential monitoring locations.

4.3.10 Environmental Concerns

A review of environmental concerns was performed based upon known and potential concerns related to the study area. A review of the most recent Paterson PE5472 Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment ESA that was prepared concurrently with this report was also completed. The Phase 1 ESA does not recommend a Phase II ESA be completed for the study area.

Brownfield Environmental Site Registry

A review of the MECP's Brownfield Environmental Site Registry did not identify any environmental concerns within a search radius of greater than 500 m of the study area. Based on observations of Paterson staff during field work, no potential environmental concerns were identified with respect to the study area. No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed in the soil, groundwater, or bedrock at the study area.

Agricultural Practices

There are active agricultural sites in the downgradient direction of the study area; however, given the typical nature of agricultural activities in the Ottawa area, agricultural practices are considered to have a low potential to impact groundwater quality at the study area.

Existing Permits to Take Water

There are two Permits to Take Water (PTTW) within 500 m of the study area. Two of these permits (MECP Reference Numbers - 2630-AUPJNY and 3353-A8KQF) are construction dewatering permits that are used on an intermittent basis during the construction of site servicing and storm ponds related to residential developments in the area. The closest developments are anticipated to have completed the majority of the servicing requirements. The approved daily water taking volumes for all sources is 16,491,000 L/day for Permit 2630-AUPJNY and 5,165,000 L/day for permit number.

Groundwater

The overburden aquifer consists of coarser grained non-cohesive soils to the west and fine grained more cohesive soils to the east and is considered a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA) under the Clean Water Act (2006). As an SGRA, it is important to protect the aquifer from contaminating activities. it is

recommended that a restriction on land uses be considered and alternative winter road maintenance within the SGRA to reduce the potential road salting impacts.

Land Use Restrictions

The majority of the development is expected to consist of residential low-density construction and parks. The proposed land uses are not typically potentially contaminating activities. It is recommended that all potentially contaminating activities, as described within O. Reg. 153/04: Records of Site Condition - Part XV.1 of the Act as set out in Schedule D - Table 2, be restricted. These restrictions would prevent the placement of land uses such as storage of gasoline and related products in fixed tanks, commercial autobody shops, dry cleaning operations and salt manufacturing, processing and bulk storage.

Winter Road Maintenance

As the maintenance of safe roadways is required by law, a comprehensive system must be in place to clear roadways in a timely manner and using cost effective methods. Rock salt has been one of the most cost-effective approaches to maintaining safe and clear roadways, however, a balance must be struck that also minimizes the negative effects of road salt entering the surface water and aquifers. Source Water Protection (SWP) encourages that in areas where road salt application and snow storage would be a drinking water threat to a highly vulnerable aquifer. A Road Salt Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with Environment Canada's Code of Practice for the Environmental Management of Road Salts (ECC PEMRS) dated 2004.

The ECC PEMRS provides recommendations to prevent and/or control actions related to the protection of the environment from road salts. The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) produced a guideline called "Syntheses of Best Practices - Road Salt Management" (SBPRSM) dated April 2013. The ECC PEMRS recommends that existing salt management plans be compared with the SBPRSM and the most current recommended practices. As the City of Ottawa was one of the many proponents providing funding for the SBPRSM study, they may have ongoing implementation of recommended salt best management practices within the guidelines.

Best management practices are not considered as a requirement under the ECC PEMRS, however, consideration should be given to following the SBPRSM when in an SGRA in order to facilitate the infiltration of clean precipitation to meet the pre-development water balance. Additional benefits to the road authority for following the recommended practices include more efficient operations, improved roadway safety and savings in material usage.

There are many recommended practices listed within the SBPRSM to facilitate the protection of the environment and while all are applicable, some of the practices will yield better results. These include:

- ☐ Salt Management Plans
- ☐ Training
- ☐ Infrastructure Design
- ☐ Drainage
- ☐ Pavements and Salt Management
- ☐ Vegetation Management
- ☐ Design and Operation of Maintenance Yards
- ☐ Snow Storage and Disposal
- ☐ Winter Maintenance Equipment and Technologies
- ☐ Salt Use on Private Roads, Parking Lots and Walkways

It is recommended that the proposed development follows/implements the practices outlined in the most recent City of Ottawa Salt Management Operational Plan.

Drainage design is important to control road salt entering the environment through overland drainage/storm sewer systems, infiltration into the ground and salt spray caused by traffic. Various management options can be found within the SBPRSM to be evaluated against the local conditions. Snow and ice control management should be based upon pavement temperatures as they can fluctuate greatly depending on many conditions (i.e. time of day, cloud cover, sub-surface conditions etc.).

Snow removal over the winter occurs to increase safety and allow for future snow clearing storage adjacent to roadways. The snow that is removed can be impacted by ice control chemicals, oil/grease, heavy metals, litter, dirt and other pollutants. The SBPRSM notes that chlorides found in snow leave the snow soon after it is stockpiled along the roadside. The report does not specify the length of time before the chlorides leave the stockpiled snow. It is recommended that methods be reviewed that would reduce road salt application and provide removal of snow prior to chlorides leaving the roadway stockpiles unless the timeframe is unreasonable. In addition, snow removal should be deposited at an off-site location where meltwater would not be at risk of infiltrating into the SGRA.

Education of private contractors may also reduce potential salt effects due to the number of parking areas associated with institutional developments. It is recommended that a Smart About Salt certification be required for contractors operating within salt vulnerable areas.

The preceding recommendations are a brief summary of TAC's best practices for road salt management. Any design of a salt management plan should be done in accordance with the ECC PEMRS and SBPRSM guidelines.

The City of Ottawa Material Application Policy dated October 2011 states that some minor collector and all residential roads will undergo the standard treatment for snow packed roadways. A snow packed roadway requires snow and ice be cleared after completion of the storm and abrasives applied at areas of concern.

The City of Ottawa policy provides a treatment standard for the majority of the roadways in the proposed development that fall under snow packed. The snow packed treatment will minimize the road salt potential and allow the capture of clean precipitation for infiltration without requiring treatment.

5.0 Assessment and Recommendations

Existing Wells

Existing water supply wells in the vicinity of the study area are completed at depths well below the anticipated municipal servicing depths with WWRs noting that water-bearing zones were encountered below the anticipated servicing depths at the study area. As such, these wells are considered to have a relatively low potential to be impacted by construction dewatering activities at the study area. The majority of the wells are located upgradient of the study area with potential impacts related to historical developments that are existing. It is expected that a baseline monitoring program will provide information on the existing water supply wells. The baseline sampling program would be completed as a due diligence measure during the detailed design stage with pre-consultation with the City hydrogeologist.

The proposed development of the study area will be serviced by municipally supplied services. The assessment of the suitability of groundwater resources for the proposed development of the study area was not considered.

The proposed development contains one water well believed to be erroneously located as per the MECP mapping available online. As such, decommissioning of existing on-site water wells may be required. These wells should be decommissioned by licensed water well contractors as per Ontario Regulation 903 (Wells) under the Ontario Water Resources Act. Based on the MECP database, the locations of existing water wells within the study area are shown on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan Located in Appendix 3. There are additional residences in proximity to the study area boundary that could be included in the overall development. If these properties are acquired and incorporated into the development area, the wells will be required to be decommissioned. The decommissioning of the wells can be completed at the development construction stage.

Existing Private Sewage Systems

It is recommended that existing private sewage systems, if encountered, within the study area be properly decommissioned by a qualified contractor prior to the redevelopment of the study area. No systems are anticipated to be encountered.

Existing Tile Drains

The presence of tile drains was not confirmed on the study area. A typical design for agricultural fields includes tile drains, however, the current agricultural field is not anticipated to have tile drains due to its age. It is recommended that tile drains be removed and/or capped on an as-encountered basis.

Sources of Contamination

Road salt mitigation is expected as an ongoing concern for the SGRA. It is recommended to follow the guidelines presented by ECC PEMRS and the TAC. The mitigation of future road salt contamination must be a joint venture between on site design (i.e. BMP and stormwater design) and City of Ottawa road maintenance programs. Recommendations were provided in Section 4.3.10 to provide a maintenance program to minimize exposure of the SGRA to potential contaminants.

Bedrock was encountered at depths between 0.8 to 6.0 m bgs during the geotechnical investigations. Bedrock mapping indicates that bedrock is at a depth of 0 to 10 m bgs. It is anticipated that bedrock removal will be required during development of the proposed site. As such, a groundwater impact assessment and baseline sampling program will be required for adjacent water supply wells. Previous reporting by others for the adjacent subdivision recommended a sampling radius of 200 m. The City will be consulted on the proposed sampling program and parameters.

Services

The study area is to be developed with municipal sewer and water services. General recommendations regarding site servicing are provided under separate cover in our geotechnical investigation report. Specific hydrogeological and geotechnical recommendations will be provided during the detailed design phase. Although specific details regarding site servicing are not currently available, it is our expectation that servicing depths within the study area will be in the range of 2 to 9 m below existing ground surface based upon existing servicing depths in the surrounding area and the preliminary grade raises proposed.

Permit To Take Water

For any water taking of greater than 50,000 L/day, a Permit To Take Water (PTTW) or Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) is required from the MECP. A permit may be required for construction dewatering or works below the water table. The requirement for a PTTW at the study area will be determined during the detailed design phase dependent upon proposed servicing depths and potential to intercept the groundwater table. The information contained in this report may be used as supporting documentation for a PTTW or EASR application for the study area. Depending on the nature of the proposed water taking, additional hydrogeological investigation may be required.

Areas of Recharge Potential

Based on geological and hydrogeological conditions at the study area, as discussed in previous sections, the potential for groundwater recharge is mapped

over the majority of the study area. However, recharge is expected to occur within the shallow overburden aquifer before migrating laterally along the bedrock interface, driven by the high RQD values and topographic relief. Furthermore, the elevation of the Faulkner Drain closely aligns with groundwater elevations observed in that area of the site, suggesting there is potential for groundwater discharge in this area.

Opportunities and Constraints

Based on geological and hydrogeological conditions at the study area, as discussed in previous sections, the potential for shallow overburden groundwater recharge exists over portions of the site that are characterized by glaciofluvial or coarse-textured glaciomarine deposits. The groundwater provides recharge to the shallow overburden aquifer in addition to discharge to the Faulkner Drain which is tributary to the Jock River.

Based on isotope testing results, the existing man-made surface water feature collects localized surface water runoff and limited groundwater discharge, allowing for surficial flows to be directed to an unnamed man-made drainage ditch that connects to the Faulkner Drain. Therefore, the man-made surface water feature is prominently recharged by surface water runoff and isolated groundwater discharge from shallow overburden materials, not deeper groundwater. During the construction of the proposed development (i.e. site servicing and building excavations), it is expected that the shallow overburden will be disturbed with the existing flow paths being altered and would limit the ability for the man-made surface water feature to function in the same manner subsequent to development. The opportunity exists for BMPs to maintain recharge to the shallow overburden aquifer at various locations across the study area.

6.0 Closure

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only, and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes.

A hydrogeological review of this nature is a limited sampling of a site. The recommendations are based on information gathered at the specific test locations and can only be extrapolated to an undefined limited area around the test locations. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request notification immediately in order to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Caivan (Stittsville) Inc., or their agent(s) is not authorized without review by Paterson Group for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

Paterson Group Inc.



Michael Killam, P.Eng.



Oliver Blume, P.Geo.



TABLES

TABLE 1 - GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

TABLE 2 - MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM DATALOGGER WATER LEVEL READINGS
FROM MONITORING PROGRAM

TABLE 3 - SINGLE WELL RESPONSE TEST RESULTS SUMMARY

TABLE 4 - OVERBURDEN FIELD SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY
RESULTS AND ESTIMATED INFILTRATION RATES

Table 1 - Manual Water Level Measurement Summary															
Well ID		BH1-21	BH2-21	BH3-21	BH22A-21	BH24-21	BH33-21	HA1-22	BH1-22	BH1A-22	BH2-22	BH3-22	BH3A-22	BH4-22	BH5-22
Ground Surface Elevation (m asl)		104.29	107.19	108.41	102.98	103.07	104.70	106.78	107.31	107.31	103.58	102.25	102.25	105.71	105.70
Groundwater (GW) Measurements															
11-Jan-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.22	0.82	0.89	2.49	0.67	1.84	Wells Were Not Installed At This Time							
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.07	106.37	107.52	100.49	102.40	102.86								
11-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.12	1.16	0.90	2.61	0.60	2.12	0.31	1.33	1.44	1.52	0.84	0.81	3.62	1.62
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.17	106.03	107.51	100.37	102.47	102.59	106.48	105.99	105.87	102.06	101.42	101.44	102.10	104.09
28-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.01	0.95	0.92	N/A	0.46	1.98	0.28	1.35	1.43	1.52	0.61	0.40	3.65	1.64
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.28	106.25	107.49	N/A	102.61	102.72	106.51	105.97	105.88	102.06	101.64	101.85	102.07	104.06
04-Apr-23	GW Level (m bgs)	0.09	0.33	0.52	1.77	-0.03	1.20	0.14	0.83	0.94	0.59	0.11	0.00	3.08	0.90
	GW Elevation (m asl)	104.21	106.87	107.89	101.21	103.10	103.51	106.64	106.48	106.38	102.99	102.15	102.25	102.64	104.80
31-May-23	GW Level (m bgs)	0.97	0.87	0.84	2.72	0.74	2.22	0.29	1.35	1.46	1.31	0.93	0.99	3.48	1.56
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.33	106.32	107.57	100.26	102.34	102.49	106.49	105.96	105.86	102.27	101.32	101.26	102.23	104.14
10-May-24	GW Level (m bgs)	0.39	0.41	0.61	2.22	0.41	1.83	0.16	1.15	1.24	0.71	-0.18	0.10	3.32	1.21
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.90	106.78	107.80	100.77	102.66	102.87	106.62	106.16	106.07	102.88	102.43	102.15	102.39	104.50

Table 1 Cont. - Manual Water Level Measurement Summary						
Well ID		BH1-26	BH2-26	BH3-26	BH4-26	BH5-26
Ground Surface Elevation (m asl)		101.90	101.77	102.91	104.56	103.31
Groundwater (GW) Measurements						
06-Mar-26	GW Level (m bgs)	0.83	0.54	0.88	1.30	0.89
	GW Elevation (m asl)	101.07	101.23	102.03	103.26	102.42

Table 2- Minimum and Maximum Datalogger Water Level Readings from the Monitoring Program													
BH ID and Ground Surface Elevation													
BH1-21	BH2-21	BH3-21	BH22A-21	BH24-21	BH33-21	HA1-22	BH1-22	BH1A-22	BH2-22	BH3-22	BH3A-22	BH4-22	BH5-22
104.29	107.19	108.41	102.98	103.07	104.7	106.78	107.31	107.31	103.58	102.25	102.25	105.71	105.7
Minimum Groundwater Reading													
5-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	6-Oct-23	27-Sep-23	6-Oct-23	5-Oct-23	25-Apr-25	12-Oct-22	6-Oct-23
1.62	1.92	1.06	3.78	1.67	2.78	0.60	1.66	1.59	2.23	1.64	0.12	3.71	2.14
102.67	105.27	107.35	99.20	101.40	101.92	106.18	105.65	105.72	101.35	100.61	102.13	102.00	103.56
Maximum Groundwater Reading													
11-Apr-23	1-May-23	12-Apr-23	1-Jan-23	1-Jan-23	1-Jan-23	1-May-23	1-May-23	1-May-23	6-Apr-23	1-May-23	1-May-23	8-Apr-23	12-Apr-23
-0.19	0.12	0.33	1.62	-0.12	0.95	0.04	0.35	0.19	0.14	0.10	1.79	2.81	0.51
104.48	107.07	108.08	101.36	103.19	103.75	106.74	106.96	107.12	103.44	102.15	100.46	102.90	105.19
Notes:													
	m bgs												
	m asl												

Table 3 - Single Well Response Test Results Summary					
Test Hole ID	Ground Surface Elevation (m asl)	Screened Interval (m bgs)	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)	Test Type	Screened Media
BH1-22	107.31	7.5 - 9.0	1.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.5×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			1.6×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			1.9×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			1.5×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH2-22	103.58	7.5 - 9.0	8.9×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			9.1×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH3-22	102.25	7.5 - 9.0	6.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			6.6×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH3A-22	102.25	1.7 - 3.2	4.2×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt & Glacial Till
			4.8×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH4-22	105.71	7.5 - 9.0	8.7×10^{-7}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			9.1×10^{-7}	Rising Head	
BH5-22	105.70	7.5 - 9.0	1.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			2.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.4×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			1.5×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
HA1-22	106.78	0.4 - 0.7	2.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Silty Sand
			8.8×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH1-21	104.29	2.8 - 5.8	1.4×10^{-4}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.1×10^{-4}	Rising Head	
BH2-21	107.19	2.6 - 5.6	4.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			4.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			3.9×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			4.1×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH3-21	108.41	2.7 - 5.7	3.0×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH22A-21	102.98	7.2 - 10.2	4.3×10^{-7}	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH24-21	103.07	4.9 - 7.9	6.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			7.3×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			5.8×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			5.7×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH33-21	104.70	3.3 - 6.3	1.6×10^{-4}	Rising Head	Bedrock

Table 4 - Overburden Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Results and Estimated Infiltration Rates

Test Completed Adjacent to Borehole ID	Infiltration Testing Elevation (m asl)	Material	K_{fs} (m/s)*	Unfactored Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)**
BH1-21	103.90	Brown Silty Sand	2.1×10^{-6}	56
	103.63	Brown Silty Sand	1.9×10^{-6}	56
BH2-21	106.95	Brown Silty Sand	6.4×10^{-6}	76
	106.65	Brown Silty Sand	5.3×10^{-7}	39
BH7-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	106.44	Brown Silty Sand	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH11-21	104.68	Brown Silty Sand	2.7×10^{-6}	60
	104.38	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH15-21	102.70	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.1×10^{-7}	31
	102.48	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	$< 8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	≤ 13
BH17-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.9×10^{-6}	74
	106.44	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	4.1×10^{-6}	67
BH22-21	102.58	Brown Silty Sand	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	102.28	Brown Silty Sand	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH23-21	102.33	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	5.3×10^{-7}	39
	101.70	Brown Silty Clay	$< 8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	≤ 13
BH26-21	102.74	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.1×10^{-7}	26
	102.44	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.1×10^{-7}	26
BH29-21	101.87	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.3×10^{-7}	39
	101.57	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.7×10^{-7}	33
BH31-21	103.19	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	102.89	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.4×10^{-7}	27
BH37-21	103.21	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.3×10^{-6}	72
	102.91	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.9×10^{-6}	74

*Field saturated hydraulic conductivity (Kfs)

**The infiltration rates do not include a safety correction factor. Based on our testing results, a safety correction factor can range between 2.5 to ≥ 3.5 .

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: BH1-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 2: BH2-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 3: BH3-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 4: BH22-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 5: BH24-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 6: BH33-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 7: BH1-22 & BH1A-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 8: BH2-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 10: BH4-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 11: BH5-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 12: HA1-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS


Figure 1: BH1-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 2: BH2-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 3: BH3-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 4: BH22A-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 5: BH24-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 6: BH33-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 7: BH1-22 & BH1A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 8: BH2-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 10: BH4-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 11: BH5-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 12: HA1-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results


Figure 14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results



Figure 15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

APPENDIX 1

PATERSON - TERMS OF REFERENCE

Geotechnical
Engineering

Environmental
Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological
Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Noise and Vibration
Studies

Terms of Reference – Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation

Proposed Residential Development
5993, 6030 & 6115 Flewellyn Road
& 6070 Fernbank Road - Ottawa

Prepared For

Caivan Communities

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381
Fax: (613) 226-6344
www.patersongroup.ca

March 15, 2022

Report: PG5570-3 – REV.02

Table of Contents

	PAGE
1.0 Background	1
1.1 Study Area	1
2.0 Objectives.....	1
3.0 Existing Studies.....	1
4.0 Work Plan.....	2
4.1 Geotechnical Existing Information.....	2
4.2 Hydrogeological Investigation	3
5.0 Recommendations and Deliverables.....	4

Appendices

Appendix 1	Figure 1 - Key Plan PG5570-1 – Proposed Monitoring Well Mark-up of Testhole Location Plan
-------------------	---

1.0 Background

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Caivan Communities to prepare a Terms of Reference document for the geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations for the proposed residential development to be located at 5993, 6030, 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6070 Fernbank Road in the City of Ottawa (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 1 of this report).

1.1 Study Area

The proposed limits of the study area presented in Figure 1 are contained within the Flowing Creek catchment of the Jock River Subwatershed. This area falls under the purview of the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).

The Faulkner Drain extends southeast along the east bounds of 6070 Fernbank Road and 6035 Flewellyn Road until it reaches Flewellyn Road. The Drain continues northeast along Flewellyn Road until it turns southeast to follow Shea Road.

2.0 Objectives

The current objective of the existing and proposed reports are to provide reviewing agencies with the available information pertaining to the proposed study area to allow review of planning recommendations that are consistent with their objectives and policies.

The proposed development will be reviewed in the context of Official Plan Policy 4.9.4 and will consider the protection of natural resources.

3.0 Existing Studies

Previous studies that are relevant to the proposed study area consist of the following:

- ☐ The Jock River – Reach Two Subwatershed – Phase 1 Report (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited and WESA, 2009)
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG5570-2 – Flewellyn Road – (January 2022)
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2802-1 – Maguire Lands – Hartsmere Drive (November 2012) – As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2853-1 – Proposed Residential Development – Stittsville Main Street (January 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.

- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2983-1 – Faulkner Lands – Fernbank Road at Main Street (July 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering – Technical Memorandum - Hydrogeological Study – (D007-16-13-0033) – Area 6, Stittsville South (April 2015) – As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering – Report on Private Well Monitoring Program Stittsville South Residential Development and Stormwater Management Pond – (November 2015) – As part of D07-16-15-0008.

Further studies may be identified that are relevant to the proposed development.

4.0 Work Plan

The work plan for the hydrogeological investigation will be based on the requirements of the Policy Development and Urban Design Branch at the City of Ottawa and the RVCA. Fotenn Planning has completed the memo on New Urban Expansion Development for Caivan Communities at the subject site and dated January 27, 2022. The memo provides an outline for the Concept Plan and development approvals process for the subject site. Through the development process there will be Concept Plan options produced that will be evaluated internally within the team of consultants based on current guidelines. Through the various iterations and review, an ultimate development plan will be created through the proposed planning and approval process per the Official Plan policies and objectives.

4.1 Geotechnical Existing Information

The existing geotechnical study (PG5570-2 – Geotechnical Investigation dated January 20, 2022) provides a characterization of the local physiography and geology of the subject area. The study results will be used to provide design recommendations for the proposed development.

The geotechnical field program consisted of the following:

- ☐ Test pits to delineate the surficial overburden material in three dimensions through multiple samples of the various strata retained for laboratory analyses.
- ☐ Boreholes were augered to the bedrock surface, where required, to provide the overburden soil profile and soil characterization.
- ☐ Boreholes were cored into the bedrock at select locations.

The geotechnical reporting consists of the following:

- ☐ The geotechnical report addresses geotechnical conditions for the proposed study area and construction recommendations relevant to the site conditions.
- ☐ Detailed test hole logs for all exploratory holes.

Test holes were distributed in compliance with the “Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa” (latest revision). See attached PG5570-1 – Proposed Monitoring Well Mark-up of Testhole Location Plan for the existing testhole coverage completed with supplemental work noted.

4.2 Hydrogeological Investigation

The hydrogeological investigation will collect and analyze general information to support the water balance, infrastructure design and constraints, and potential effects on nearby wells due to the proposed development.

The hydrogeological field program will be carried out to provide supplemental information to the geotechnical program.

- ☐ Additional monitoring wells will be installed during the field program for hydrogeological testing as shown on the attached PG5570-1 plan mark-up.
- ☐ Slug testing to determine hydraulic conductivities and aquifer characteristics within the formations/horizons deemed necessary and sieve analyses completed under the existing geotechnical report.
- ☐ Groundwater level measurement and recording of seasonal fluctuations.
- ☐ Permeameter testing will be completed at locations across the subject site within the overburden accessible from the existing ground surface as per best practices.

Evaluation of the hydrogeological conditions will consist of an evaluation of the groundwater resources encountered. The following will be provided:

- ☐ Delineation and characterization of the encountered aquifers.
- ☐ Assess the vulnerability of the aquifers.
- ☐ Calculation of the zone of influence for potential dewatering.
- ☐ Water supply wells will be located using MECP well record mapping and in the field, where required. Assess the potential risk of impacts to the water wells from the proposed development.
- ☐ Review requirements of a monitoring program for existing drinking water wells.

The analysis and recommendations for all aspects of the development will be performed in conjunction with the experts within the other disciplines to ensure an integrated approach to the development of the site. As previously mentioned, the results will be used in support of the water budget analysis. The report will include the preceding information documented, in addition to a description of the groundwater flow systems and connections.

5.0 Recommendations and Deliverables

Recommendations will be provided based upon the available information and in conjunction with the experts within other disciplines to ensure an integrated and cohesive approach to the development of the site.

The deliverables for the project will consist of the hydrogeological reporting, supplemental to the existing geotechnical information, to detail the existing site conditions and the information as set out in Section 4.1 and 4.2.

Paterson Group Inc.



Michael S. Killam, P.Eng.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng

Report Distribution:


- ☐ Caivan Communities (Digital copy)
- ☐ Paterson Group (Digital copy)


APPENDIX 1

FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN

PG5570-1 – PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAM MARK-UP - TESTHOLE LOCATION PLAN

Figure 1 - Key Plan





LEGEND:

- BOREHOLE LOCATION
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- TEST PIT LOCATION
- 104.29 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- [102.10] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- (102.39) PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING / EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)

BASE PLAN PROVIDED BY KILGOUR & ASSOCIATES.
GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.
SCALE: 1:4000

patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

1	BH 1-21 - BH 38-21 ADDED TO PLAN	01/20/2022	OC
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN COMMUNITIES
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5993, 6070 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD
ONTARIO

OTTAWA,
Title:

TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

Scale:	1:4000	Date:	01/2022
Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PG5570-2
Checked by:	OC	Dwg. No.:	PG5570-1
Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	1

APPENDIX 2

PATERSON - SOIL AND TEST DATA SHEETS

PG5570-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

PATERSON - GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS RESULTS


PATERSON - SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 EASTING: 352905.38 NORTHING: 5012191.28 ELEVATION: 101.90

PROJECT: Proposed Residential Development FILE NO. : PG5570

ADVANCED BY: Track Mounted Drill Rig

REMARKS: Datum: NAD1983 (Canada) Geoid: HT2-2010 DATE: January 15, 2026 HOLE NO. : BH 1-26



DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 EASTING: 352849.53 NORTHING: 5012139.89 ELEVATION: 101.77

PROJECT: Proposed Residential Development


FILE NO. : PG5570

ADVANCED BY: Track Mounted Drill Rig

REMARKS: Datum: NAD1983 (Canada) Geoid: HT2-2010

DATE: January 15, 2026

HOLE NO. : BH 2-26




DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

ELEVATION: 102.91

FILE NO. : PG5570

DATE: February 26, 2026

HOLE NO. : BH 3-26



COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING:** 352111.41 **NORTHING:** 5011460.99 **ELEVATION:** 104.56


PROJECT: Proposed Residential Development

FILE NO. : PG5570

ADVANCED BY: Track Mounted Drill Rig

REMARKS: Datum: NAD1983 (Canada) Geoid: HT2-2010

DATE: February 26, 2026


HOLE NO. : BH 4-26


DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING:** 352311.94 **NORTHING:** 5011659.47 **ELEVATION:** 103.31

PROJECT: Proposed Residential Development **FILE NO. :** PG5570

ADVANCED BY: Track Mounted Drill Rig

REMARKS: Datum: NAD1983 (Canada) Geoid: HT2-2010 **DATE:** February 26, 2026 **HOLE NO. :** BH 5-26


DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 1-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	107.31					
Loose to compact, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.60	AU	1					○				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	2	45	17	1	106.31	○				
		SS	3	14	65			○				
	2.34					2	105.31					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	89							
						3	104.31					
		RC	2	100	100							
						4	103.31					
		RC	3	100	100							
						5	102.31					
		RC	4	98	98							
						6	101.31					
		RC	5	100	100							
						7	100.31					
						8	99.31					
End of Borehole	9.02					9	98.31					
(GWL @ 1.33m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 1A-22

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 2-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	103.58					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay and gravel	0.76	AU	1									
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	77	1	102.58					
		RC	2	100	97	2	101.58					
		RC	3	100	100	3	100.58					
		RC	4	100	100	4	99.58					
		RC	5	100	97	5	98.58					
		RC	6	100	100	6	95.58					
End of Borehole	9.02					9	94.58					
(GWL @ 1.52m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 29, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 3-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	102.25					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	58	19	1	101.25					
		SS	3	58	17	2	100.25					
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		SS	4	67	3							
		SS	5	67	50+	3	99.25					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with doloston		RC	1	100	96	4	98.25					
						5	97.25					
		RC	2	100	98							
						6	96.25					
		RC	3	100	100	7	95.25					
						8	94.25					
		RC	4	100	100							
						9	93.25					
End of Borehole	9.12											
(GWL @ 0.84m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	102.25					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1			1	101.25					
	2.20					2	100.25					
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay	3.15					3	99.25					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 3.15m depth.												
(GWL @ 0.81m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
	</											

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 29, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 4-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	105.71					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	75	22	1	104.71					
		SS	3	75	21	2	103.71					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay - grey by 3.0m depth	2.21											
		SS	4	67	17	3	102.71					
		SS	5	57	45							
	3.61											
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	84	4	101.71					
		RC	2	100	98	5	100.71					
		RC	3	100	100	6	99.71					
		RC	4	100	100	7	98.71					
						8	97.71					
	9.04											
End of Borehole						9	96.71					
(GWL @ 3.62m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 30, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 5-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	105.70					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	79	21	1	104.70					
		SS	3	71	29	2	103.70					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand to sandy silt, trace gravel	1.96											
	2.29	SS	4	100	50+							
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	100							
						3	102.70					
		RC	2	100	100							
						4	101.70					
						5	100.70					
		RC	3	100	100							
						6	99.70					
		RC	4	100	100							
						7	98.70					
		RC	5	100	100							
End of Borehole	8.99											
(GWL @ 1.62m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Hand Auger

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
HA 1-22

[illegible]

[illegible]

SOIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
			TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
									20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE													
Mulch	0.10						0	107.19					
TOPSOIL	0.51												
Compact, brown SILTY SAND	0.91		AU	1									
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders			SS	2	75	12	1	106.19					
			SS	3	75	50							
			SS	4	0	50+	2	105.19					
	2.21		RC	1	100	80							
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone			RC	2	100	100	3	104.19					
- 12mm thick mud seam at 4.1m depth							4	103.19					
			RC	3	100	95	5	102.19					
End of Borehole	5.61												
(GWL @ 0.82m - Jan. 11, 2022)													

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
Mulch	0.08					0	108.41					
TOPSOIL	0.43	AU	1									
Loose, brown SILTY SAND	0.63											
Loose to compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS	2	50	10	1	107.41					
	1.55	SS	3	0	50+							
		RC	1	100	100	2	106.41					
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	2	100	72	3	105.41					
						4	104.41					
- 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth		RC	3	100	100	5	103.41					
End of Borehole	5.72											
(GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 4-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 5-21

[illegible]

SOIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
			TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
									20	40	60	80		
GROUND SURFACE														
TOPSOIL	0.41		AU	1			0	106.32						
Loose, brown SILTY SAND , trace clay	0.60													
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	1.55		SS	2	83	17	1	105.32						
End of Borehole														
Practical refusal to augering at 1.55m depth														
(BH dry - January 11, 2022)														

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 7-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 8-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 9-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH11-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
			TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
									20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE													
TOPSOIL	0.33						0	104.98					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND	0.66		AU	1									
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.12		SS	2	67	24	1	103.98					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders			SS	3	67	32	2	102.98					
	2.54		SS	4	80	50+							
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 2.54m depth													
(GWL @ 1.32m - Jan. 11, 2022)													

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH12-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	104.05					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND	0.69	AU	1									
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.45	SS	2	67	13	1	103.05					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	2.26	SS	3	17	36	2	102.05					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 2.26m depth												
(GWL @ 1.58m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH13-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH14-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH15-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH16-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH17-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH18-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH19-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 17, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH20-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 17, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH21-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
			TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
									20	40	60	80		
GROUND SURFACE														
TOPSOIL	0.25		AU	1			0	102.92						
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.07		SS	2	42	36	1	101.92						
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders			SS	3	50	71								
	2.23						2	100.92						
End of Borehole														
Practical refusal to augering at 2.23m depth														
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)														

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.20					0	102.98					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.69	AU	1									
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	2	100	22	1	101.98					
		SS	3	92	29	2	100.98					
		SS	4	83	46							
		SS	5	50	50+	3	99.98					
End of Borehole	3.48											
Practical refusal to augering at 3.48m depth.												

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 10, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH22A-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.20					0	102.98					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.69	AU	1									
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	2	100	22	1	101.98					
		SS	3	92	29	2	100.98					
		SS	4	83	46	3	99.98					
		SS	5	50	50+	4	98.98					
		RC	1	77		5	97.98					
		RC	2	14		6	96.98					
		RC	3	100	94	7	95.98					
		RC	4	100	100	8	94.98					
		RC	5	100	100	9	93.98					
						10	92.98					
End of Borehole	10.21											
(GWL @ 2.49m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 20, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH23-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 20, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH24-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30	AU	1			0	103.07					
Loose to dense, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS	2	58	8	1	102.07					
	1.83	SS	3	75	32	2	101.07					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		RC	4	50	50+							
- boulders cored from 2.46 to 4.42m depth		RC	1	100								
		RC	2	19								
	4.42					4	99.07					
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	3	100	81							
- 15mm thick mud seam at 5.25m depth		RC	4	100	100							
		RC	5	100	100							
	7.92					7	96.07					
End of Borehole												
(GWL @ 0.67m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

Shear Strength (kPa)
▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH25-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH26-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH28-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH29-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	102.31					
Loose to very loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay - grey by 1.9m depth - intermittent layers of grey silty clay by 3.0m depth		AU	1			1	101.31					
		SS	2	50	9							
		SS	3	67	8							
		SS	4	67	4							
		SS	5	58	2							
	3.96	SS	6	67		3	99.31					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 3.96m depth (Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH30-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH31-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	103.43					
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay - grey by 3.2m depth		AU	1									
		SS	2	50	14	1	102.43					
		SS	3	50	22	2	101.43					
		SS	4	42	9							
		SS	5	58	5	3	100.43					
		SS	6	42	12	4	99.43					
	4.72					5	98.43					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	7	58	37							
		SS	8		58							
	6.12					6	97.43					
End of Borehole		SS	9	0	50+							
Practical refusal to augering at 6.12m depth (GWL @ 1.27m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20 40 60 80 100				
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH32-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 22, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH34-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.25	SS AU	5	17	8	0	102.65					
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS	2	42	10	1	101.65					
		SS	3	25	9	2	100.65					
	2.21	SS	4	17	2	3	99.65					
GLACIAL TILL: Very loose to loose, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		RC	1	31		4	98.65					
	5.21	RC	2	100	100	5	97.65					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	3	100	100	6	96.65					
	6.61											
End of Borehole												

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH35-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH36-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH37-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH38-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 1

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 2

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.21	G	1			0	105.06					
Brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel	0.92	G	2									
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	1.64	G	3			1	104.06					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.64m depth (TP dry upon completion)												

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 3

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	102.10					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace sea shells		G	2									
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			1	101.10					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.61m depth (TP dry upon completion)												
							</					

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 4

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.21	G	1			0	108.49					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel, cobble and organics	0.70	G	2									
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand, some gravel, cobble, boulder, trace clay	1.43	G	3			1	107.49					
End of Test Pit												
Test Pit terminated on bedrock surface at 1.43m depth (TP dry upon completion)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 5

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE						0	108.36	20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.22	G	1									
Brown SILTY SAND		G	2			1	107.36					
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand, some gravel, cobble, and boulder	1.16	G	3									
End of Test Pit	1.46											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.46m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.28m - Nov 20, 2020)												
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								20	40	60	80	100
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 6

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE											20	40	60
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	107.91						
	0.27												
		G	2			1	106.91						
Brown SILTY SAND , trace cobble, boulders and seashells		G	3										
	1.70												
		G	4			2	105.91						
BEDROCK: Weathered interbedded limestone													
	2.89												
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.89m depth													
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.70m - Nov 20, 2020)													

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 7

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.22	G	1			0	106.31					
Brown SILTY SAND, trace clay	0.81	G	2									
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			1	105.31					
		G	4			2	104.31					
End of Test Pit	3.37					3	103.31					
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.37m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.24m - Nov 20, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 8

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE						0	105.48					
TOPSOIL	0.21	G	1									
		G	2									
						1	104.48					
		G	3									
						2	103.48					
End of Test Pit	2.15											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.15m depth												
(TP dry upon completion)												

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 9

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
								20	40	60	80		
GROUND SURFACE						0	104.47						
TOPSOIL		G	1										
Brown SILTY SAND , trace organics		G	2										
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand trace gravel, cobbles, and boulders		G	3			1	103.47						
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.60m depth (TP dry upon completion)													

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. **TP 10**

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 11

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 12

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. **TP 13**

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 14

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill


DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 15



DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 16

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	104.62					
	0.35											
		G	2									
						1	103.62					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel												
						2	102.62					
	2.34											
GLACIAL TILL : Grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders.		G	3									
	3.09					3	101.62					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.09m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.33m - Dec 10, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill



DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 17

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE						0	103.90	20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1									
0.33												
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel		G	2									
1.37						1	102.90					
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand, with gravel cobbles and boulders		G	3									
1.78												
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.78m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.37m - Dec 10, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 18

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE						0	103.42					
TOPSOIL		G	1									
Brown SILTY SAND, some gravel		G	2									
		G	3			1	102.42					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.32m depth (TP dry upon completion)												

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their “sensitivity”. The sensitivity, S_t , is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

Low Sensitivity:	$S_t < 2$
Medium Sensitivity:	$2 < S_t < 4$
Sensitive:	$4 < S_t < 8$
Extra Sensitive:	$8 < S_t < 16$
Quick Clay:	$S_t > 16$

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called “mechanical breaks”) are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

RQD %	ROCK QUALITY
90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
PI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)
D _{xx}	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D ₁₀	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D ₆₀	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
C _c	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$
C _u	-	Uniformity coefficient = D_{60} / D_{10}

C_c and C_u are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have: $1 < C_c < 3$ and $C_u > 4$

Well-graded sands have: $1 < C_c < 3$ and $C_u > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

C_c and C_u are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay
(more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST


p' _o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p' _c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
C _{cr}	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p' _c)
C _c	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p' _c)
OC Ratio		Overconsolidation ratio = p'_c / p'_o
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
W _o	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

PERMEABILITY TEST

k	-	Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.
---	---	--

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


STRATA PLOT




Topsoil




Asphalt




Fill




Peat




Sand




Silty Sand




Silt




Sandy Silt



Clay




Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till




Shale




Bedrock


MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION






- LEGEND:**
- HAND AUGER LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH PIEZOMETER LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH PIEZOMETER LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-2, JAN 20, 2022)
 - BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-2, JAN 20, 2022)
 - TEST PIT LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-1, JAN 3, 2021)
 - 104.29 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
 - [102.10] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
 - (102.39) PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING / EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)

BASE PLAN PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED.

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.



PATERSON GROUP

9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7T9
TEL: (613) 226-7381

9	ADDED 2026 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS	24/04/2026	KP
8	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	10/04/2026	KP
7	UPDATED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB
6	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	03/07/2024	KP
5	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	28/08/2023	KP
NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC.

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD & 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD

ONTARIO


OTTAWA,


Title:

TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN


Scale:	1:4000	Date:	01/2022
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PG5570-3
Checked by:	KP	Dwg. No.:	PG5570-1
Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	9

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31575
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH4-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.





patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31575	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH4-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					275.9	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					93.5	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0			
19	17.7	6.4	93.6			
16	17.7	6.4	93.6			
13.2	17.7	6.4	93.6			
9.5	17.7	6.4	93.6			
6.7	17.7	6.4	93.6			
4.75	17.9	6.5	93.5			
2.36	21.2	7.7	92.3			
1.18	26.5	9.6	90.4			
0.6	36.0	13.0	87.0			
0.3	51.9	18.8	81.2			
0.15	68.4	24.8	75.2			
0.075	84.7	30.7	69.3			
PAN	93.3					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.21	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO. RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31576
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH11-21; SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'0" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.




Identification		Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
		D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
		26.5	0.29	0.055	0.012	14.4	50.1	35.5		0.87	24.2
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:		Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.				

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136		
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570		
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31576		
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22		
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22		
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH11-21; SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22		
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'0" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.		
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					503.6		
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					339.5		
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0				
19	29.1	5.8	94.2				
16	39.2	7.8	92.2				
13.2	44.3	8.8	91.2				
9.5	51.6	10.2	89.8				
6.7	63.0	12.5	87.5				
4.75	72.5	14.4	85.6				
2.36	99.3	19.7	80.3				
1.18	125.1	24.8	75.2				
0.6	153.1	30.4	69.6				
0.3	199.7	39.7	60.3				
0.15	263.4	52.3	47.7				
0.075	325.0	64.5	35.5				
PAN	339.3						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.06	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31577
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH14-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay		Sand			Gravel			Cobble			
		Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine		Coarse				
Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
										0.70	76.7
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	26.5	1.15	0.11	0.015	25.9	48.9		25.2			
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.					
											

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31577	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH14-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					553.4	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					428.6	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0			
19	19.1	3.5	96.5			
16	36.0	6.5	93.5			
13.2	68.2	12.3	87.7			
9.5	91.4	16.5	83.5			
6.7	118.6	21.4	78.6			
4.75	143.3	25.9	74.1			
2.36	181.6	32.8	67.2			
1.18	215.4	38.9	61.1			
0.6	250.3	45.2	54.8			
0.3	301.8	54.5	45.5			
0.15	362.7	65.5	34.5			
0.075	414.2	74.8	25.2			
PAN	428.2					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.09	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO. RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31578
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH19-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	

Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		0.83	1.2
	9.5	0.012	0.01	0.01	0.1	13.8			86.1		

Comments:


REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow


Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.

[Signature]


[Signature]

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31578	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH19-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					397.5	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					69.5	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5						
19						
16						
13.2						
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0			
6.7	0.5	0.1	99.9			
4.75	0.5	0.1	99.9			
2.36	1.1	0.3	99.7			
1.18	2.5	0.6	99.4			
0.6	8.5	2.1	97.9			
0.3	20.8	5.2	94.8			
0.15	33.5	8.4	91.6			
0.075	55.3	13.9	86.1			
PAN	69.3					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.29	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31579
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH24-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.




Identification		Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
		D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	1.10	3.5
		19	0.098	0.055	0.028	4.9	46.3	48.8			
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:		Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.				

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31579	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH24-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					421.6	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					278.2	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5						
19	0.0	0.0	100.0			
16	8.1	1.9	98.1			
13.2						
9.5	12.2	2.9	97.1			
6.7	16.8	4.0	96.0			
4.75	20.8	4.9	95.1			
2.36	28.7	6.8	93.2			
1.18	36.7	8.7	91.3			
0.6	47.6	11.3	88.7			
0.3	67.5	16.0	84.0			
0.15	102.5	24.3	75.7			
0.075	216.0	51.2	48.8			
PAN	278.2					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.00	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO. RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31580
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH35-22; SS4 + SS5	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	7'6" to 12'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



	Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel			Cobble			
		Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse					
Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
										17.82	1100.0
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	37.5	16.5	2.1	0.015	61.0	25.5		13.5			
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.					
											

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136		
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570		
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31580		
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22		
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22		
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH35-22; SS4 + SS5			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22		
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 7'6" to 12'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.		
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					470.5		
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					411.0		
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5	0.0	0.0	100.0				
26.5	42.6	9.1	90.9				
19	131.9	28.0	72.0				
16	175.4	37.3	62.7				
13.2	208.2	44.3	55.7				
9.5	243.2	51.7	48.3				
6.7	264.7	56.3	43.7				
4.75	286.9	61.0	39.0				
2.36	323.5	68.8	31.2				
1.18	349.0	74.2	25.8				
0.6	365.3	77.6	22.4				
0.3	380.1	80.8	19.2				
0.15	393.9	83.7	16.3				
0.075	406.9	86.5	13.5				
PAN	410.9						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.02	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31581
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH37-22; SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'7" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.




Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	


Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		0.65	2.4
	1.18	0.13	0.068	0.055	0.0	64.2			35.8		

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.					

patersongroup consulting engineers						SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan			DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -			SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31581	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road			INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
			PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21			SOURCE LOCATION: BH37-22; SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton			SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'7" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH						354.8	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH						256.6	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5							
19							
16							
13.2							
9.5							
6.7							
4.75							
2.36							
1.18	0.0	0.0	100.0				
0.6	0.6	0.2	99.8				
0.3	9.3	2.6	97.4				
0.15	96.8	27.3	72.7				
0.075	227.7	64.2	35.8				
PAN	256.4						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.08	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31582
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH38-22; SS3 + SS4	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'0" to 9'6"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	4.75	0.018	0.01	0.01	0.0	21.0		79.0			


Comments:	
-----------	--

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow	Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.
	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136		
CLIENT: Caivan			DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -			SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31582	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road			INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
			PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21			SOURCE LOCATION: BH38-22; SS3 + SS4			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton			SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'0" to 9'6"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH						299.7	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH						88.6	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5							
19							
16							
13.2							
9.5							
6.7							
4.75	0.0	0.0	100.0				
2.36	0.2	0.1	99.9				
1.18	1.1	0.4	99.6				
0.6	4.5	1.5	98.5				
0.3	13.4	4.5	95.5				
0.15	25.4	8.5	91.5				
0.075	63.0	21.0	79.0				
PAN	88.5						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.11	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							

**SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136**

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	2'6" to 4'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH1-22 SS2	LAB NO:	39280
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd		GLACIAL TILL	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
				DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	9.6					
					Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					18.3	47.9	31.2	2.5		

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow

Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	2'6" to 4'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH1-22 SS2	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39280	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
525.7		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.72	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	28.95	AIR DRY	528.40
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	525.70
		CORRECTED	0.994

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	52.1	9.9	90.1
13.2	62.5	11.9	88.1
9.5	69.8	13.3	86.7
4.75	96.3	18.3	81.7
2.0	120.1	22.8	77.2
Pan	404.8		
0.850	3.27	27.9	72.1
0.425	7.64	34.6	65.4
0.250	13.36	43.5	56.5
0.106	24.66	60.9	39.1
0.075	28.13	66.3	33.7
Pan	28.95		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA


ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:01	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0467	27.8	21.5
2	6:02	17.0	6.0	23.0	0.0336	21.9	16.9
5	6:05	14.5	6.0	23.0	0.0216	16.9	13.0
15	6:15	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0126	13.9	10.7
30	6:30	12.0	6.0	23.0	0.0090	11.9	9.2
60	7:00	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0064	7.9	6.1
250	10:10	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0032	4.0	3.1
1440	6:00	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	4.0	3.1

Moisture = 9.60%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow		Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.	
				

**SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136**

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH3 -22 SS4	LAB NO:	39281
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT		DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
				TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	22.9					
	Gravel (%)				Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	0.0				7.5		87.0		5.5	

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow				Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH4-22 SS4	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39281	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
130.5		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.75	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	3.95	AIR DRY	130.90
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	130.50
		CORRECTED	0.995

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5			
19			
13.2			
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
4.75	0.0	0.0	100.0
2.0	0.1	0.1	99.9
Pan	130.4		
0.850	0.22	0.5	99.5
0.425	0.39	0.9	99.1
0.250	0.80	1.7	98.3
0.106	2.17	4.4	95.6
0.075	3.71	7.5	92.5
Pan	3.95		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:21	30.0	6.0	23.0	0.0435	47.7	47.6
2	6:22	26.0	6.0	23.0	0.0317	39.7	39.7
5	6:25	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0209	27.8	27.8
15	6:35	14.0	6.0	23.0	0.0125	15.9	15.9
30	6:50	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	13.9	13.9
60	7:20	12.0	6.0	23.0	0.0063	11.9	11.9
250	10:30	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	7.9	7.9
1440	6:20	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	4.0	4.0


Moisture = 22.91%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow		Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.	
				



SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH4 22 SS4	LAB NO:	39282
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd		GLACIAL TILL	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
				DATE TESTED:	20-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE REPORTED:	21-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					19.4	23.3	53.8	3.5		

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH5-22 SS4	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39282	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	21-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	20-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
563.6		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.61	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	12.87	AIR DRY	567.60
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	563.60
		CORRECTED	0.992

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	60.1	10.7	89.3
13.2	77.4	13.7	86.3
9.5	94.2	16.7	83.3
4.75	109.4	19.4	80.6
2.0	130.8	23.2	76.8
Pan	432.8		
0.850	2.05	26.4	73.6
0.425	5.20	31.2	68.8
0.250	8.09	35.6	64.4
0.106	11.50	40.9	59.1
0.075	12.67	42.7	57.3
Pan	12.87		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:25	32.0	6.0	23.0	0.0428	51.8	39.8
2	6:26	30.0	6.0	23.0	0.0307	47.8	36.7
5	6:29	18.0	6.0	23.0	0.0211	23.9	18.4
15	6:39	16.0	6.0	23.0	0.0124	19.9	15.3
30	6:54	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	13.9	10.7
60	7:24	11.0	6.0	23.0	0.0064	10.0	7.6
250	10:34	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	8.0	6.1
1440	6:24	7.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	2.0	1.5


Moisture = 15.10%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.
		



SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	5'0" to 7'0"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH5 -22 SS3	LAB NO:	39283
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT W/ GRAVEL		DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
				DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					3.3	25.1	65.6	6.0		
Comments:										
Curtis Beadow					Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.					
REVIEWED BY:										

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	5'0" to 7'0"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH6-22 SS3	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39283	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
131.1		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	53.01	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	13.56	AIR DRY	126.50
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	131.10
		CORRECTED	1.060

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	0.0	0.0	100.0
13.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
4.75	4.3	3.3	96.7
2.0	6.8	5.2	94.8
Pan	124.3		
0.850	0.91	6.9	93.1
0.425	2.04	9.1	90.9
0.250	3.96	12.7	87.3
0.106	9.23	22.7	77.3
0.075	12.25	28.4	71.6
Pan	13.56		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:25	32.5	6.0	23.0	0.0426	49.4	46.8
2	6:26	26.0	6.0	23.0	0.0317	37.3	35.3
5	6:29	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0209	26.1	24.7
15	6:39	15.5	6.0	23.0	0.0124	17.7	16.8
30	6:54	14.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	14.9	14.1
60	7:24	11.5	6.0	23.0	0.0064	10.3	9.7
250	10:34	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	7.5	7.1
1440	6:24	9.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	5.6	5.3

Moisture = 18.69%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.
		

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 27-Nov-2020

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 20-Nov-2020

Client PO: 31285

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	TP4-GR3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	20-Nov-20 13:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2047663-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	89.0	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.60	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	93.8	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 17-Dec-2020

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 14-Dec-2020

Client PO: 31363

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	TPF-G2	-	-	-
Sample Date:	11-Dec-20 15:30	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2051099-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	82.7	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.33	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	101	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 22-Dec-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 17-Dec-2021

Client PO: 33505

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	BH17-21 SS3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	16-Dec-21 09:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2151599-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	81.9	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.73	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	48.9	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	34	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	24	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Jan-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-Dec-2021

Client PO: 33585

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	BH34-21 SS3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	22-Dec-21 09:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2152465-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	84.6	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.75	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	81.3	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	12	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	9	-	-	-

APPENDIX 3

PH4625-1 - SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN


PH4625-2 - DRIFT THICKNESS PLAN

PH4625-3 - BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN

PH4625-4 - MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN

PH4625-5 - GROUNDWATER CONTOUR PLAN

PG5570-2 - BEDROCK CONTOUR PLAN





9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7T9
TEL: (613) 226-7381


5	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	13/04/2026	OB
4	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	29/05/2025	OB
3	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB
2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB
1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC.
HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD
& 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD

OTTAWA, ONTARIO

SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN

Scale:	1:7500	Date:	10/2022
Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01 PH4625-REP.02
Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-1
Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	5



LEGEND:

- AREA OF STUDY
- EAST PARCEL BOUNDARY
- WEST PARCEL BOUNDARY
- 0m - 1m
- 1m - 2m
- 2m - 3m
- 3m - 5m
- 5m - 10m
- 10m - 15m
- 15m - 25m

 9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381	5	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	13/04/2026	OB	CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC. HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD & 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD OTTAWA, ONTARIO	Scale:	1:7500	Date:	10/2022		
	4	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	29/05/2025	OB		Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01 PH4625-REP.02		
	3	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB		Title:	Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-2	
	2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB							
	1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB							
	NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL		DRIFT THICKNESS PLAN		Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	5





9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7T9
TEL: (613) 226-7381


5	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	13/04/2026	OB
4	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	29/05/2025	OB
3	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB
2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB
1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC.
HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD
& 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD


OTTAWA, ONTARIO

BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN

Scale:	1:7500	Date:	10/2022
Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01 PH4625-REP.02
Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-3
Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	5



 9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381	5	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	13/04/2026	OB	CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC. HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD & 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD OTTAWA, ONTARIO	Scale: 1:12500	Date: 10/2022
	4	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	29/05/2025	OB		Drawn by: JM	Report No.: PH4625-REP.01 PH4625-REP.02
	3	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB		Checked by: OB	Dwg. No.: PH4625-4
	2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB			
	1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB			
	Title: MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN					Approved by: MK	Revision No.: 5
	NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL			





PATERSON GROUP
9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7S9
TEL: (613) 226-7381

5	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	13/04/2026	OB
4	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	29/05/2025	OB
3	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB
2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB
1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC.

HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT


5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD
& 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD

OTTAWA,
Title:

ONTARIO

GROUNDWATER CONTOUR PLAN


Scale:	1:4000	Date:	11/2022
Drawn by:	RCG	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01 PH4625-REP.02
Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-5
Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	5



- LEGEND:**
- HAND AUGER LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH PIEZOMETER LOCATION (CURRENT INVESTIGATION)
 - BOREHOLE WITH PIEZOMETER LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-2, JAN 20, 2022)
 - BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-2, JAN 20, 2022)
 - TEST PIT LOCATION (PATERSON GROUP REPORT; PG5570-1, JAN 3, 2021)
 - 97.50 — BEDROCK CONTOURS (m)
 - 104.29 — GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
 - [102.10] — BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
 - (102.39) — PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING / EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)

BASE PLAN PREPARED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED.

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.



9	ADDED 2026 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS	24/04/2026	KP
8	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	10/04/2026	KP
7	UPDATED SITE BOUNDARY	15/05/2025	OB
6	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	03/07/2024	KP
5	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	28/08/2023	KP
NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE) INC.
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5971, 5993, 6115, 6141 & 6159 FLEWELLYN ROAD, 6070 FERNBANK ROAD & 1770 & 1820 SHEA ROAD
ONTARIO

OTTAWA,
Title:

BEDROCK CONTOUR PLAN

Scale:	1:4000	Date:	01/2022
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PG5570-3
Checked by:	KP	Dwg. No.:	PG5570-2
Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	9

APPENDIX 4

HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY RESULTS - FALLING AND RISING HEAD TESTS


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.027 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.35E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r _c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t*:	0.033 minutes	ΔH*/ΔH₀:	0.37
-----	---------------	----------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.10E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.516 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.23E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.428 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.48E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 3 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.406 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.56E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.341 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.86E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.411 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.54E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.091 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.99E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.092 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.95E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.093 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.94E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.090 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.06E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.712 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.89E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH2-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.697 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 9.09E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH3-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	1.223 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 2.98E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH3-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.105 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 6.01E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH3-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.096 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 6.57E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH3A-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.31086

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.0508 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.0254 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 3.485 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 4.17E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH3A-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.31086

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	3.038 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.78E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH4-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	7.262 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.72E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH4-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	6.985 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 9.06E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.521 minutes $\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 1.21E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.318 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 1.99E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.444 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.43E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.437 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.45E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH22A-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	8.463 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.31E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.061 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.96E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L 3 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.050 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 7.29E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.063 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.83E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r _c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t*:	0.064 minutes	ΔH*/ΔH₀:	0.37
-----	---------------	----------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.69E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: BH33-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.023 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.60E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: HA1-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 0.92098

Well Parameters:

L	0.4064 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	1.695 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 2.15E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Client: Caivan (Stittsville) Inc.

Test Location: HA1-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 0.92098

Well Parameters:

L	0.4064 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* : 4.164 minutes $\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$: 0.37

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.76E-06 m/sec**

APPENDIX 5

MECP WATER WELL RECORDS

JTM | 1 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 0 | E
| 5 | B | 5 | 0 | V | I | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | N
Elev. | 4 | R | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
Basin | 2 | 5 | | | |

The O



GROUND WATER BRANCH
JUN 5 1959
15
ONTARIO WATER
RESOURCES COMMISSION

No. 2540

The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act, 1957

WATER WELL RECORD

County or District CARLETON Township, Village, Town or City GOULBOURN
completed 16 MAY 59
(day month year)
Address STANLEY CARL

Casing and Screen Record

Inside diameter of casing.....	4"
Total length of casing.....	43' 8"
Type of screen.....	—
Length of screen.....	—
Depth to top of screen.....	—
Diameter of finished hole.....	4"

Pumping Test

Static level..... *Flowing*

Test-pumping rate..... *10* G.P.M.

Pumping level..... *Top*

Duration of test pumping..... *1 HR*

Water clear or cloudy at end of test..... *Clear*

Recommended pumping rate..... *3* G.P.M.

with pumping level of..... *Top*

Well Log

Water Record

[illegible]

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?

For what purpose(s) is this information being collected?
House

Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside?

Drilling Firm W J King

Address 48 KEMASTER

BR. TAN C. A.

Licence Number.....70

Name of Driller..... **SAME**


Address

Date 1799 22/5

W. J. King
(Signature of Licensed Drilling Contractor)

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.



Form 5



316/54

P

1510222


The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act

WATER WELL RECORD

County or District Carleton Place Township, Village, Town or City Carleton Place
 Con. 11 Lot 24 Date completed 9 69
 (day month year)
 Address Stittsville, Ont.

Casing and Screen Record

Inside diameter of casing 2"
 Total length of casing 11'
 Type of screen none
 Length of screen none
 Depth to top of screen 4'
 Diameter of finished hole 4"



Pumping Test

Static level 14'
 Test-pumping rate 5 G.P.M.
 Pumping level 25'
 Duration of test pumping 1/2 hr
 Water clear or cloudy at end of test clear
 Recommended pumping rate 5 G.P.M.
 with pump setting of 30 feet below ground surface

Well Log


Water Record

Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
<u>loose gravel</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>		
<u>shale rock</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>		
<u>gray limestone</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>25-25 feet</u>	

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? new house
 Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? upland
 Drilling or Boring Firm L. H. Borchers
 Address 122 Main St. Stittsville
 Licence Number 5519
 Name of Driller or Borer Robert H. Borchers
 Address 122 Main St. Stittsville
 Date Sept 11 1969
 (Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.





Ontario

WATER WELL RECORD

31/8/76

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1515196

15003

CON. 108

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC.

LOT 25-27

026

DATE COMPLETED

DAY 07 MO 01 YR 76

x 455 Stittsville, Ontario

RC. ELEVATION

RC. BASIN CODE

AUG 04, 1977

303

1515196 18

430888

5010845

4 334

4 26

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

GENERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET	
				FROM	TO
grey	clay			0	6
grey	hardpan	stones	packed	6	23
grey	limestone		medium	23	100
grey	limestone	streaks of red	soft	100	160

31

32

41

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER
0135	1 ☒ FRESH 3 ☐ SULPHUR 2 ☐ SALTY 4 ☐ MINERAL
0156	1 ☒ FRESH 3 ☐ SULPHUR 2 ☐ SALTY 4 ☐ MINERAL
	1 ☐ FRESH 3 ☐ SULPHUR 2 ☐ SALTY 4 ☐ MINERAL
	1 ☐ FRESH 3 ☐ SULPHUR 2 ☐ SALTY 4 ☐ MINERAL
	1 ☐ FRESH 3 ☐ SULPHUR 2 ☐ SALTY 4 ☐ MINERAL

51

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
6 1/2	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE	188	0	0025
5 1/2	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE		25	160
06	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE			0160

SCREEN

SIZES (S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	DIAMETER	LENGTH
	INCHES	FEET
		41-44
		FEET

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD


DEPTH SET AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)
FROM TO	
10-13	14-17
18-21	22-25
26-29	30-33

71

PUMPING TEST METHOD	PUMPING RATE	DURATION OF PUMPING
1 ☐ PUMP 2 ☒ BAILER	0030 GPM	01 HOURS 00 MINS
STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	WATER LEVELS DURING
005 FEET	015 FEET	15 MINUTES 015 FEET 30 MINUTES 015 FEET 45 MINUTES 015 FEET 60 MINUTES 015 FEET
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE	PUMP INTAKE SET AT	WATER AT END OF TEST
	GPM	FEET
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE	RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE
1 ☒ SHALLOW 2 ☐ DEEP	025 FEET	0005 GPM

LOCATION OF WELL 3010

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.



DRILLERS REMARKS:

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

- 1 ☒ WATER SUPPLY
- 2 ☐ OBSERVATION WELL
- 3 ☐ TEST HOLE
- 4 ☐ RECHARGE WELL
- 5 ☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
- 6 ☐ ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY
- 7 ☐ UNFINISHED

WATER USE

- 1 ☒ DOMESTIC
- 2 ☐ STOCK
- 3 ☐ IRRIGATION
- 4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL
- 5 ☐ COMMERCIAL
- 6 ☐ MUNICIPAL
- 7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY
- 8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
- 9 ☐ NOT USED

METHOD OF DRILLING

- 1 ☒ CABLE TOOL
- 2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)
- 3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE)
- 4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR)
- 5 ☒ AIR PERCUSSION
- 6 ☐ BORING
- 7 ☐ DIAMOND
- 8 ☐ JETTING
- 9 ☐ DRIVING

NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	LICENCE NUMBER
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
ADDRESS	
Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario	
NAME OF DRILLER OR BORER	LICENCE NUMBER
J. Moore	
SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE
[Signature]	DAY 8 NO. 1 YR 76

DATA SOURCE	CONTRACTOR	DATE RECEIVED	
1	1558	150376	
DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR		
July 13/76	[Signature]		
REMARKS			



31G4e

1518820

MUNICH
15003

CON.
|C|O|N|

109

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD	
WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER		
10-13 65'	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	14
	2 ☒ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERAL	
15-18	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	19
	2 ☒ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERAL	
20-23	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	24
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERAL	
25-28	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	29
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERAL	
30-33	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	34
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERAL	

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
INSIDE DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
10-11	☒ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☐ OPEN HOLE	12		13-16
6 1/4		188	0	19
17-18	☐ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☒ OPEN HOLE	19		20-23
6			19	70
24-25	☒ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☐ OPEN HOLE	26		27-30

SCREEN	SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	31-33	DIAMETER	34-38	LENGTH	39-40
				INCHES	FEET	
	MATERIAL AND TYPE			DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44	30
			FEET			

61			PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)		
FROM	TO			
10-13	14-17			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

PUMPING TEST	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 ☐ PUMP 2 ☒ BAILER			12		GPM	1 15-16 HOURS 17-18 MINS	
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	25	WATER LEVELS DURING				1 ☒ PUMPING 2 ☒ RECOVERY
	19-21	22-24	15 MINUTES 26-28	30 MINUTES 29-31	45 MINUTES 32-34	60 MINUTES 35-37		
	15 FEET	50 FEET	50 FEET	50 FEET	50 FEET	50 FEET		
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE		30-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT			WATER AT END OF TEST		
GPM			FEET			1 ☒ CLEAR 2 ☐ CLOUDY		
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE			RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49
☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP			50 FEET			5		GPM
50-53								

54 FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1 ☒ WATER SUPPLY 2 ☐ OBSERVATION WELL 3 ☐ TEST HOLE 4 ☐ RECHARGE WELL	5 ☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 ☐ ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY 7 ☐ UNFINISHED
55-56 WATER USE	1 ☒ DOMESTIC 2 ☐ STOCK 3 ☐ IRRIGATION 4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL ☐ OTHER _____	5 ☐ COMMERCIAL 6 ☐ MUNICIPAL 7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY 8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9 ☐ NOT USED
57 METHOD OF DRILLING	1 ☒ CABLE TOOL 2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE) 4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR) 5 ☐ AIR PERCUSSION	6 ☐ BORING 7 ☐ DIAMOND 8 ☐ JETTING 9 ☐ DRIVING

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Heritage
Corners
Subdivision

10 1/2'

33 1/2'

LOT #25

0.7 Km

Crawford Road

9th line

↑

DRILLERS REMARKS:

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		LICENCE NUMBER
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558
	ADDRESS		
	Box 490; Stittsville, Ont. K0A 3G0		
	NAME OF DRILLER OR BORER		LICENCE NUMBER
	B Moore		
	SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE	
	<i>[Signature]</i>	DAY 02 MO. 03 YR 84	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58	CONTRACTOR 1558	59-62	DATE RECEIVED 12 03 84	63-68	80
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR				
	REMARKS						

WDE



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1522585

MUNICIP
15003

CON

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC

LOT 25-27

Ottum Carleton

Goulbourn

9

24

0 Fernbank Rd. Box 41 Stittsville ^{KOA 3G0}

DATE COMPLETED _____

48-53

DAY 05 MO 07 YR. 88

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

31

32

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET		KIND OF WATER	
90	10-13	1 ☒ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS
	15-18	1 ☒ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS
118	20-23	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS
	25-28	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS
	30-33	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
10-11 6 1/4	1 ☐ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC	.188	0	13-14 21
17-18 6 1/8	1 ☐ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC		21	20-21 125
24-25	1 ☐ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC			27-30

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER, ETC.)
FROM	TO	
10-13	14-17	
18-21	22-25	
26-29	30-33	80

PUMPING TEST

2

PUMPING TEST

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 ☒ PUMP 2 ☐ BAILER			6		GPM	15-16 HOURS 17-18 MINS	
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING		25 WATER LEVELS DURING			1 ☒ PUMPING 2 ☐ RECOVERY	
	10-21	22-24		15 MINUTES	30 MINUTES	45 MINUTES	60 MINUTES	
	10 FEET	75 FEET		20-20 75 FEET	20-31 75 FEET	22-34 75 FEET	33-37 75 FEET	
	IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE		30-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST		
			GPM	75 FEET		1 ☒ CLEAR 2 ☐ CLOUDY		
	RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE			RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		
☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP			100 FEET		5		GPM	
50-53								


LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

38203

DRILLERS REMARKS

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K0A 3G0			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN			WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER
	S. Miller			
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR			SUBMISSION DATE
				DAY 05 MO. 07 YR. 87

OFFICE USE ONLY

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 CONTRACTOR 59-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68
		1558	SEP 01 1988	
	DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR		
	REMARKS			

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. 0506 (11 / 86) FORM 9

ROLL #309 - SEP 30/93



**Ministry
of the
Environment**

The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ COMPLETE BOX WHEN APPLICABLE

11

1527414

115,000

Kai

1091

COUNTY OF (PRINT) <i>Carleton</i>	TOWNSHIP (PRINT) / TOWN VILLAGE <i>Leadbourn</i>	CDN BLOCK (PRINT, SURVEY ETC) <i>Con 9</i>	LOT <i>25</i>
OWNER <i>[Redacted]</i>	ADDRESS <i>54 Forest Lane, Leamington, M1M 2M5</i>	DATE COMPLETED MO-YY <i>19 8 93</i>	

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	SIN. OF PATH			
198	198	☐ PEGS	3	SULPHUR
		☐ SALT	4	MICROBIALS
			5	COG
		☐ PEGS	3	SULPHUR
		☐ SALT	4	MICROBIALS
			5	COG
		☐ PEGS	3	SULPHUR
		☐ SALT	4	MICROBIALS
			5	COG
		☐ PEGS	3	SULPHUR
		☐ SALT	4	MICROBIALS
			5	COG
		☐ PEGS	3	SULPHUR
		☐ SALT	4	MICROBIALS
			5	COG

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

HOUSE NO HOUSE	MATERIAL	NO OF HOUSES HOUSE	DEPTH FEET	
			10 CM	10
20-06 64	1 C STEEL 2 GALVANISED 3 CONC RETE 4 COPPER WALE 5 PLASTIC	188	0	25
20-06 6	1 C STEEL 2 GALVANISED 3 CONC RETE 4 COPPER WALE 5 PLASTIC		25	203
20-06 24	1 C STEEL 2 GALVANISED 3 CONC RETE 4 COPPER WALE 5 PLASTIC	24		27-50

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH, DAT. AT FEET		MATERIALS AND TYPE	CUMUL. SQUAT LEAD PAGES, ETC.
CHUB	TO		
00-10	10-25	cement grates	
25-31	75-75		
75-80	80-81		

10

[illegible]**FINAL
STATUS
OF WELL**

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	☐ 1 WATER SUPPLY	☐ 5 ABANDONED INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
	☐ 2 OBSERVATION WELL	☐ 6 ABANDONED POOR QUALITY
	☒ 3 TEST HOLE	☐ 7 UNFINISHED
	☐ 4 RECHARGE WELL	☐ 8 REMATCHING

WATER USE

WATER USE

1 ☐ STOCK	8 ☐ MUNICIPAL
2 ☐ IRRIGATION	9 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY
3 ☐ INDUSTRIAL	0 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING

METHOD
OF

METHOD OF	1 ☐ CABLE TOOL	6 ☐ BORING
	2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)	7 ☐ DIAMOND
	3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE)	8 ☐ JETTING

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND
LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW

Shen Rd

Well

Flewellyn Rd.

Test Well No. 1.

76768

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR <i>W. Mans Well Drilling</i>		WELLING NUMBER <i>3688</i>
	ADDRESS <i>Box 326 Richmond Ont.</i>		
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN <i>[Signature]</i>		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER <i>7-0064</i>
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR <i>[Signature]</i>		RECORDING DATE DAY <i>19</i> MO <i>8</i> YEAR <i>93</i>

OFFICE USE ONLY

OFFICE USE ONLY	SOURCE	3644	SEP 10 1993
	DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTION	
	REMARKS		

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. 0508 (11/84) FORM 8



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1527414

MUNICIP
15003

CON. CON 09

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT <i>Catt</i>	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE <i>Elbow</i>	CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY ETC <i>Con 9</i>	LOT <i>25</i>
			DATE COMPLETED DAY <i>19</i> MO <i>8</i> YR <i>93</i>
PARCELS <i>Parwood Scarborough M117 2175</i>			
NG RC ELEVATION RC BASIN CODE II III IV			

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER		
10-13 198	1 ☒ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☒ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS	14
15-18	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS	19
20-23	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS	24
25-28	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS	29
30-33	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 6 ☐ GAS	34

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
10-11 6 1/4	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☒ GALVANIZED 3 ☒ CONCRETE 4 ☒ OPEN HOLE 5 ☒ PLASTIC	188	0	25
17-18 6	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☒ GALVANIZED 3 ☒ CONCRETE 4 ☒ OPEN HOLE 5 ☒ PLASTIC		25	203
24-25	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☒ GALVANIZED 3 ☒ CONCRETE 4 ☒ OPEN HOLE 5 ☒ PLASTIC			

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE	
FROM	TO	(CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)	
0 ¹⁰⁻¹³	25 ¹⁴⁻¹⁷	cement grouted	
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	71
--------------	----

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 ☒ 2 3 ☐ BAILER			5		GPM	1	15-16 HOURS
	19-21		22-24		25		1 ☐ PUMPING 2 ☒ RECOVERY	
	STATIC LEVEL		WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING		WATER LEVELS DURING			
	FEET		FEET		15 MINUTES		30 MINUTES	
	180		118		26-28 FEET		67	
	38-41		PUMP INTAKE SET AT		FEET		45 MINUTES	
	IF FLOWING GIVE RATE				29-31 FEET		24	
	GPM		WATER AT END OF TEST		FEET		32-34	
	RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE		RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45		60 MINUTES	
	☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP		180		FEET		35-37	
							1 ☐ CLEAR 2 ☒ CLOUDY	
							RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE	
							5	
							GPM	
50-53								

**FINAL
STATUS
OF WELL**

1 ☐ WATER SUPPLY 5 ☐ ABANDONED. INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
2 ☐ OBSERVATION WELL 6 ☐ ABANDONED POOR QUALITY
3 ☒ TEST HOLE 7 ☐ UNFINISHED
4 ☐ RECHARGE WELL ☐ DEWATERING

WATER USE

1 ☒ DOMESTIC	5 ☐ COMMERCIAL
2 ☐ STOCK	6 ☐ MUNICIPAL
3 ☐ IRRIGATION	7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY
4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL	8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
☐ OTHER	9 ☐ NOT USED

**METHOD
OF
CONSTRUCTION**

1 ☐ CABLE TOOL 6 ☐ BORING
2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 7 ☐ DIAMOND
3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE) 8 ☐ JETTING
4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR) 9 ☐ DRIVING
5 ☒ AIR PERCUSSION 10 ☐ DIGGING ☐ OTHER

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Test Wa
DRILLERS REMARKS

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58	CONTRACTOR	59-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	69
			3644		SEP 10 1993		
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR				
REMARKS							

CONTRACTOR

NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR 94 Maine Well Drilling		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NUMBER 3644	
ADDRESS Box 326 Richmond Ont.			
NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN [Signature]		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER 7-0064	
SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR [Signature]		SUBMISSION DATE DAY 19 MO 8 YR 93	

ROLL #309 - SEP 30/93

Ministry
of the
Environment

The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

9. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

8. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

99

1527415

11.5.003

COM.
IC

22.

109

COUNTY OR DISTRICT <i>Carleton</i>	TOWNSHIP OR PARISH <i>Leeds</i>	CDD BLOCK TRACT, SURVEY ETC <i>Con 9</i>	DATE <i>25</i>
DATA <i>54 Broad Bld. Scarborough M1M 2M5</i>	ADDRESS	DATE COMPLETED <i>23</i>	NO. 10 <i>8</i>
		DAY	NO. 10 <i>93</i>

21

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS : SEE INSTRUCTIONS:

[illegible][illegible]

32

WATER RECORD

41 WATER RECORD	
WATER FOUND AS - 0511	KIND OF WATER
158	Not tested
0-10	☐ SURFACE ☐ SALT ☐ FRESH ☐ MINERALS ☐ OTHER
10-20	☐ FRESH ☐ SALT ☐ FRESH ☐ MINERALS ☐ OTHER
20-25	☐ FRESH ☐ SALT ☐ FRESH ☐ MINERALS ☐ OTHER
25-30	☐ FRESH ☐ SALT ☐ FRESH ☐ MINERALS ☐ OTHER
30-35	☐ FRESH ☐ SALT ☐ FRESH ☐ MINERALS ☐ OTHER

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD						
TIME DOWN HOURS	MATERIAL	SHAFT THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH FEET	FEET	FEET	FEET
10-10	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 OPEN HOLE 5 PLASTIC	1/8				10-10
6 1/2		1/8	0	22		
10-12	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 OPEN HOLE 5 PLASTIC		22			20-11
6						
20-11	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 OPEN HOLE 5 PLASTIC					27-30

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
DEPTH SET AT FEET		CEMENT GROUT	
THUM	FO	MATERIAL AND TYPE LEAD PAPER, ETC.	
10-12	22-25	cement grouted	
0	22		
10-21	22-25		
20-20	20-25	00	

PUMPING TEST	PUMPING TEST IN HOURS		PUMPING DATE		DURATION OF PUMPING	
	☒ SHALLOW ☐ DEEP		14		1 HOURS	
	STATION 7		WATER LEVELS DURING PUMPING HOURS MINUTES SECONDS 60 00 00		☒ PUMPING ☐ STOPPING	
	WATER LEVEL HOURS MINUTES SECONDS 22 00 00		10 MINUTES 20 MINUTES 30 MINUTES 00 00 00		40 MINUTES 50 MINUTES 60 MINUTES 00 00 00	
	FEET 60		FEET 7		FEET 7	
	IS FLOWING YES NO		PUMP INITIAL SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST	
	YES NO		FEET		FEET	
	GPM		FEET		☐ CLEAR ☒ DIRTY	
	RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE ☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP		RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING 60		30-60 PUMPING 10	
	20-50		FEET		APR	

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	☐ WATER SUPPLY ☐ OBSERVATION WELL ☒ TEST HOLE ☐ MESSAGE HELL	☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY ☐ ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY ☐ UNFINISHED ☐ DRAINING
	☒ DOMESTIC ☐ STOCK ☐ IRRIGATION ☐ INDUSTRIAL ☐ OTHER _____	☐ COMMERCIAL ☐ MUNICIPAL ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING ☐ NOT USED
WATER USE		
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	☐ CABLE TOOL ☐ DRIFT (CONVENTIONAL) ☐ ROTARY (CUTTING) ☐ ROTARY (SHEAR) ☐ AIR LIFT (COMBINATION)	☐ BORING ☐ DIAMOND ☐ JETTING ☐ DRIVING ☐ OTHER _____

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NDA/FW BY ARROW

13m

30 km

Flowerkyn Rd

Shea Rd


76770

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	WELL CONTRACTOR'S ADDRESS
	Ed. Mans Will Drilling	
	Box 326 Richmond Or	
	ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN	WELL TECHNICIAN'S PHONE NUMBER
		7-0564
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE
		8 93

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATE SOURCE	DO 3644	CONTINUATION 10 02	DATE RECEIVED SEP 10 1993	DD 00	DD 00
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTION			
RE MARKS						

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. 0606 (11/84) FORM 1



1527698

MUNICIP.
15003

CON.
|C|O|N|

109

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE	CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY ETC	LOT	25-27																																																												
	Elmhurst	9	24																																																													
10 Matheson Blvd. East Mississauga, Ontario			DATE COMPLETED	48-53																																																												
			DAY 02	MO 02 YR 94																																																												
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="10">ELEVATION</td> <td colspan="10">BASIN CODE</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="10"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td> </tr> </table> </td> <td colspan="10"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>31</td><td>32</td><td>33</td><td>34</td><td>35</td><td>36</td><td>37</td><td>38</td><td>39</td><td>40</td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </table>					ELEVATION										BASIN CODE										<table border="1"> <tr> <td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td> </tr> </table>										21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>31</td><td>32</td><td>33</td><td>34</td><td>35</td><td>36</td><td>37</td><td>38</td><td>39</td><td>40</td> </tr> </table>										31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
ELEVATION										BASIN CODE																																																						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>21</td><td>22</td><td>23</td><td>24</td><td>25</td><td>26</td><td>27</td><td>28</td><td>29</td><td>30</td> </tr> </table>										21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>31</td><td>32</td><td>33</td><td>34</td><td>35</td><td>36</td><td>37</td><td>38</td><td>39</td><td>40</td> </tr> </table>										31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40																									
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30																																																							
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40																																																							

[illegible]

31

32

30 10 14 18 31 32 43 54 65 75 80

41	WATER RECORD			
WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER			
10-13	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	18	
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERALS		
		6 ☐ GAS		
15-18	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	19	
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERALS		
		6 ☐ GAS		
20-23	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	24	
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERALS		
		6 ☐ GAS		
25-28	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	29	
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERALS		
		6 ☐ GAS		
30-33	1 ☐ FRESH	3 ☐ SULPHUR	34	
	2 ☐ SALTY	4 ☐ MINERALS		
		6 ☐ GAS		

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET		
			FROM	TO	
6 1/4	1 ☒ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC	.188	0	22.5	
6	1 ☐ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☒ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC		22.5	63	
	1 ☐ STEEL 2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE 5 ☐ PLASTIC				

SCREEN	SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	31-33	DIAMETER	34-38	LENGTH	39-40
				INCHES	FEET	
	MATERIAL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN		41-44	INCHES
					FEET	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)	
FROM	TO		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (10)	
21	0		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10		PUMPING RATE		11-14		DURATION OF PUMPING			
	1 ☐ PUMP 2 ☒ BAILER				20		GPM		1 15-18 HOURS 17-18 MINS			
	STATIC LEVEL		WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING		25		WATER LEVELS DURING		1 ☒ PUMPING 2 ☐ RECOVERY			
	19-21		22-24		15 MINUTES 26-28		30 MINUTES 29-31		45 MINUTES 32-34		60 MINUTES 35-37	
	2 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET	
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE		38-41		PUMP INTAKE SET AT				WATER AT END OF TEST		42		
		GPM				FEET		1 ☐ CLEAR 2 ☒ CLOUDY				
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE				RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45		RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49		
☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP				45		FEET				5 GPM		
50-53												

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	54 1 ☒ WATER SUPPLY 2 ☐ OBSERVATION WELL 3 ☐ TEST HOLE 4 ☐ RECHARGE WELL	5 ☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 ☐ ABANDONED POOR QUALITY 7 ☐ UNFINISHED 8 ☐ DEWATERING
WATER USE	55-56 1 ☒ DOMESTIC 2 ☐ STOCK 3 ☐ IRRIGATION 4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL ☐ OTHER	5 ☐ COMMERCIAL 6 ☐ MUNICIPAL 7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY 8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9 ☐ NOT USED
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	57 1 ☒ CABLE TOOL 2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE) 4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR) 5 ☒ AIR PERCUSSION	22.5-63 6 ☐ BORING 7 ☐ DIAMOND 8 ☐ JETTING 9 ☐ DRIVING 0-22.5 ☐ DIGGING ☐ OTHER

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Fernbank Road


Proposed Lot #5

Shea Road

Flewellyn Road

142237

DRILLERS REMARKS

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6			
CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	S. Miller/ J. Moore		T0097/T0090	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/ CONTRACTOR		SUBMISSION DATE	
			DAY 14 MO 2 YR 94	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 CONTRACTOR	58-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	8
	1558		APR 13 1994			
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR			
	REMARKS					

11

3132



WATER WELL RECORD

MUNICIP.
15003

COM

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON BLOCK TRACT SURVEY ETC

LOT	25.27
-----	-------

Goulbourn

9

24

#4 Pakenham, Ontario KOA 2X0

DATE COMPLETED

DAY 13 MO 9 YR 94

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)	
FROM	TO		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (6)	
20-24	22-25		
26-29	30-33		

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Test Well
#5 X

O.C. #5

Flewelyn Rd

Shear Road

147733

DRILLERS' REMARKS

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1 ☒ WATER SUPPLY 2 ☒ OBSERVATION WELL 3 ☐ TEST HOLE 4 ☐ RECHARGE WELL	5 ☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 ☐ ABANDONED POOR QUALITY 7 ☐ UNFINISHED 8 ☐ DEWATERING
WATER USE	1 ☒ DOMESTIC 2 ☒ STOCK 3 ☐ IRRIGATION 4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL ☐ OTHER	5 ☐ COMMERCIAL 6 ☐ MUNICIPAL 7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY 8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9 ☐ NOT USED
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	1 ☒ CABLE TOOL 2 ☒ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE) 4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR) 5 ☒ AIR PERCUSSION	6 ☐ BORING 7 ☐ DIAMOND 8 ☐ JETTING 9 ☐ DRIVING ☐ DIGGING ☐ OTHER

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6			
CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	S. Miller/ J. Moore		T0097/T0096	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR		SUBMISSION DATE	
			DAY 15 MO 98 YR. 94	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58	CONTRACTOR 1558	59-62	DATE RECEIVED OCT 07 1994	63-68	80
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR				
	REMARKS						



The Ontario Water Resources Act WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions Inc.	First name 28 47	Address B50-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	Date completed 24 day 5 month 97 year	41-53

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21st century. The timeline is divided into segments for various data types: U (Urban), T (Transportation), M (Marine), Zone, Easting, Northing, RC (River Code), Elevation, RC (River Code), Basin Code, and ii, iii, iv (Basin Sub-codes). The timeline is marked with numbers 1 through 47.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the 1000 Genomes Project. The top part shows a map of the world with sampling locations. The bottom part shows a genomic track with 1000 individuals and 1000 SNPs, with a color scale for the number of individuals carrying each allele.

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at – feet	Kind of water		
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	14
26	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
		6 ☐ Gas	
15-18	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	19
92	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
		6 ☐ Gas	
20-23	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	24
125	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
		6 ☐ Gas	
25-28	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	29
NOT TESTED	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
		6 ☐ Gas	
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	34
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
		6 ☐ Gas	

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	1 ☒ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22	
17-18	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		22	129	
24-25	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic				

SCREEN	54	65	75	80
	31-33	34-38	39-40	
	inches		feet	
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen 41-44	
			feet	

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
20	1		
18-21	22-25		
		Grouted Cement 1 bag	
		Hole Plug 1 bag	
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸	
	☒ Pump	☐ Bailor	5 GPM		1 Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ²⁶		☒ Pumping ☐ Recovery	
	¹⁹⁻²¹	²²⁻²⁴	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	10 feet	70 feet	37 feet	60 feet	20 feet	70 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²	
GPM		feet		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		120 feet		4 GPM		
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☒ Water supply
2 ☐ Observation well
3 ☐ Test hole
4 ☐ Recharge well

5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality
7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)
8 ☐ Dewatering

9 ☐ Unfinished
10 ☐ Replacement well

WATER USE		
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 ☒ Cable tool	5 ☐ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Road

Woodside Acres

House

15'

11'8"

G

Lot #15

Poplarwood

175643

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 26 mo 5 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-66	80
			1558		JUN 27 1997		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1529429

MUNICIP.
15003

CON.
CON

109

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT OTTAWA-CARLETON		TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE TWP. OF GOLDBOURN		CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY ETC. CONCESSION 9		LOT 24	
OWNER (SURNAME FIRST) TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS INC.		ADDRESS 850-36 Antares, Nepean, Ont. K2E 7W5		DATE COMPLETED 25 10 96		DAY MONTH YEAR	
UTM ZONE 21		EASTING		NORTHING		RC	
ELEVATION		SUBDIVISION		SUBLOT #		8	

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

31

32

1 2

10 14 15

21

32

41

54

65

76

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET		KIND OF WATER	
10-13	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 5 ☐ GAS	14
15-18	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 5 ☐ GAS	19
20-23	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 5 ☐ GAS	24
25-28	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 5 ☐ GAS	29
30-33	1 ☐ FRESH 2 ☐ SALTY	3 ☐ SULPHUR 4 ☐ MINERALS 5 ☐ GAS	34

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
10-11	☒ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☐ OPEN HOLE ☐ PLASTIC	12		13-16
6 1/4"		.128	+3	31
17-18	☐ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☒ OPEN HOLE ☐ PLASTIC	19		20-23
5 7/8			31	71
24-25	☐ STEEL ☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☐ OPEN HOLE ☐ PLASTIC	26		27-30


PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE	
FROM	TO	(CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)	
4 10-13	31 14-17	GROUT	
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	#0	

PUMPING TEST	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 ☒ PUMP 2 ☐ BAILER			5		GPM	2 15-16 HOURS 0 17-18 MINS	
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	23	WATER LEVELS DURING		1 ☐ PUMPING 2 ☐ RECOVERY		
	19-21	22-24	15 MINUTES	30 MINUTES	45 MINUTES	60 MINUTES		
	+2 FEET	4 FEET	12 FEET	13 FEET	14 FEET	14 FEET		
IF FLOWING GIVE RATE		38-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST			
—		GPM	60 FEET		1 ☐ CLEAR 2 ☒ CLOUDY			
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE			RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		
☐ SHALLOW ☒ DEEP			60 FEET			46-49 GPM		
50-53								

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

**FINAL
STATUS
OF WELL**

- | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1 ☒ | WATER SUPPLY | 5 ☐ | ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY |
| 2 ☒ | OBSERVATION WELL | 6 ☐ | ABANDONED POOR QUALITY |
| 3 ☐ | TEST HOLE | 7 ☐ | UNFINISHED |
| 4 ☐ | RECHARGE WELL | ☐ | DEWATERING |

WATER USE

- 1 ☒ DOMESTIC
2 ☐ STOCK
3 ☐ IRRIGATION
4 ☐ INDUSTRIAL
5 ☐ COMMERCIAL
6 ☐ MUNICIPAL
7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY
8 ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
9 ☐ NOT USED
☐ OTHER

**METHOD
OF
CONSTRUCTION**

- 1 ☒ CABLE TOOL
2 ☒ ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)
3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERSE)
4 ☐ ROTARY (AIR)
5 ☐ AIR PERCUSSION
6 ☐ BORING
7 ☐ DIAMOND
8 ☐ JETTING
9 ☐ DRIVING
10 ☐ DIGGING ☐ OTHER

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR STANTON DRILLING INC		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NUMBER 4885	
	ADDRESS Box 219, Pakenham, Ont. K0A 2X0			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN PER Stanton		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER 7-0066	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR 		SUBMISSION DATE DAY 31 MO 10 YR 96	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	S#	CONTRACTOR	S9-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	BO
			4875		JUN 24 1997		
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR				
	REMARKS						



The Ontario Water Resources Act **WATER WELL RECORD**

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Cen

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions	First name	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 3 day 6 month 97 year	

Figure 2 illustrates the data structure for the 21st station. The diagram shows a horizontal timeline from 1 to 47. Above the timeline, labels indicate data types: 'Zone' (10-17), 'Easting' (18-24), 'RC' (25-26), 'Elevation' (30-31), 'Basin Code' (32-47), and 'ii' (32-33), 'iii' (34-35), 'iv' (36-47). The timeline is divided into segments by vertical dashed lines.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand		Fill	0	2
Brown	Sand	Gravel	Packed	2	5
Gray	Limestone		Hard	5	110
Brown	Limestone		Medium	110	139
Gray	Limestone		Hard	139	155

[illegible]

32 10 14 15 21 32 43 54 65 75 80

41 **WATER RECORD** 51 **CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD**

Sizes of opening 31-33 Diameter 34-39 Length

WATER RECORD				CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				SCREEN	Slot No.		Diameter		Length	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water		Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		Material and type	Depth at top of screen	inches	feet		
							From						To	
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 5 ☐ Gas	14	6 1/4	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	25						
15-18	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 5 ☐ Gas	19											
20-23	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 5 ☐ Gas	24											
25-28	NOT TESTED			5 7/8	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		25	155						
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 5 ☐ Gas	34	24-25	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic									

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space ☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
20	0	Grouted Cement (1)	
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	Hole Plug (1)	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method 10 ☐ Pump ☒ Bailer		Pumping rate 11-14 6 GPM		Duration of pumping 15-18 Hours Mins	
	Static level Water level end of pumping 25		Water levels during ☒ Pumping ☐ Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
	27	80	58	67	78	80
	If flowing give rate 38-41 GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test 42 ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting 43-45 130 feet		Recommended pump rate 46-49 5 GPM		
50-53						

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

Lot # 13

Steps

House


FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54		
1 ☒ Water supply 2 ☐ Observation well 3 ☐ Test hole 4 ☐ Recharge well	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality 7 ☐ Abandoned (Other) 8 ☐ Dewatering	9 ☐ Unfinished 10 ☐ Replacement well

WATER USE 55-56		
1 ☒ Domestic 2 ☐ Stock 3 ☐ Irrigation 4 ☐ Industrial	5 ☐ Commercial 6 ☐ Municipal 7 ☐ Public supply 8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	9 ☐ Not used 10 ☐ Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57		
1 ☒ Cable tool 2 ☐ Rotary (conventional) 3 ☐ Rotary (reverse) 4 ☐ Rotary (air)	5 ☐ Air percussion 6 ☐ Boring 7 ☐ Diamond 8 ☐ Jetting	9 ☐ Driving 10 ☐ Digging 11 ☐ Other

Woodside Acres

175650

Name of Well Contractor		Well Contractor's Licence No.	
Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
Address			
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6			
Name of Well Technician		Well Technician's Licence No.	
W. Kavanagh		T0095	
Signature of Technician/Contractor		Submission date	
		day 4 mo 6 yr 97	

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-66	67
			1558		JUL 15 1997		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
							



The Ontario Water Resources Act **WATER WELL RECORD**

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23
Owner's surname 28-47 [REDACTED]	First name [REDACTED]	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 18 y 6 month 97 year	48-53 [REDACTED]

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21st basin. The timeline shows the following data points and their corresponding labels:

Time Step	Data Type
1	Zone
2	Zone
10	Zone
12	Easting
17	Easting
18	Northing
24	Northing
25	RC
26	Elevation
30	RC
31	Basin Code

The diagram also indicates four sub-basins (ii, iii, iv) corresponding to different time intervals.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

41. WATER RECORD	
Water found at – feet	Kind of water
10-13 54	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas
15-18 96	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas
20-23 149	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas
25-28	NOT TESTED
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22	
17 18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22	155	
24 25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic				

SCREEN	54	65	75	80
	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	34-38	39-40
		inches		feet
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen 41-44		
				feet

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
20	5		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ ☒ Pump ☐ Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 4-5 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level		25 Water levels during ☒ Pumping ☐ Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	12 feet	100 feet	45 feet	68 feet	98 feet	100 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 130 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 4 GPM	
	50-53					

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)	
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 ☐ Domestic 2 ☐ Stock 3 ☐ Irrigation 4 ☐ Industrial	5 ☐ Commercial 6 ☐ Municipal 7 ☐ Public supply 8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	9 ☐ Not used 10 ☐ Other
--	---	---

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 ☒ Cable tool	5 ☐ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Woodside
Acres.

Lot 19


driveway

G

18"

30'7"

Poplarwood Place 175657

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 18 mo 6 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 15 1997		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1529489

Municipality
15003

Con.
CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 24	
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions		First name 28-47		Address 850 - 36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ont.		Date completed 23 7 97 day month year	

21 Zone Easting **K2E** **7W5** Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code ii iii iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible][illegible]

WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet	Kind of water		
90 ¹⁰⁻¹³	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
125 ¹⁵⁻¹⁸	NOT TESTED		
20-23	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
25-28	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
30-33	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet
			From To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0 20
6 1/8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22 130
24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic		27-30

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
Screen		Sizing of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter	Length
SCREEN		Material and type	inches	feet
		Depth at top of screen		
61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD		
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To	Grouted cement (3)		
20	0	Grouted cement (3)		
18-21	22-25	Grouted cement (3)		
26-29	30-33	Grouted cement (3)		

PUMPING TEST	71	Pumping test method 1 ☐ Pump 2 ☒ Bailer		Pumping rate 10 GPM		Duration of pumping 1 Hours 18 Mins	
		Static level	Water level end of pumping	25 Water levels during 1 ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery			
		19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
		18 feet	41 feet	39 feet	41 feet	41 feet	41 feet
		If flowing give rate 38-41 GPM	Pump intake set at feet			Water at end of test 42 ☐ Clear ☐ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep	Recommended pump setting 43-45 100 feet			Recommended pump rate 46-49 5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	☒ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☐ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
		9	☐ Unfinished
		10	☐ Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☒ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
		9	☐ Not used
		10	☐ Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	☒ Cable tool 20-130	1	☒ Air percussion 0-20
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)	6	☐ Boring
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting
		9	☐ Driving
		10	☐ Digging
		11	☐ Other

LOCATION OF WELL PO # 493

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Lot. 42

45' 6"

29' 10"


House

Poplarwood Road

Flewellyn Road

Woodside Acres

175680

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490, Stittsville, Ont. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 22 mo 7 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	30
	1558		AUG 14 1997				
Date of inspection			Inspector				
Remarks							



1529569

Municipality
15003

Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions	First name	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 7 day 8 month 97 year

21 Zone Easting K2E 7W5 Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code I II III IV

[illegible]

WATER RECORD			
41	Water found at - feet	Kind of water	
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	14
96			
15-18	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	19
142			
20-23	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	24
169			
25-28	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	29
NOT TESTED			
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	34

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22
6 1/8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22	175
	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
				inches	feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
☒ Annular space			☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
20	0	Grouted Cement (3)		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹²⁻¹⁸	
	☐ Pump ☒ Bailer		10 GPM		1... ¹³⁻¹⁶ Hours Mins	
	25 Static level Water level end of pumping		Water levels during ¹ ☒ Pumping ² ☐ Recovery			
	19-21 22-24 15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷					
	37 feet 55 feet 44 feet 53 feet 55 feet 55 feet					
If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²		
GPM		feet		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		100 feet		5 GPM		

30-33	
FINAL STATUS OF WELL	
54 ☒ 1 Water supply ☐ 2 Observation well ☐ 3 Test hole ☐ 4 Recharge well	☐ 5 Abandoned, insufficient supply ☐ 6 Abandoned, poor quality ☐ 7 Abandoned (Other) ☐ 8 Dewatering ☐ 9 Unfinished ☐ 10 Replacement well
WATER USE	
55 56 ☒ 1 Domestic ☐ 2 Stock ☐ 3 Irrigation ☐ 4 Industrial	☐ 5 Commercial ☐ 6 Municipal ☐ 7 Public supply ☐ 8 Cooling & air conditioning ☐ 9 Not used ☐ 10 Other
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	
57 ☒ 1 Cable tool ☒ 2 Rotary (conventional) ☒ 3 Rotary (reverse) ☒ 4 Rotary (air)	58 ☐ 5 Air percussion ☐ 6 Boring ☐ 7 Diamond ☐ 8 Jetting ☐ 9 Driving ☐ 10 Digging ☐ 11 Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

House

Deck

Steps

26'

15'

Lot # 14

Poplarwood

Flewellyn Rd

Woodside
Acres

175696

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanaugh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 8 mo 8 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-64	86
			1558		SEP 15 1997		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11	
1	2

1529575

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
		Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario				Date completed 27 day 8 month 97 year	
		Easting K2E 7W5		Northing RC		Basin Code ii iii iv	

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

31

32

41		WATER RECORD		
Water found at - feet	Kind of water			
65	10-13	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	14
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
81	15-18	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	19
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
NOT TESTED	20-23	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	24
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
	25-28	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	29
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	
	30-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	34
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4 ¹⁰	1 ☒ Steel ¹² 2 ☒ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	27
6 1/8 ¹⁷⁻¹⁸	1 ☐ Steel ¹⁹ 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☒ Plastic		27	30 ²⁰⁻²³
6 ²⁴⁻²⁵	1 ☐ Steel ²⁶ 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☒ Plastic		30	87 ²⁷⁻³⁰

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			41-44	30
					feet	

61	PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment		
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (5)		
25	0			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	60		

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☒ Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 15 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 18 Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ²⁵ 1 ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	17 feet	22 feet	22 feet	22 feet	22 feet	22 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM	Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy		
Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	☐ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☒ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
		9	☐ Unfinished
		10	☐ Replacement well

WATER USE		55-56	
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☒ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
		9	☐ Not used
		10	☐ Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		57	
1	☐ Cable tool	5	☐ Air percussion
2	☒ Rotary (conventional)	6	☒ Boring
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting
		9	☐ Driving
		10	☐ Digging
		11	☐ Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Poplarwood

14'8"

13'1"

Lot #3

Flewellyn Rd

183312

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	day 28 mo 8 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		SEP 15 1997	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					



Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

The Ontario Water Resources Act WATER WELL RECORD

1529714

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village		Con block tract survey, etc.		Lot	
		Goulbourn		9		23	
		Address		Date completed		21 day 10 month 97 year	
100 Herzberg Rd., P.O. Box 13000 Kanata		Ontario		K2K 2A6			

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Stones (Shale)	Packed	0	6
Gray	Limestone			6	20
Gray	Limestone		Hard	20	48
Gray	Limestone		Medium	48	79
Brown	Limestone		Medium	79	141
Gray	Limestone		Medium	141	165
Brown	Limestone		Medium	165	172
Gray	Limestone		Medium	172	190

[illegible]

41		14 15 21				WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
147	10-13	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	14	
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals			
165	15-18	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	19	
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals			
163	20-23	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	24	
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals			
25-28		NOT TESTED				29	
	30-33	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	34	
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals			
			☐ Gas				

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☐ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22.5
5 15/16	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22.5	190
	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-41
			inches		feet	
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen			
			41-44			
			feet			

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (3)	
21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸	
	1 ☐ Pump 2 ☒ Bailer		13 GPM		1 Hours Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹		Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴		Water levels during 1 ☐ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery	
	19-21		22-24			
	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷	
PUMPING TEST	23 feet		46 feet		38 feet 44 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²	
	GPM		feet		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹	
	☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		140 feet		5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☐ Water supply 2 ☒ Observation well 3 ☐ Test hole 4 ☐ Recharge well	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality 7 ☐ Abandoned (Other) 8 ☐ Dewatering	9 ☐ Unfinished 10 ☐ Replacement well	
WATER USE			
55-56			
1 ☒ Domestic 2 ☐ Stock 3 ☐ Irrigation 4 ☐ Industrial	5 ☐ Commercial 6 ☐ Municipal 7 ☐ Public supply 8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	9 ☐ Not used 10 ☐ Other	
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			
57			
1 ☐ Cable tool 2 ☒ Rotary (conventional) 3 ☐ Rotary (reverse) 4 ☐ Rotary (air)	5 ☐ Air percussion 6 ☒ Boring 7 ☐ Diamond 8 ☐ Jetting	9 ☐ Driving 10 ☐ Digging 11 ☐ Other	0-190 0-20

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Rd

Woodside Acres

lot 41

12'3"

14'1"

Basement window →

Poplarwood

Forest Grove Dr.

183257

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 21 mo 10 y 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
	1558				DEC 22 1997	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					



Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1529791

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	24
Owner's surname	First name	Address	
		Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Inc		850-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5 4 day 12 month 97 year	

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21st zone. The timeline shows the following data points and their corresponding values:

Section	Variable	Value(s)
I	Zone	21
	Easting	12, 17
II	Northing	18, 24
	RC	25, 26
III	Elevation	30
	RC	31
IV	Basin Code	32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47

[illegible]

31

32

41		14 15		21	
WATER RECORD					
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13 65	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	14		
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals			
15-18 126	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	19		
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals			
20-23 142	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	24		
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals			
25-28 NOT TESTED	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	29		
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals			
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	34		
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals			
		6 ☐ Gas			

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6 1/8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22.5	145
	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-44
			inches		feet	
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen 41-44 feet			

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
☒ Annular space			☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To			
10-13	14-17		Grouted Cement (3)	
20	0			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		10	Pumping rate		11-14	Duration of pumping		15-18
	☐ Pump ☒ Bailor			10 GPM			1... Hours Mins		
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	25	Water levels during		☒ Pumping ☐ Recovery			
	19-21	22-24		15 minutes	30 minutes	45 minutes	60 minutes		
				26-28	29-31	32-34	35-37		
12 feet		60 feet		34 feet	47 feet	60 feet	60 feet		
If flowing give rate			38-41	Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		42	
GPM				feet		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy			
Recommended pump type				Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		46-49	
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep				100 feet		5 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	☒ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☐ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
		9	☐ Unfinished
		10	☐ Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☐ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
		9	☐ Not used
		10	☐ Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	☒ Cable tool	5	☐ Air percussion
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)	6	☐ Boring
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting
		9	☐ Driving
		10	☐ Digging
		11	☐ Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

20' 10"

28' 7"


Lot #17

Poplarwood Ave

Woodside Acres

Flewellyn Rd

182762

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 5 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contact no.	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		JAN 08 1998	
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1529793

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton		Goulbourn	9	23
Owner's surname	First name	Address	Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Ltd.		850-36 Altares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	22	12 month 97

	Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code
21	U T M	12 17	18 24	25	28	30	31

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31

32

41	14 15 21				WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet		Kind of water						
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	14					
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals						
		6 ☐ Gas						
85								
15-18	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	19					
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals						
		6 ☐ Gas						
121								
20-23	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	24					
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals						
		6 ☐ Gas						
25-28	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	29					
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals						
		6 ☐ Gas						
39-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur	34					
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals						
		6 ☐ Gas						

51		32		45		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD	
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet				
			From	To			
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	44			
17-18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		44	125			
24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			27-30			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-41
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				41-44		
				feet		

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
☒ Annular space			☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
21	4	Grouted - Cement		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

PUMPING TEST	71		Pumping test method		10	Pumping rate		11-14	Duration of pumping		15-18
			☐ Pump ☒ Bailor			15 GPM			1 Hours 15 Mins		
	Static level		Water level end of pumping		25	Water levels during		☒ Pumping		☐ Recovery	
	19-21		22-24			15 minutes 26-28		30 minutes 29-31		45 minutes 32-34	
	12 feet		16 feet			16 feet		16 feet		16 feet	
	If flowing give rate		36-41			Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		42	
		GPM					feet		☐ Clear ☐ Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting		43-45		Recommended pump rate		46-49			
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep				90 feet				5 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54		
1	☒ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9	☐ Unfinished
2	☐ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10	☐ Replacement well
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55 56		
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial	9	☐ Not used
2	☐ Stock	6	☐ Municipal	10	☐ Other
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply		
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57		
1	☐ Cable tool	5	☐ Air percussion	9	☐ Driving
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)	6	☐ Boring	10	☐ Digging
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond	11	☐ Other
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line
Indicate north by arrow.

Lot #27

11'7"

11'3"

H

Veranda

G

Forest Grove

Woodside

Poplarwood

Flewellyn Road.

182799

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Spittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 24 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558	JAN 0 8 1998		
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1529795

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	23
Owner's surname	First name	Address	Date completed
Technical Dimensions	850-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	18 day 12 months 97 year	

U	Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code	...
21								

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Gray	Hardpan	Slab Rocks	Fill	0	20
Gray	Limestone		Hard	20	54
Gray	Limestone		Medium	54	83
Light Gray	Limestone		Medium	83	128
Brown	Limestone		Soft	128	147
Gray	Limestone		Soft	147	206

31

32

41		10		14		15		21	
WATER RECORD									
Water found at - feet				Kind of water					
68	10-13	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	14			
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals				
135	15-18	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	19			
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals				
196	20-23	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	24			
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals				
NOT TESTED									
	25-28	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	29			
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals				
	30-33	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	34			
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals				

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22
17-18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22	200
24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			41-44	30
					feet	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
☒ Annular space			☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.):		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
20	4	Grouted Cement (3)		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		10	Pumping rate		11-14	Duration of pumping		15-18	
	1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailer			8 GPM			1... Hours Mins			
	Static level		25	Water levels during		☒ Pumping	☐ Recovery			
	Water level end of pumping									
	19-21		22-24	15 minutes 26-28		30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34		60 minutes 35-37	
27 feet		65 feet	40 feet		61 feet	65 feet		65 feet		
If flowing give rate			38-41	Pump intake set at			Water at end of test			42
GPM				feet			☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy			
Recommended pump type				Recommended pump setting			Recommended pump rate			46-49
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep				125 feet			5 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☒ Water supply
2 ☒ Observation well
3 ☐ Test hole
4 ☐ Recharge well
5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality
7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)
8 ☐ Dewatering
9 ☐ Unfinished
10 ☐ Replacement well

WATER USE 55-56

1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 ☒ Cable tool 20-200	5 ☒ Air percussion 0-20	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Poplarwood

Forest Grove Dr.

30'

17' 4"

Lot 39

182795

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 19 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
	1558			JAN 0 8 1998		
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	23
Address		Date completed	
38 Argue Dr., suit 245 Nepean, Ontario		28 day 5 month 98 year	
K2E 8A5			

[illegible]

31

32

41		WATER RECORD			
Water found at – feet		Kind of water			
65	10-13	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐	1 ☐ Sulphur	14
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐	4 ☐ Minerals	
111	15-18	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐	1 ☐ Sulphur	19
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐	4 ☐ Minerals	
NOT TESTED	20-23	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐	1 ☐ Sulphur	24
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐	4 ☐ Minerals	
	25-28	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐	1 ☐ Sulphur	29
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐	4 ☐ Minerals	
	30-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐	1 ☐ Sulphur	34
		2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐	4 ☐ Minerals	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	40
17-18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☒ Plastic			20-23
5 7/8	☒ Steel ☒ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic		40	115
24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
						41-44
						feet

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (8)	
39	0		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵		17-18	
	☐ Pump	☒ Bailer	20 GPM		1 Hours		Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping		Water levels during ²⁵		☒ Pumping ² ☐ Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷		
	10 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet		
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²			
	GPM		feet		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy			
	Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹			
	☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		80 feet		5 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54
1 ☐ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply ⁹	☐ Unfinished
2 ☒ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	¹⁰ ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)	
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☒ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 ☐ Cable tool	5 ☐ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☒ Rotary (correct rotation)	6 ☒ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	


40-115 0-40

LOCATION OF WELL

N →

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Diagram showing the location of a well on a property lot. The lot is bounded by Forest Grove Dr. to the north, Woodside Acres to the south, and Flewellyn Rd. to the west. The lot is labeled "Lot # 32". A house is shown with a well located 60'4" from the west boundary and 37'6" from the north boundary. A garage labeled "G" is shown to the east of the house. A north arrow points towards the top right.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 29 mo 5 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 22 1998		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks	CSS. S9					



WATER WELL RECORD

11

Municipality

Con.

09

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD				42	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
24	10-13	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur			14	
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals					
69	15-18	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur			19	
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals					
20-23		NOT TESTED				24	
	25-28	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur			29	
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals					
	30-33	1 ☐ Fresh	3 ☐ Sulphur			34	
	2 ☐ Salty	4 ☐ Minerals					

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD					
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet		
			From	To	
6 17/4	1 ☒ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22.5	
6 17-18	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		22.5	73	
6 24-25	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic				

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			41-44	30
					feet	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (3) Gole Plug (1)	
21	6		
18-21	22-25		
6	3		
26-29	30-33		

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰ ☒ Pump ☐ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹ 10'6"		Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴ 15'4"		Water levels during ²⁵ ☐ Pumping ☐ Recovery	
	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 12'4"		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 13'10"		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 15'1"	
	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷ 15'4"		If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ 10'6"		Pump intake set at ⁴² 13'10"	
	Recommended pump type ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 30 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁵⁰⁻⁵³ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 ☐ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished
2 ☒ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)	
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 ☐ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☒ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 ☐ Cable tool	5 ☐ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☒ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Shea Rd.


40'

22'

1.8 km

Flewellyn Road

Huntley Road O.C. #5 183897

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd. Address	1558
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6 Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller Signature of Technician/Contractor	T0097 Submission date
	day 30 mo 6 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor 1558	59-62	Date received	63-68 JUL 22 1998	69
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS. S9 					



Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530046

Municipality
15003

Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village		Con block tract survey, etc.		Lot	
Ottawa Carleton		Goulbourn		9		24	
Owner's surname		First name		Address		Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Ltd.				850 - 36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario		18 May 6 month 98 year	

Figure 1: A schematic diagram of a data entry form for a water quality monitoring station. The form is divided into several sections. The top left section is labeled '21' and contains a box for 'Station ID'. The top right section is labeled 'Zone' and contains a box for 'Zone'. The middle section is labeled 'Easting' and contains a box for 'Easting' with the value 'K2E' and a box for 'Northing' with the value '7W5'. The bottom left section is labeled 'RC' and contains a box for 'RC'. The bottom right section is labeled 'Elevation' and contains a box for 'Elevation'. The bottom center section is labeled 'Basin Code' and contains a box for 'Basin Code' with the value 'iii' and a box for 'iv'.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

Figure 1 displays 18 ECG strips arranged in two rows of nine. The top row is labeled '31' and the bottom row is labeled '32'. Each strip shows a single lead (I, II, III, aVR, aVL, aVF, V1, V2, V3, V4, V5, V6) with a rhythm strip. The strips show various arrhythmias including sinus bradycardia, sinus tachycardia, sinus arrhythmia, and premature beats.

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet	Kind of water		
10-13 73	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	14
15-18 127	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	19
20-23	NOT TESTED		24
25-28	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	29
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh 2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Sulphur 4 ☐ Minerals 6 ☐ Gas	34

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	1 ☒ Steel 2 ☒ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22.4	
6 1/16	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☒ Plastic		22.4	30	
5 7/8	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		30	130	

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		41-44
				feet		50

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☐ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)				
From		To					
10-13		14-17	Grouted - Cement (6)				
21		3					
18-21		22-25					
26-29		30-33	80				

PUMPING TEST	10 Pumping test method 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailor		11-14 Pumping rate 15 GPM		17-18 Duration of pumping 1 Hours Mins	
	25 Static level 18-21 18 feet		Water level end of pumping 25 Water levels during 1 ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery 15 minutes 26-28 25 feet 30 minutes 29-31 25 feet		45 minutes 32-34 25 feet 60 minutes 35-37 25 feet	
	38-41 If flowing give rate 25 feet GPM		Pump intake set at feet		42 Water at end of test ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		43-45 Recommended pump setting 75 feet		46-49 Recommended pump rate 5 GPM	
	50-53					

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	☐ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☒ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
		9	☐ Unfinished
		10	☐ Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	☐ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☒ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
		9	☐ Not used
		10	☐ Other


METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57		
1	☒ Cable tool	30-130	5	☒ Air percussion	0-30
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)		6	☐ Boring	
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)		7	☐ Diamond	
4	☐ Rotary (air)		8	☐ Jetting	
			9	☐ Driving	
			10	☐ Digging	
			11	☐ Other	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

183883

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	day 22 mo 6 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	90
			1558		JUL 22 1998		
	Date of inspection	Inspector					
	Remarks						
	CSS. S9 						

Print only in spaces provided. Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530217

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District
Township/Borough/City/Town/Village
Con block tract survey, etc.
Lot
Address
Date completed
P.O. Box 98 Greely, Ontario KOA 1Z0

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Stones	Dry	0	5
Brown	Hardpan	Boulders	Packed	5	16
Gray	Hardpan	Boulders	Packed	16	25
Gray	Limestone		Hard	25	105

31
32

41 WATER RECORD

Water found at - feet	Kind of water
65	1 Fresh 3 Sulphur 14 2 Salty 4 Minerals 15 3 Gas 5
103	1 Fresh 3 Sulphur 19 2 Salty 4 Minerals 20 3 Gas 5
NOT TESTED	1 Fresh 3 Sulphur 24 2 Salty 4 Minerals 25 3 Gas 5
25-28	1 Fresh 3 Sulphur 29 2 Salty 4 Minerals 30 3 Gas 5
30-33	1 Fresh 3 Sulphur 34 2 Salty 4 Minerals 35 3 Gas 5

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 Steel 12 2 Galvanized 13 3 Concrete 14 4 Open hole 15 5 Plastic 16	.188	0	40
6 1/8	1 Steel 19 2 Galvanized 20 3 Concrete 21 4 Open hole 22 5 Plastic 23		40	50
5 7/8	1 Steel 26 2 Galvanized 27 3 Concrete 28 4 Open hole 29 5 Plastic 30		50	105

SCREEN

Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter inches	Length feet
Material and type		Depth at top of screen feet

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

Annular space		Abandonment
Depth set at - feet		
From	To	Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)
39	0	Grouted Cement (5)

71 PUMPING TEST

Pumping test method 1 Pump 2 Bailer	Pumping rate 15 GPM	Duration of pumping 1 Hours 1 Mins
Static level 8 feet	Water level end of pumping 10 feet	Water levels during 15 minutes 10 feet 30 minutes 10 feet 45 minutes 10 feet 60 minutes 10 feet
If flowing give rate 8 feet	Pump intake set at 75 feet	Water at end of test 10 feet
Recommended pump type Shallow Deep	Recommended pump setting	Recommended pump rate 5 GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 Water supply 2 Observation well 3 Test hole 4 Recharge well	5 Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 Abandoned, poor quality 7 Abandoned (Other) 8 Dewatering	9 Unfinished 10 Replacement well
--	--	-------------------------------------

WATER USE

1 Domestic 2 Stock 3 Irrigation 4 Industrial	5 Commercial 6 Municipal 7 Public supply 8 Cooling & air conditioning	9 Not used 10 Other
---	--	------------------------

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 Cable tool 2 Rotary (conventional) 3 Rotary (reverse) 4 Rotary (air)	5 Air percussion 6 Boring 7 Diamond 8 Jetting	9 Driving 10 Digging 11 Other
---	--	-------------------------------------

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

Forest Grove

Lot 34

346"

496"

194707

Name of Well Contractor
Capital Water Supply Ltd.
Well Contractor's Licence No.
1558
Address
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6
Name of Well Technician
S. Milletr/ W. Kavanagh
Well Technician's Licence No.
T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor
Submission date
day 17 mo 8 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY

Data source 1558	Date received OCT 15 1998
Date of inspection	Inspector
Remarks	

CSS. ES9



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1530297

Municipality

Con.

15003

CON

09

County or District <i>Other than Carlton</i>	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village <i>West Carlton</i>	Con block tract survey, etc. <i>9</i>	Lot <i>23</i>
Address <i>RR#1 Carleton</i>		Date completed <i>2 7 98</i> day month year	

21

North

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

iii

iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31

32

10 14 15 21 32 43 54 65 75 80

41 WATER RECORD		51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
Water found at - feet	Kind of water	Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	Material and type	Depth at top of screen
					From To		
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 14	10-11	1 ☒ Steel 12		13-16		
15-18	2 ☒ Salty 4 ☒ Minerals 15	11-12	2 ☐ Galvanized 13		17-20		
20-23	3 ☐ Fresh 5 ☐ Sulphur 16	13-14	3 ☐ Concrete 14		21-24		
25-28	4 ☐ Salty 6 ☐ Minerals 17	15-16	4 ☐ Open hole 15		25-28		
30-33	5 ☐ Fresh 7 ☐ Sulphur 18	17-18	5 ☐ Plastic 16		29-32		
		19-20	1 ☐ Steel 19		33-36		
		21-22	2 ☐ Galvanized 20		37-40		
		23-24	3 ☐ Concrete 21		41-44		
		25-26	4 ☐ Open hole 22		45-48		
		27-28	5 ☐ Plastic 23		49-52		
		29-30	1 ☐ Steel 24		53-56		
		31-32	2 ☐ Galvanized 25		57-60		
		33-34	3 ☐ Concrete 26		61-64		
		35-36	4 ☐ Open hole 27		65-68		
		37-38	5 ☐ Plastic 28		69-72		
		39-40			73-76		
		41-42			77-80		
		43-44			81-84		
		45-46			85-88		
		47-48			89-92		
		49-50			93-96		
		51-52			97-100		

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailor		Pumping rate 11-14 <u>10</u> GPM		Duration of pumping 15-18 <u>1</u> Hours <u>0</u> Mins	
	Static level 19-21	Water level end of pumping 22-24	Water levels during 25 ☐ Pumping ☒ Recovery			
	<u>6</u> feet	<u>100</u> feet	15 minutes 26-28 <u>6</u> feet	30 minutes 29-31 <u>6</u> feet	45 minutes 32-34 <u>6</u> feet	60 minutes 35-37 <u>6</u> feet
	If flowing give rate 38-41	Pump intake set at feet	Water at end of test 42			
	GPM	Recommended pump type 43-45 ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep	Recommended pump setting <u>100</u> feet	Recommended pump rate 46-49 <u>10</u> GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54	
1 ☒ Water supply 2 ☐ Observation well 3 ☐ Test hole 4 ☐ Recharge well	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality 7 ☐ Abandoned (Other) 8 ☐ Dewatering 9 ☐ Unfinished 10 ☐ Replacement well
WATER USE 55-56	
1 ☒ Domestic 2 ☐ Stock 3 ☐ Irrigation 4 ☐ Industrial	5 ☐ Commercial 6 ☐ Municipal 7 ☐ Public supply 8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning 9 ☐ Not used 10 ☐ Other
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57	
1 ☐ Cable tool 2 ☐ Rotary (conventional) 3 ☐ Rotary (reverse) 4 ☐ Rotary (air)	5 ☒ Air percussion 6 ☐ Boring 7 ☐ Diamond 8 ☐ Jetting 9 ☐ Driving 10 ☐ Digging 11 ☐ Other

192750

Stanley
Coburn

Shim
100

Flawellyn

←

→

Name of Well Contractor Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd 1119		Well Contractor's Licence No.	
Address RR# 2 Jasper, Alta			
Name of Well Technician Shannon Purcell		Well Technician's Licence No. T2122	
Signature of Technician/Contractor [Signature]		Submission date 13 Oct 98	

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source 58	Contractor 1119	Date received NOV 2 1998
	Date of inspection		Inspector
	Remarks CSS. ES9		

0506 (07/94) Front Form

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530491

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District: Ottawa-Carleton Township/Borough/City/Town/Village: Goulbourn Con block tract survey, etc.: 9 Lot: 24
Address: Technical Dimension Homes 36 Antares Date completed: 22 day 2 month 99 year
Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Gravel	Backfill	0	3
Gray	Hardpan	Gravel	Packed	3	6
Gray	Limestone		Medium	6	74
Dark Gray	Limestone		Medium	74	123
Light Reddish	Limestone		Medium	123	140
Gray	Limestone		Medium	140	200

31 32

41 WATER RECORD

Water found at - feet	Kind of water
10-13	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 14
90	2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 15
15-18	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 19
165	2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 20
20-23	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 24
195	2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 25
23-28	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 29
NOT TESTED	2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 30
30-33	1 ☐ Fresh 3 ☐ Sulphur 34
	2 ☐ Salty 4 ☐ Minerals 35

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 ☒ Steel 12	.188	0	25
	2 ☐ Galvanized			
	3 ☐ Concrete			
	4 ☐ Open hole			
	5 ☐ Plastic			
17-18	1 ☐ Steel 19			20-23
	2 ☐ Galvanized			
	3 ☐ Concrete			
	4 ☐ Open hole			
	5 ☐ Plastic			
24-25	1 ☐ Steel 26			27-30
	2 ☐ Galvanized			
	3 ☐ Concrete			
	4 ☐ Open hole			
	5 ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN

Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter	Length
	inches	feet
Material and type		Depth at top of screen
		feet

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

Annular space		Abandonment
Depth set at - feet		
From	To	Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)
10-13	14-17	
23.5	3	Grouted - Hole Plug (4)
18-21	22-25	
26-29	30-33	

71 PUMPING TEST

Pumping test method	Pumping rate	Duration of pumping
1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailor	13 GPM	1 Hours 17-18 Mins
Static level	Water level during	Water levels during
19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28 30 minutes 29-31 45 minutes 32-34 60 minutes 35-37
22 feet	60 feet	40 feet 51 feet 60 feet 60 feet
If flowing give rate	Pump intake set at	Water at end of test
38-41	feet	42
GPM		☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy
Recommended pump type	Recommended pump setting	Recommended pump rate
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep	43-45	46-49
	150 feet	5 GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☐ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished
2 ☒ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)	
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering	

WATER USE

1 ☐ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☒ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 ☐ Cable tool	25-200	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☒ Rotary (conventional)	0-25	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)		11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

Replacwood

Woodside Acres

Lot #40

House House #21

Garage

13' 11'

Forest Grove

Flewellyn Road

194823

Name of Well Contractor: Capital Water Supply Ltd. Well Contractor's Licence No.: 1558

Address: P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6

Name of Well Technician: W. Kavanagh Well Technician's Licence No.: T0095

Signature of Technician/Contractor: [Signature] Submission date: day 23 mo 2 yr 99

MINISTRY USE ONLY

Data source: 58 Contractor: 59-62 Date received: 63-68

1558 APR 13 1999

Date of inspection: Inspector:

Remarks: CSS.ES9

Print only in spaces provided. Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530702

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District: [redacted] Township/Borough/City/Town/Village: Goulbourn Con block tract survey, etc.: 9 Lot: 24 Address: [redacted] Date completed: 17 day 8 month 99

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand			0	5
Gray	Limestone		Medium	5	60
Gray	Limestone		Hard	60	90
Black	Stone	Shale		90	110

31 32

WATER RECORD, CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD, PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

PUMPING TEST

FINAL STATUS OF WELL, WATER USE, METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

LOCATION OF WELL

Name of Well Contractor, Well Contractor's Licence No., Address, Name of Well Technician, Well Technician's Licence No., Signature of Technician/Contractor, Submission date

MINISTRY USE ONLY, Data source, Contractor, Date received, Date of inspection, Inspector, Remarks



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

11

Municipality
15003

Con.
CON 09

County or District Ontario, Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con (block tract survey, etc.) 9	Lot 24
Address Box 190 Carp, Ontario K0A 1L0		Date completed 30 day 10 month 99	

99

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD		42	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	14		
15-19	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	19		
20-23	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	24		
25-28	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	29		
30-33	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	34		

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22
6 1/8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22	50
5 7/8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		50	75

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-43
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61					PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD					
☒ Annular space					☐ Abandonment					
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)							
From		To								
10-13		14-17	Grouted - Cement (3)							
21		0								
18-21		22-25								
26-29		30-33	80							

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶	
	☐ Pump ² ☒ Bailer ⁵		25 GPM		1 Hours ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵		☒ Pumping ¹ ☐ Recovery ²	
			15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	18' ¹⁹	19'2" ²²	19 feet ²⁶	19'1" ²⁹	19'2" ³²	19'2" ³⁵
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹	Pump intake set at ⁴²		Water at end of test ⁴³		
GPM		feet		☐ Clear ⁴⁴ ☒ Cloudy ⁴⁵		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
☐ Shallow ⁵⁰ ☒ Deep ⁵¹		50 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	☒ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☐ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
9	☐ Unfinished		
10	☐ Replacement well		

WATER USE			55-56
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☐ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
9	☐ Not use		
10	☐ Other		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	☒ Cable tool	5	☐ Air percussion
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)	6	☐ Boring
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting
9	☐ Driving		
10	☐ Digging		
11	☐ Other		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Forest Grove

G

11'

14'

lot #28

Woodside Acres

Flewellyn Rd

208492

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 30 mo 10 yr 99

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		DEC 07 1999		
	Date of inspection			Inspector			
	Remarks						
	CSS.ES0						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531026

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa-Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 22+23
Owner's surname John Gerard Holmes	Address Sittisville Rd	Date completed 22 day	17 month

[illegible]**LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)**[illegible]

31

32

41		WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13	☒ 1 Fresh ☒ 2 Salty	☐ 3 Sulphur ☐ 4 Minerals ☐ 5 Gas	14		
15-18	☐ 1 Fresh ☐ 2 Salty	☐ 3 Sulphur ☐ 4 Minerals ☐ 5 Gas	19		
20-23	☐ 1 Fresh ☐ 2 Salty	☐ 3 Sulphur ☐ 4 Minerals ☐ 5 Gas	24		
25-28	☐ 1 Fresh ☐ 2 Salty	☐ 3 Sulphur ☐ 4 Minerals ☐ 5 Gas	29		
30-33	☐ 1 Fresh ☐ 2 Salty	☐ 3 Sulphur ☐ 4 Minerals ☐ 5 Gas	34		

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11	1 ☒ Steel 12 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	188	0	22
17-18	1 ☐ Steel 19 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		0	20
24-25	1 ☐ Steel 26 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		20	70

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches			feet
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			30	
					feet	

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
☒ Annular space		☐ Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To	Cement grout	
10-13	14-17		
2	22		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰ ☒ Pump ☐ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹ 6 feet		Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴ 6 feet		Water levels during ²⁵ ☐ Pumping ☒ Recovery	
PUMPING TEST	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 6 feet		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 6 feet		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 6 feet	
	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷ 6 feet		If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at ⁴² feet	
	Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy		Recommended pump type ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet	
	Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 20 GPM					

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)	
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not used
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply	
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 ☒ Cable tool	5 ☒ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☒ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

A hand-drawn map showing the location of a well. A north arrow points towards the top right. A road, labeled "Forestgrove Dr.", runs diagonally from the top left towards the bottom right. A lot line, labeled "Flewellyn Rd", runs horizontally across the bottom. A well is marked with a dot. A double-headed arrow indicates a distance of "80'" from the well to the road. Another arrow points from the well towards the lot line, with the label "4km" written next to it.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd	1119
Address	
RR # 2 Jasper Co	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
Shannon Purcell	T2122
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
[Signature]	14 day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	90
			1119		FEB 10 2000		
	Date of inspection			Inspector			
	Remarks	CSS.ES0					

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531192

Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23
Owner's surname Maple Mountain Homes	First Name	Address Box 730 Richmond, Ontario K0A 2Z0	Date completed 17 May 6 months 00 year

21	Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code	II	III	IV	
	U Y M	10	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28

[illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD				
Water found at - feet		Kind of water				
27	10-13	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	14
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals	
160	15-18	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	19
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals	
NOT TESTED	20-23	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	24
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals	
	25-28	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	29
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals	
	30-33	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	34
		2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 ☒ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22
6	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☒ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic		22	172
	1 ☐ Steel 2 ☐ Galvanized 3 ☐ Concrete 4 ☐ Open hole 5 ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-25	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (3)					
21	0						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	50					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶	
	☐ Pump ² ☒ Bailor		7 GPM		1 ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ¹ ☒ Pumping ² ☐ Recovery			
	13-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁵⁻²⁶	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	8 feet	125 feet	65 feet	90 feet	110 feet	125 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹	Pump intake set at	Water at end of test ⁴²			
GPM		feet	☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy			
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵	Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹			
☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		150 feet	7 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		4	
1	☒ Water supply	5	☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	☐ Observation well	6	☐ Abandoned, poor quality
3	☐ Test hole	7	☐ Abandoned (Other)
4	☐ Recharge well	8	☐ Dewatering
		9	☐ Unfinished
		10	☐ Replacement well

WATER USE		55-56	
1	☒ Domestic	5	☐ Commercial
2	☐ Stock	6	☐ Municipal
3	☐ Irrigation	7	☐ Public supply
4	☐ Industrial	8	☐ Cooling & air conditioning
		9	☐ Not use
		10	☐ Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		57	
1	☒ Cable tool	5	☐ Air percussion
2	☐ Rotary (conventional)	6	☐ Boring
3	☐ Rotary (reverse)	7	☐ Diamond
4	☐ Rotary (air)	8	☐ Jetting
		9	☐ Driving
		10	☐ Digging
		11	☐ Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.


Forest Grove

Woodside Acres


Public Road

Flewellyn Rd

208609

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 19 mo 6 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
		1558		JUL 17 2000		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES0					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531659

Municipality
15003

Con.
CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn						Con block tract survey, etc. 9				Lot 23	
Address P.O. Box 190 Carp, Ontario KOA 1L0								Date completed 20 day 11 month 00 year					
UTM		Northing		RC		Elevation		RC		Basin Code		ii iii iv	

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31

32      

41		WATER RECORD				21	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
10-13		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur		14	
65		2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals			
				6 ☐ Gas			
15-18		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur		19	
91		2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals			
				6 ☐ Gas			
20-23		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur		24	
		2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals			
				6 ☐ Gas			
25-28		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur		29	
		2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals			
				6 ☐ Gas			
30-33		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur		34	
		2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals			
				6 ☐ Gas			

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6 1/16	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic		22.5	102
	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		31-44
						feet

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (4)					
22.5	0						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☐ Pump 2 ☒ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 8 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵ 1 ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery			
	6 feet	14 feet	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	6 feet	14 feet	9 feet	12 feet	14 feet	14 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☒ Cable tool	55-102	3 ☒ Air percussion	0-55
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	9 ☐ Driving	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	10 ☐ Digging	
4 ☒ Rotary (air)	0-55	11 ☐ Other	
	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram is a hand-drawn site map. At the top, a horizontal line represents 'Flewellyn Rd'. To the right of this road, a vertical line runs downwards, labeled 'Forest Grove'. To the left of the 'Forest Grove' line, a horizontal line runs across the middle, labeled 'Lot #5'. Below this line, another horizontal line is drawn, with the area between them labeled 'Woodside Acres'. A well is marked with an 'X' and labeled 'P. 1155'. A north arrow is in the top left corner. The number '224714' is written in the bottom right corner.

224714

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 21 mo 11 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-66	8
			1558		JAN 30 2001		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks	CSS.ES1					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531905

Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

08

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 8	Lot 23
	Address 41 Delamere Dr., Stittsville, ON. K2S 1R2	Date completed 08 05 01	25-27 48-53 day month year

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

31

32

10 14 15 21 22 41 54 65 75 80

41		42		43		44		45		46		47		48		49		50			
WATER RECORD																					
Water found at - feet				Kind of water																	
69				10-13		NOT TESTED														14	
				1		Fresh		4		☐ Sulphur											
				2		☐ Salty		6		☐ Gas											
				15-18		1		☐ Fresh		3		☐ Sulphur						19			
						2		☐ Salty		4		☐ Minerals									
								6		☐ Gas											
				20-23		1		☐ Fresh		3		☐ Sulphur						24			
						2		☐ Salty		4		☐ Minerals									
								6		☐ Gas											
				25-28		1		☐ Fresh		3		☐ Sulphur						29			
						2		☐ Salty		4		☐ Minerals									
								6		☐ Gas											
				30-33		1		☐ Fresh		3		☐ Sulphur						34			
						2		☐ Salty		4		☐ Minerals									
								6		☐ Gas											

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 10-11	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	27 12-16
6 17-18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic		27	70 20-23
6 24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen 41-44		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13 27	14-17 0	Grouted-cement (4)					
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 50 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 15 Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping ²⁵		Water levels during 1 ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery	
	19-21 5' 6" feet		22-24 30 feet		25 60 feet	
	26-28 50 feet		29-31 50 feet		32-34 40 feet	
	35-37 30 feet		38-41 30 feet		42 30 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 40 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☐ Cable tool	5 ☒ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving	
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other	
4 ☒ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

1

2


3

WELL

Flewellyn Rd.

230090

D.C. #5

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490, Stittsville, ON. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 09 mo 05 yr 01

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUN 15 2001		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks	CSS.ES1					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1532031

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Gopitbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23	25-27
Address 63 Barrow Crescent, Kanata ON/ K2L 2K9		Date completed 25 day 06 month 01 year		48-53

21

U
T
M

10 12 14 16 17

18 20 22 24

25

26

30

31

47

Northing

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

ii iii iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

LOG OF OVERBORER AND DEEPER WITH LINES (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	sand	stones		0	11
Grey	limestone		med hard	11	50
Grey	limestone			50	64

[illegible]

41		10 14 15 21				WATER RECORD		
Water found at - feet		Kind of water						
58	10-13	NOT TESTED						14
		1 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Sulphur	6 ☐ Minerals	8 ☐ Gas			
	15-18	2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Sulphur	6 ☐ Minerals	8 ☐ Gas	19	
		1 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Minerals	6 ☐ Gas				
	20-23	2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Sulphur	6 ☐ Minerals	8 ☐ Gas	24	
		1 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Minerals	6 ☐ Gas				
	25-28	2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Sulphur	6 ☐ Minerals	8 ☐ Gas	29	
		1 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Minerals	6 ☐ Gas				
	30-33	2 ☐ Salty	3 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Sulphur	6 ☐ Minerals	8 ☐ Gas	34	
		1 ☐ Fresh	4 ☐ Minerals	6 ☐ Gas				

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 10 1/4	☒ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic	.188	0	21'6"
6 1 8	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		21'6"	50
5 3 4	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☒ Open hole ☐ Plastic		50	64

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				41-44		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.).					
From	To						
10-13 21'6"	14-17 0	Grouted-cement (4)					
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ ai Pump ² ☐ B ailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 16 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Mins	
	Static level ²⁵		Water level during ¹ ☐ Pumping ² ☒ Recovery		Water levels end of pumping	
	19-21 7 feet		22-24 12 feet		25 8 feet	
	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	
	7 feet		7 feet		7 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at ³⁸⁻⁴¹ feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 40 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☒ Cable tool	50-64	5 ☒ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other	
4 ☒ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram illustrates the location of a well. A vertical line on the left is labeled "Forest Grove #1". A horizontal line at the bottom is labeled "Flewellyn Road". A dashed horizontal line represents the lot line, with "Lot #31" to its right. A well is represented by a small circle. Two arrows point from the well to the road and lot line: one arrow points to the road with a distance of "54'", and another arrow points to the lot line with a distance of "38'6\"".

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490, Stittsville ON. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller P. STANTON	T0097 T0086
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	date 27 mo 06/01

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 18 2001		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
	C88.ES1						

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1532642

Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

108

County or District Ottawa	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 8	Lot 25
Address Stittsville Ont		Date completed 8 day 02 month 02 year	

21

UTM

Northings

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

ii

iii

iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible][illegible]

32

41		42		43		44		45		46		47		48	
WATER RECORD															
Water found at - feet				Kind of water											
33				10-13		1 ☒ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur						14	
				2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals									
53				15-18		1 ☒ Fresh		5 ☐ Sulphur						19	
				2 ☐ Salty		6 ☐ Minerals									
54				20-23		1 ☒ Fresh		7 ☐ Sulphur						24	
				2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals									
				25-28		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur						29	
				2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals									
				30-33		1 ☐ Fresh		3 ☐ Sulphur						34	
				2 ☐ Salty		4 ☐ Minerals									

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11 6 1/4	☐ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☐ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	12 188	0	13-16 22
17-18 8 3/4	☐ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☒ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	19	0	20-23 20
24-25 6	☐ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☒ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	26	20	27-30 61

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☒ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)				
From		To					
10-13		14-17	Cement grout				
2		22					
18-21		22-25					
26-29		30-33	80				

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 26 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level		25 Water levels during 1 ☐ Pumping 2 ☒ Recovery			
	Water level end of pumping					
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	4 feet	50 feet	4 feet	4 feet	4 feet	4 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 50 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 26 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☐ Cable tool	5 ☒ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving	
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other	
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram shows two intersecting roads: Huntley Rd. (vertical) and Flewelyn Rd. (horizontal). A well is located in the upper-right quadrant. The distance from the well to Huntley Rd. is marked as 106' with a horizontal arrow. The distance from the well to Flewelyn Rd. is marked as 90' with a vertical arrow. A north arrow is located in the top right corner of the diagram.

237772

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd	1119
Address	
RR # 2 Jasper, AB	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
Shannon Purcell	T2122
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
Kenne [Signature]	15 02 03 day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
		1119		FEB 25 2002		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES2					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1533027

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Maple Mountain Homes	First Name	Address P.O. Box 730 Richmond, Ontario K0A 2Z0	Date completed 2 day 7 month 02 year

21	Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code	ii	iii	iv
1 2	U T M	10	12 17	18 24	25	26	30	31	47	

[illegible]

31

32

41 WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet	Kind of water		
10-13	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
15-18	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
20-23	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
25-28	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	
30-33	☐ Fresh ☐ Salty	☐ Sulphur ☐ Minerals ☐ Gas	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			13-16
17-18	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			20-23
24-25	☐ Steel ☐ Galvanized ☐ Concrete ☐ Open hole ☐ Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)		Diameter	Length	Depth at top of screen
			inches		feet
			feet		

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
☐ Annular space ☐ Abandonment				
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33			

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☒ Pump 2 ☐ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17 Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping		25 Water levels during ☒ Pumping 2 ☐ Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	6' 2" feet	20 feet	115 feet	90 feet	60 feet	20 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 50 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☐ Cable tool	5 ☐ Air percussion	9 ☐ Driving	
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other	
4 ☒ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL


In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

32'

20'

Flewellyn Rd

238194

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 5 mo 7 yr 02

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
			1 55 8		AUG 13 2002		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks	CSS.ES					

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1533078

Municipality

Con.

15003

CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
Address Box 190 Carp, Ontario K0A 1L0				Date completed 12 day 88 month 02 year			

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
brown	soil	stones		0	8
grey	limestone		med hard	8	181
Note: Casing was left 1 foota above ground level at time of drilling.					

41		WATER RECORD						27		
Water found at - feet		Kind of water								
125	10-13	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	14				
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals						
145	15-18	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	19				
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals						
176	20-23	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	24				
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals						
not tested										
	25-28	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	29				
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals						
	30-33	1	☐ Fresh	3	☐ Sulphur	34				
	2	☐ Salty	4	☐ Minerals						

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11 6 1/4	☒ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☐ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	12 .188	0	13-16 21'6"
17-18 5 7/8	☐ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☒ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	19	21'6	20-23 48
24-25	☐ 1 Steel ☐ 2 Galvanized ☐ 3 Concrete ☐ 4 Open hole ☐ 5 Plastic	26	48	27-30 181

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type				Depth at top of screen	41-44
					feet	

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
☐ Annular space				☐ Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
21-18	0	grouted cement (4)					
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 ☐ Pump 2 ☒ Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 12 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵ ☒ Pumping		2 ☐ Recovery	
	24 feet	50 feet	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
			42 feet	45 feet	48 feet	50 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² ☐ Clear ☒ Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type ☐ Shallow ☒ Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 75 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 ☒ Water supply	5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 ☐ Unfinished	
2 ☐ Observation well	6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality	10 ☐ Replacement well	
3 ☐ Test hole	7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)		
4 ☐ Recharge well	8 ☐ Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 ☒ Domestic	5 ☐ Commercial	9 ☐ Not use	
2 ☐ Stock	6 ☐ Municipal	10 ☐ Other	
3 ☐ Irrigation	7 ☐ Public supply		
4 ☐ Industrial	8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 ☒ Cable tool 50-181	3 ☒ Air percussion 0-50	9 ☐ Driving	
2 ☐ Rotary (conventional)	6 ☐ Boring	10 ☐ Digging	
3 ☐ Rotary (reverse)	7 ☐ Diamond	11 ☐ Other	
4 ☐ Rotary (air)	8 ☐ Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram illustrates the location of a well (marked with a circle) relative to a road and a lot line. The road is labeled "Forest Grove" and "Woodside Acres". The lot line is labeled "Flewelyn". The distance from the well to the road is 54'. The distance from the well to the lot line is 61'. A north arrow is shown in the upper left corner.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 13 mo 08 yr 02

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		SEP 16 2002	
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS. [REDACTED]					

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) 14 Poplarwood (Lot 43)				Township Goulbourn		Lot 23		Concession 9	
County/District/Municipality Ottawa Carleton				City/Town/Village Stittsville		Province Ontario		Postal Code	
UTM Coordinates		Zone	Easting	Northing		Municipal Plan and Sublot Number		Other	
NAD 83		18	429114	5010184					

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
	Previously Drilled			0	33.52
				33.52	83.20

Annular Space		
Depth Set at (m/ft) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)

Method of Construction	Well Use
☐ Cable Tool ☒ Rotary (Conventional) ☐ Rotary (Reverse) ☐ Boring ☒ Air percussion ☐ Other, specify _____	☐ Public ☒ Domestic ☐ Livestock ☐ Irrigation ☐ Industrial ☐ Other, specify _____
☐ Diamond ☐ Jetting ☐ Driving ☐ Digging	☐ Commercial ☐ Municipal ☐ Test Hole ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning ☐ Not used ☐ Dewatering ☐ Monitoring

Construction Record - Casing			Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft) From	To

Construction Record - Screen			Status of Well	
Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft) From	To


Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft)	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☒ Untested	Depth (m/ft) From	To
67.35 (m/ft) ☐ Gas	☐ Other, specify _____	33.52	83.20
81.98 (m/ft) ☐ Gas	☐ Other, specify _____		

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information			
Business Name of Well Contractor Capital Water Supply Ltd.		Well Contractor's Licence No. 1 5 5 8	
Business Address (Street Number/Name) Box 490		Municipality Stittsville	
Province Ontario	Postal Code K2S 1A6	Business E-mail Address office@capitalwater.ca	
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) 613 836 1766		Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Miller, Stephen	
Well Technician's Licence No. 0 0 9 7		Date Submitted 2011/11/02	

Results of Well Yield Testing			
Draw Down		Recovery	
Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
Static Level	9.89		
1	11.10	1	24.57
2	12.12	2	22.26
3	13.35	3	19.18
4	14.49	4	18.98
5	15.41	5	16.58
10	19.12	10	11.78
15	19.62	15	9.26
20	20.59	20	8.32
25	23.96	25	8.48
30	24.80	30	8.44
40	25.52	40	8.31
50	26.03	50	8.26
60	27.32	60	8.24

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.



Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered	Date Package Delivered	Ministry Use Only	
☒ Yes	2011/11/02	Audit No.	Z139723
☐ No	2011/11/02	Received	FEB 09 2012



Measurements recorded in: ☒ Metric ☐ Imperial

A136701

MW 14+801/12-20

Page 1 of 1

Well Owner's Information

First Name	Last Name / Organization CITY OF OTTAWA	E-mail Address	☒ Well Constructed by Well Owner
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) 100 CONSTELLATION CRESCENT	Municipality OTTAWA	Province ON	Postal Code K2G 6J8
Telephone No. (inc. area code)			

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) FLEWELLYN ROAD / SHEA ROAD	Township	Lot	Concession
County/District/Municipality	City/Town/Village	Province Ontario	Postal Code
UTM Coordinates NAD 83 18 43 05 15 50 11 08 99	Zone Easting	North	Municipal Plan and Sublot Number
Other			

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
GREY	FILL	GRAVELLY SAND, TRACE SILT		0	0.6
	TILL	SANDY SILT, GRAVEL	LOOSE TO DENSE	0.6	4.1
GREY	TILL	SILTY SAND, GRAVEL	VERY DENSE	4.1	5.1

Annular Space		
Depth Set at (m/ft) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) BENTONITE
0	0.6	

Method of Construction	Well Use
☐ Cable Tool ☐ Rotary (Conventional) ☐ Rotary (Reverse) ☐ Boring ☐ Air percussion ☒ Other, specify HSA	☐ Public ☐ Domestic ☐ Livestock ☐ Irrigation ☐ Industrial ☐ Other, specify
☐ Diamond ☐ Jetting ☐ Driving ☐ Digging	☐ Commercial ☐ Municipal ☐ Test Hole ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning ☐ Not used ☐ Dewatering ☒ Monitoring

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in) 5.08	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) PVC	Wall Thickness (cm/in) SCHED 40	Depth (m/ft) From To 0 3.6	☐ Water Supply ☐ Replacement Well ☐ Test Hole ☐ Recharge Well ☐ Dewatering Well ☒ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole ☐ Alteration (Construction) ☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply ☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality ☐ Abandoned, other, specify ☐ Other, specify	

Construction Record - Screen				
Outside Diameter (cm/in) 5.8	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) PVC	Slot No. 10	Depth (m/ft) From To 3.6 5.1	

Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify		Depth (m/ft) From To	Diameter (cm/in)
Water found at Depth (m/ft) Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify			
Water found at Depth (m/ft) Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify			

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information			
Business Name of Well Contractor GEORGE DOWNING ESTATE DRILLING	Well Contractor's Licence No. 1 8 4 4		
Business Address (Street Number/Name) 410 RVE PRINCIPALE GRENVILLE-SUR-LA-ROCHE	Municipality		
Province QC	Postal Code J0V 1B0	Business E-mail Address downing@hawk	
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code)	Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)		
Well Technician's Licence No.	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor		Date Submitted 20130801

Results of Well Yield Testing				
After test of well yield, water was: ☐ Clear and sand free ☐ Other, specify	Draw Down		Recovery	
	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:	Static Level			
	1		1	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)	2		2	
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)	3		3	
Duration of pumping hrs + min	4		4	
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)	5		5	
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)	10		10	
	15		15	
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)	20		20	
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)	25		25	
Well production (l/min / GPM)	30		30	
Disinfected? ☐ Yes ☐ No	40		40	
	50		50	
	60		60	

Map of Well Location	
Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.	

Comments: MW 12-20, SEE ATTACHED ALSO	
Well owner's information package delivered ☐ Yes ☐ No	Date Package Delivered Y Y Y Y M M D D 20130523
Date Work Completed 20130523	Ministry Use Only Audit No. Z 161278 AUG 07 2013

Measurements recorded in: ☐ Metric ☒ Imperial

A **Book of the Month**

Well Owner's Information

First Name	Last Name / Organization	E-mail Address		☐ Well Constructed ☒ Well Owner	
	J.P. Chenier Company Ltd	C/o 1384341 Ontario Ltd			
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name)		Municipality	Province	Postal Code	Telephone No. (inc. area code)
9094 Cavanagh Road		Ashton	ON	K0A 1B0	

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) 6279 Pembank Road		Township Goulbourn	Lot P/L 3	Concession 10
County/District/Municipality Ottawa-Carleton		City/Town/Village Stittsville		Province Ontario
Postal Code 		UTM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing NAD 83 18 428097 50 11040		Municipal Plan and Sublot Number Other

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

[illegible]

Annular Space

Depth Set at (m/ft)		Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)
From	To		
27'	6'	3/8 Hole Plug	1 Bag
6'	0'	Back fill	

Method of Construction

☐ Cable Tool	☐ Diamond	☐ Public	☐ Commercial	☐ Not used
☐ Rotary (Conventional)	☐ Jetting	☐ Domestic	☐ Municipal	☐ Dewatering
☐ Rotary (Reverse)	☐ Driving	☐ Livestock	☐ Test Hole	☐ Monitoring
☐ Boring	☐ Digging	☐ Irrigation	☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning	
☐ Air percussion		☐ Industrial		
☐ Other, specify _____		☐ Other, specify _____		

Well Use

☐ Public	☐ Commercial	☐ Not used
☐ Domestic	☐ Municipal	☐ Dewatering
☐ Livestock	☐ Test Hole	☐ Monitoring
☐ Irrigation	☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning	
☐ Industrial		
☐ Other, <i>specify</i> _____		

Construction Record - Casing

Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		
			From	To	
					☐ Water Supply
					☐ Replacement Well
					☐ Test Hole
					☐ Recharge Well
					☐ Dewatering Well
					☐ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
					☐ Alteration (Construction)
					☐ Abandoned, Inefficient, Superfluous

Status of Well

☐ Water Supply
☐ Replacement Well
☐ Test Hole
☐ Recharge Well
☐ Dewatering Well
☐ Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
☐ Alteration (Construction)
☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply
☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality
☒ Abandoned, other, specify *construct*
☐ Other, specify

Construction Record - Screen

Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)		☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality ☒ Abandoned, other, specify _____ ☐ Other, specify _____
			From	To	
			New		Construct

Water Details

Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____	Depth (m/ft)		Diameter (cm/in.)
		From	To	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____			
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____			
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____			

Hole Diameter

Depth (m/ft)		Diameter (cm/in)
From	To	

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.		Well Contractor's Licence No. 7778	
Business Address (Street Number, Name) 6659 Franktown Road		Municipality and Richmond	
Province Ont	Postal Code K0A 2Z0	Business E-mail Address air.rock@sympatico.ca	
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) 613 813 8217		Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Desjardins, Ken	
Well Technician's Licence No. T4	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor 	Date Submitted 2016 09 30	

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was:		Draw Down		Recovery	
☐ Clear and sand free ☐ Other, <i>specify</i> _____		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:		Static Level			
		1		1	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)		2		2	
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)		3		3	
		4		4	
Duration of pumping _____ hrs + _____ min		5		5	
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)		10		10	
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)		15		15	
		20		20	
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)		25		25	
		30		30	
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)		40		40	
		50		50	
Well production (l/min / GPM)		60		60	
Disinfected? ☒ Yes ☐ No					

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.

tion

1.4 KM

0.9 KM

6279 FERNBANK ROAD

Stittsville Main Street

5

Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered	Date Package Delivered	Ministry Use Only
	Date Work Completed	Audit No.
☐ Yes	2016 07 26	223713
☒ No		NOV 28 2016
		Received

Measurements recorded in: ☒ Metric ☐ Imperial

Well Owner's Information

First Name _____ Last Name / Organization Davidson Co - Tenancy / c/o Tartan Lands Consultants Inc. E-mail Address _____ ☐ Well Constructed by Well OwnerMailing Address (Street Number/Name) 237 Somerset St. W Municipality Ottawa Province ON Postal Code K2P1A1J3 Telephone No. (inc. area code) _____

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) Shea and Fernbank Flewellyn Township _____ Lot _____ Concession _____County/District/Municipality _____ City/Town/Village Stittsville Province Ontario Postal Code _____UTM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing NAD 8 3 18429941 5610554 Municipal Plan and Sublot Number _____ Other _____

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft)
BRN	top soil		soft	0 .31
BRN	sand	silt, stones	soft	.31 2.44
GRY	silt	stones	dense	2.44 4.57
GRY	shale		layered	4.57 10.06

Annular Space			Results of Well Yield Testing			
Depth Set at (m/ft)	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)	Draw Down		Recovery	
From To			Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
0 .31	concrete monument					
.31 5.49	bentonite					
5.49 10.06	filter sand					

Method of Construction		Well Use		
☐ Cable Tool	☐ Diamond	☐ Public	☐ Commercial	☐ Not used
☐ Rotary (Conventional)	☐ Jetting	☐ Domestic	☐ Municipal	☐ Dewatering
☐ Rotary (Reverse)	☐ Driving	☐ Livestock	☒ Test Hole	☒ Monitoring
☐ Boring	☐ Digging	☐ Irrigation	☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning	
☒ Air percussion		☐ Industrial		
☐ Other, specify _____		☐ Other, specify _____		

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		
			From To		
5.20	PVC	.340	0 7.01		

Construction Record - Screen				Status of Well	
Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)		
			From To		
6.03	PVC	10	7.01 10.06		

Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested	Depth (m/ft) From To	Diameter (cm/in)
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested	0 6.1	11.43
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas ☐ Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested	6.1 10.06	7.62

Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____	Depth (m/ft) From	Diameter (cm/in) To
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____	0	6.1 11.43
Water found at Depth (m/ft) ☐ Gas	Kind of Water: ☐ Fresh ☐ Untested ☐ Other, specify _____	6.1 10.06	7.62

Map of Well Location	
Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.	
Comments:	

Well owner's information package delivered		Date Package Delivered	Ministry Use Only	
☐ Yes	☐ No	Y Y Y Y M M D D	Audit No. <u>277801</u>	
		Date Work Completed	<u>AUG 20 2018</u>	
		<u>20180408</u>	Received	