



120 Iber Road, Suite 103
Ottawa, Ontario K2S 1E9
Tel. (613)836-0856
Fax (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

FOR

RICHCRAFT HOMES TRAILS EDGE NORTH

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 20-1195

**JUNE 2025
© DSEL**

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

FOR

RICHCRAFT HOMES TRAILS EDGE NORTH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Existing Conditions.....	3
1.2	Development Concept.....	5
1.3	Required Permits / Approvals.....	6
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS.....	8
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	8
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	9
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	9
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design.....	9
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion.....	12
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	14
4.1	Existing Wastewater Services.....	14
4.2	Wastewater Design	14
4.3	Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	17
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	18
5.1	Existing Stormwater Drainage.....	18
5.2	Stormwater Design.....	18
	5.2.1 Minor System Conveyance.....	20
	5.2.2 Major System Conveyance.....	22

5.2.3	Water Quality Control	23
5.2.4	Water Quantity Control	23
5.2.5	Supporting Stormwater Modelling	23
5.2.6	Hydraulic Grade line (HGL)	24
5.2.7	Required Storage Assessment	24
5.3	Infiltration.....	26
5.4	Stormwater Servicing Conclusions	27
6.0	GRADING AND DRAINAGE	28
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.....	28
8.0	UTILITIES	29
9.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	30

FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1: STUDY AREA LOCATION 2

TABLES

TABLE 1-1: DEVELOPMENT PROJECTED POPULATION (UPDATED 2025)..... 5
TABLE 1-2: REQUIRED PERMITS/APPROVALS 6
TABLE 3-1: WATER SUPPLY DESIGN CRITERIA..... 10
TABLE 3-2: WATER DEMAND ESTIMATE AND COMPARISON TO EQUIVALENT MSS DEMANDS 11
TABLE 4-1: WASTEWATER DESIGN CRITERIA 15
TABLE 4-2: PEAK SANITARY FLOW CONTRIBUTION TO MH1A 16
TABLE 5-1: STORM SEWER DESIGN CRITERIA 19
TABLE 5-2: PEAK RATIONAL METHOD STORM FLOW CONTRIBUTION TO EUC POND 1 22
TABLE 5-3: ESTIMATED STORAGE VOLUMES FOR MULTI-RESIDENTIAL, EMPLOYMENT, AND PARK BLOCKS.... 25
TABLE 5-4: ESTIMATED STORAGE VOLUMES FOR RESIDENTIAL UNIT AREA 26

DRAWINGS

DRAWING 1:	CONCEPTUAL GRADING PLAN
DRAWING 2:	STORM SERVICING PLAN
DRAWING 3:	SANITARY SERVICING PLAN
DRAWING 4:	WATERMAIN SERVICING PLAN
DRAWING 5:	PROFILES
DRAWING 6:	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

APPENDICES

- Appendix A
- Trailsedge Phase 5 Concept Plan (NAK, May 2025)
 - Unit Layout Draft Plan (AOV, May 2025)
 - Servicing Guidelines Checklist (DSEL, June 2025)
- Appendix B
- Excerpts from MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
- Appendix C
- Water Demand Calculations (DSEL, June 2025)
 - MSS Comparison Water Demand Calculations (DSEL, June 2025)
- Appendix D
- Sanitary Servicing Design (DSEL, June 2025)
 - Sanitary Servicing Design Excerpt from Glenview Homes Site Servicing and SWM report (NOVATECH, March 2022)
 - Sanitary Servicing Design Excerpts from MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
- Appendix E
- Figure 1 – Sample Area Ponding Volume (DSEL, June 2025)
 - Stormwater Servicing Design (DSEL, June 2025)
 - Stormwater Servicing Design Excerpts from MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
 - Stormwater HGL Preliminary Analysis (DSEL, June 2025)
 - Trinity Development Stormwater Servicing Excerpts from MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
 - ICD Plan, Excerpt from Innes Road and Belcourt Boulevard Commercial Development (2013)

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

FOR

**RICHCRAFT HOMES
TRAILS EDGE NORTH**

JUNE 2025

**CITY OF OTTAWA
PROJECT NO.: 20-1195**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained by the Richcraft Group of Companies to prepare a Functional Servicing Report (FSR) in support of a draft plan of subdivision application for the proposed Trails Edge North development. This document supports the initial servicing strategy for the development area and provides an overview of the anticipated municipal infrastructure requirements related to water, sanitary, and storm services. This FSR has been prepared in coordination with supporting technical studies, including a geotechnical investigation, environmental reports, and planning documentation submitted as part of the application.

The study area is located within the City of Ottawa's urban boundary, in Innes Ward. The site is bounded by future residential lands to the west, Mer Bleue Road to the east, existing commercial lands fronting Innes Road to the north, and a Hydro Corridor to the south. The study area encompasses approximately 82 hectares and includes the following land parcels:

- PIN 04404-1303
- PIN 04404-0280
- PIN 04404-0503
- PIN 04404-0539
- PIN 04404-0541
- PIN 04404-0542
- PIN 04404-0543
- PIN 04404-0544




Figure 1-1: Study Area Location

The proposed development includes a mix of residential, employment, and open space uses supported by a local road network with right-of-way widths ranging from 13.40 m (window street adjacent to SWM pond) to 28m (Fern Casey extension).

This FSR builds upon the recommendations and servicing framework established in the *Master Servicing Study for East Urban Community Phase 3 Area Community Design Plan* (MSS) (DSEL, Dec 2020), which identifies the trunk infrastructure and servicing strategy required to support development across the broader Phase 3 area.

The Master Servicing Study was completed to support the Official Plan Amendment based on the East Urban Community Phase 3 Area Community Design Plan (CDP) (Fotenn, 2020), and established a coordinated approach for stormwater management, water distribution, and sanitary servicing.

The MSS identifies existing municipal infrastructure and environmental constraints, outlines the neighbourhood-level trunk services required to support all properties within the study area, establishes stormwater management targets for future site-specific plans, and identifies the infrastructure upgrades necessary to support full build-out.

The purpose of this report is to:

- Present a preliminary servicing strategy to support the Trails Edge North subdivision application;
- Confirm that municipal services can be provided in accordance with the City of Ottawa's design standards and the MSS framework;
- Identify where deviations from the MSS strategy may be appropriate to support efficient development phasing or optimization of infrastructure; and,
- Outline the general approach to stormwater management, minor and major system conveyance, and outlet strategies for the site.

This report is intended to inform City and Agency review of the draft plan of subdivision application and will be further refined through detailed design.

1.1 Existing Conditions

The Trails Edge North study area consists primarily of Greenfield land, historically cleared for agricultural purposes. The following provides an overview of current site conditions based on available documentation, planning context, and technical studies. Select soil and groundwater information is summarized from the *Geotechnical – Existing Conditions Report (PG3130-3, Revision 2)*, prepared by Paterson Group, dated April 24, 2025.

Site Description and Topography

The study area is located in the Innes Ward of the City of Ottawa, within a mixed-use context. It is bounded by commercial lands to the north, Mer Bleue Road to the east, future residential development to the west, and a hydro corridor to the south. The site is generally flat, with a mild slope trending westward and southward, and sits approximately at grade with adjacent roadways and properties. A snow disposal facility operated by the City of Ottawa is located at 2170 Innes Road, adjacent to the southern site boundary. A stormwater management facility is located in the northwest portion of the site and currently provides treatment for runoff from the existing commercial development. Treated flows are directed via open ditch to the existing East Urban Community (EUC) Pond 1 stormwater management facility, which serves the broader Phase 3 area.

Drainage and Watershed Context

As identified in the Master Servicing Study (DSEL, 2020), the site has historically contributed drainage to both the Mud Creek and Bilberry Creek watersheds. Based on the most recent delineation at the time of the MSS, the full study area is now understood to drain to the Mud Creek watershed. Runoff from the existing SWM facility flows southward to EUC Pond 1 via an open conveyance system.

Soil and Groundwater Conditions

Subsurface conditions vary across the site. In the northern portion, shallow bedrock is overlain by topsoil, silty sand, and stiff silty clay or clayey silt. The remainder of the site is generally underlain by sensitive silty clay. The bedrock geology consists of interbedded limestone and dolomite of the Gull River Formation, with overburden thicknesses ranging from 0 to 30 m. Atterberg limit testing of the silty clay yielded Plasticity Index values between 24 and 51, confirming high plasticity. Grain size distribution tests supported the soil classifications observed in the field.

Groundwater levels measured during the geotechnical investigation ranged from 0.2 m to 7.3 m below existing grade. Long-term groundwater is estimated between 2.5 m and 3.5 m, with seasonal fluctuations anticipated.

Development Constraints and Adjacent Lands

As noted in the Geotechnical – Existing Conditions Report, East Urban Community Mixed Use CDP (Paterson Group, April 2025), certain areas of the site are subject to maximum permissible grade raises of 2.0 m and 2.5 m. Development in areas where shallow bedrock is present may require blasting, subject to further detailed review.

To the west of the study area, Glenview Homes is advancing a residential development at 3610 Innes Road. A preliminary road and servicing network for Trails Edge North has been coordinated to reflect this adjacent context. Drawings 1–4 illustrate the current development interface and are subject to refinement through subsequent applications

1.2 Development Concept

The proposed development concept for Trails Edge North is illustrated in Appendix A. The plan includes a balanced mix of residential, employment, park, and open space land uses, supported by a structured and interconnected road network. The residential component comprises approximately 253 detached single-family homes, 367 traditional townhomes, 56 bungalow-style towns, 83 dual front towns, and 144 back-to-back towns. In addition, five multi-unit residential blocks are proposed to accommodate a range of low, medium, and high-density housing forms. Employment areas, public parkland, and open space corridors are integrated to support community needs and create a complete neighbourhood.

The street network has been designed to align with the City’s road classification standards, incorporating a hierarchy of local and collector roads. Local streets will be constructed with right-of-way (ROW) widths ranging from 13.40 m (window street adjacent to SWM pond) to 18 m, while collector roads will have ROW widths of 24 m and 28 m (Fern Casey extension). The configuration of the road network reflects refinements made during the Draft Plan of Subdivision stage and considers the preliminary servicing and access alignments of adjacent properties, particularly the Glenview Homes lands to the west.

Table 1-1 below provides a breakdown of residential unit counts and the corresponding population projections based on current City of Ottawa design guidelines population per unit (PPU). These values have been applied consistently to support municipal infrastructure planning, as outlined in this FSR.

Table 1-1: Development Projected Population (Updated 2025)

Land Use	Unit Count	Residential Population (PPU)	Projected Population
Singles	253	3.4	861
Towns	367	2.7	991
Bungalow Towns	56	2.7	152
Dual Front Towns	83	2.7	225
Back-to-Back Towns	144	2.7	389
Subtotal Freehold Units	903	—	2,618
Block 352 (Low Density)	60	2.7	162
Block 280 (Low Density)	53	2.7	144
Block 261 (Medium Density)	156	2.3	359
Block 388 (High Density)	264	1.8	476
Block 389 (High Density)	240	1.8	432
Subtotal Multi-Units	773	—	1,573
Total Units	1,676	—	4,191

While the proposed development concept maintains the general structure outlined in the East Urban Community (EUC) Phase 3 Community Design Plan (CDP) and the associated Master Servicing Study (MSS), refinements have been made to respond to site-specific conditions, updated design standards, and coordination with adjacent development. The resulting total projected population of 4,191 represents a slight decrease (approximately 16%) compared to the MSS projection of 4,868 residents. This remains within the overall servicing capacity anticipated by the MSS.

The subdivision will be implemented in phases, guided by the developer’s market and construction strategy. Where necessary, temporary access routes and out-of-phase servicing extensions may be employed to support phased development. These interim measures will be subject to City review and approval.

High-density and mixed-use blocks, as well as the employment lands, are expected to be developed through future site plan control applications. While this FSR outlines the anticipated servicing approach for these blocks, detailed servicing designs will be reviewed and approved through subsequent development applications.

1.3 Required Permits / Approvals

The City of Ottawa must approve detailed engineering design drawings and reports prior to construction of the municipal infrastructure identified in this report. This is expected to occur as part of the next steps in the Draft Plan of Subdivision process.

The specific additional approvals and permits listed in Table 1-2 are expected to be required prior to construction of the municipal infrastructure detailed herein. Please note that other permits and approvals may be required, as detailed in the other studies submitted as part of the Draft Plan of Subdivision application (e.g. Tree Conservation Report, Environmental Impact Statement, Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, etc.). Coordination and permissions from the landowner will be required for any infrastructure works located outside of the study area.

Table 1-2: Required Permits/Approvals

Agency	Approval Type	Trigger	Remarks
Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)	Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)	Construction of new sanitary and storm sewers	Required for wastewater and stormwater systems. The City reviews and approves design submissions on behalf of MECP under the Transfer of Review program.
	Permit to Take Water (PTTW)	Dewatering >400,000 L/day	Required for significant groundwater pumping during construction (e.g., for deep servicing or basement excavation). Application includes hydrogeological support documentation.

	Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR)	Dewatering between 50,000–400,000 L/day	Registration-based permit process for moderate-volume dewatering. Typically used where full PTTW is not required but construction still necessitates controlled groundwater removal.
Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA)	Permit under Ontario Regulation 174/06	Closure or alteration of drainage features, grading in regulated areas	Required where development, grading, or infrastructure works interfere with regulated ditches or existing drainage features within conservation authority jurisdiction. Permit ensures appropriate protection of watercourses and wetland functions.
City of Ottawa	Detailed Engineering Design Approval	Construction of municipal infrastructure	Required under the Draft Plan of Subdivision process. The City must review and approve detailed design drawings and supporting reports to ensure compliance with municipal standards and servicing capacity.
	MECP Form 1 – Record of Watermains (Authorized as a Future Alteration)	Construction of watermains	Required under the MECP Transfer of Review program. The City reviews the design and submits Form 1 documentation confirming conformance with MECP criteria.
	Commence Work Notification (CWN)	Start of construction	A formal notification issued by the City allowing construction to proceed following receipt of all applicable approvals and permits. May require coordination with MECP depending on the infrastructure.
	Legal access or servicing agreements	Infrastructure outside subject lands	Legal access, easements, or permissions may be required for works located beyond the study area boundary, such as sewer outlets or temporary grading. Coordination with affected landowners is necessary.

Please note that the design of the Frank Bender Street extension must consider mitigation measures for the adjacent snake habitat. This right-of-way also accommodates municipal services, including culvert connections. Detailed design of the road and associated services will be required and is expected to be subject to site-specific permitting requirements.

In addition, approvals will be required for the proposed road crossing of the Hydro One Corridor and any associated municipal infrastructure located within the corridor. Coordination with Hydro One will be necessary for both the servicing design and adjacent land use planning.

2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The preparation of this Functional Servicing Report has been informed by the following applicable engineering guidelines, municipal standards, and supporting technical studies:

City of Ottawa Guidelines and Bulletins

- **Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines**, City of Ottawa, October 2012 (SDG002)
 - Including all applicable Technical Bulletins.
- Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution, City of Ottawa, July 2010
 - Including all applicable Technical Bulletins.

Provincial Guidelines

- **Design Guidelines for Sewage Works**, Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), 2008
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, MECP, March 2003
- **Appendix A – Stormwater Management Criteria**, Consolidated Linear Infrastructure Environmental Compliance Approval (CLI-ECA). (MECP)

Supporting Technical Reports

- Existing Conditions Water Budget, Palmer, December 2014
- First Innes Shopping Centres SWM Report – Phase 3 Update, Stantec, February 2006
- Geotechnical – Existing Conditions Report, Paterson Group, PG3130-2, Revision 2, April 24, 2025
- Conceptual Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report, Novatech, 2020
- Mud Creek Cumulative Impact Study, Stantec, 2020
- Environmental Impact Study for Draft Plan of Subdivision, Kilgour, 2025

3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The study area lies within the existing City of Ottawa Pressure Zone 2E. An elevated water storage tank located on Frank Bender Street supports pressure regulation and system balancing within this zone.

The surrounding municipal water infrastructure includes:

- A 600 mm diameter watermain along the Hydro Corridor to the south
- A 400 mm diameter watermain within Mer Bleue Road to the east
- A 300 mm diameter watermain within Jargeau Road to the west
- A 300–600 mm diameter watermain within Frank Bender Street (formerly Belcourt Extension) to the north of the study area
- A 400–600 mm diameter watermain within Innes Road

The existing watermain infrastructure is shown in Drawing 4.

3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

The water distribution strategy for the study area is based on the alignment and sizing of trunk watermains established in the approved Master Servicing Study (MSS). These mains are required to support full buildout of the East Urban Community Phase 3 Area and have been designed to meet the City of Ottawa's Water Supply Guidelines.

Per the MSS (shown in excerpts in Appendix B), in support of full buildout of the MSS area, the following trunk watermains illustrated in Drawing 4 are required within the study area:

- A 300 mm diameter watermain along Fern Casey Street, connecting to the existing 600 mm main within the Hydro Corridor
- A 300 mm diameter watermain along Frank Bender Street, connecting to the existing 300 mm main on Frank Bender Street to the north
- A 300 mm diameter watermain along Jargeau Road, providing connections to both the existing 400 mm main on Mer Bleue Road and a 300 mm connection within the adjacent development to the west

A conceptual layout of the local watermain network is included in Appendix C, demonstrating that a continuous, looped system can be achieved. While generally consistent with the MSS, some realignment has been made to accommodate the updated road network and servicing corridors.

As part of detailed design, hydraulic modelling of trunk and local watermains will be undertaken to confirm that the proposed local network complies with the City's Water Supply Guidelines.

This process may identify the need for additional servicing easements or minor refinements to the lot fabric in the Draft Plan of Subdivision. Richcraft Homes may also seek approval from the City to implement minor alignment or sizing changes during detailed design, provided that such modifications do not result in adverse impacts on service capacity or environmental conditions.

Table 3-1 summarizes the water supply design criteria used in the preparation of the preliminary servicing concept. The criteria are consistent with the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and the MECP Design Guidelines.

Table 3-1: Water Supply Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value
Residential - Single Family	3.4 p/unit
Residential - Townhome/ Semi	2.7 p/unit
Residential - Apartment	1.8 p/unit
Residential Average Daily Demand	280 L/c/d
Residential - Maximum Daily Demand	2.5 x Average Daily Demand
Residential - Peak Hour Demand	2.2 x Maximum Day
Residential - Minimum Hourly Demand	0.5 x Average Daily Demand
Commercial/Institutional Average Daily Demand	35,000 L/gross ha/day
Park Average Daily Demand	9,300 L/gross ha/day
Commercial/Institutional Maximum Daily Demand	1.5 x Average Daily Demand
Commercial/Institutional Maximum Hour Demand	1.8 x Maximum Daily Demand
Commercial/Institutional Minimum Hourly Demand	0.5 x Average Daily Demand
Minimum Watermain Size	150mm diameter
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4m from top of watermain to finished grade
During normal operating conditions desired operating pressure is within	350kPa and 480kPa
During normal operating conditions pressure must not drop below	276kPa

During normal operating conditions pressure must not exceed	552kPa
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop below	140kPa
Notes: Extracted from Section 4: Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution (July 2010), Table 4.1 - Per Unit Populations and Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems (MECP, 2008), Table 3-1 Peaking Factors. No Outdoor Water Demand considered for residential uses.	

The MSS contemplated the development of the study area by employing a 15,000 L/min fire flow for the design of the trunk watermain network and an average water demand allowance based on the following consumption rates: single family homes at 570 L/unit/d and 1050 L/unit/day outdoor water demand; towns at 560 L/unit/d; apartments at 400 L/unit/day; and employment at 8500 L/ha/d. The trunk watermain network, as identified in the MSS, was designed accordingly.

Table 3-2 summarizes the preliminary water demand estimates prepared for this report, along with a comparison to equivalent values from the MSS. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendix C.

Fire flow requirements will be established at detailed design in accordance with the City of Ottawa Water Supply Guidelines, Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS), and the Ontario Building Code. For planning purposes, preliminary fire flow assumptions have been applied using available information from the concept plan and comparable developments. Where applicable, mitigation measures may be considered to reduce required fire flows.

Table 3-2: Water Demand Estimate and Comparison to Equivalent MSS Demands

	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour		Fire Flow Requirement
	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	L/min
Residential Demand	1173.5	814.9	2,933.7	2,037.3	2,581.7	1,792.8	10000 L/min* (per ISDTB-2014-02)
Commercial Demand	798.70	554.7	1198.1	832.0	2156.5	1497.6	15000 L/min (considered adequate for most types of

Park	54.50	37.8	81.7	56.8	147.1	102.2	structures and occupancies, but is to be confirmed at the detailed design level)
Total Demands	2,026.7	1,407.4	4,213.5	2,926.0	2,303.6	1,599.7	
Demands for Study Area under MSS Dev Stats	2,101.2	1,459.2	4,515.2	3,135.5	4,991.7	3,466.4	

*Residential Fire Flow demands will be confirmed at detailed design. There is a possibility certain units may not meet the requirements to apply the 10,000 L/min cap. In these instances, the Fire Flow demand will be calculated in accordance with the FUS method per the *Water Supply Guidelines*. Mitigation measures may also be proposed to lower the required Fire Flow.

As noted in Section 1.2, the proposed Draft Plan reflects a slight decrease in anticipated population compared to the derived MSS projections. Accordingly, the estimated water demand under the current development concept is lower than projected in the MSS. As such, the MSS adequately considered the watermain servicing of the study area, and no additional modelling or design information is required in support of the Draft Plan of Subdivision.

3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

The study area will be serviced by the City of Ottawa’s 2E pressurized water supply network, with connections made through the trunk watermain infrastructure identified in the approved Master Servicing Study (MSS) and supported by a network of looped local distribution mains.

The water distribution system is to be designed to meet maximum hour and maximum day plus fire flow demands, in accordance with the City of Ottawa Water Supply Guidelines and all applicable Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) standards.

The proposed Draft Plan yields a total water demand that is lower than what was previously considered in the MSS for the study area. Accordingly, the trunk watermains identified in the MSS are expected to provide sufficient capacity to support the proposed development.

Detailed hydraulic modelling will be completed at the time of detailed design to confirm the phasing of trunk watermain extensions and to finalize the sizing and alignment of local watermains. Fire flow requirements will also be reviewed based on the proposed land use

types and will be confirmed in accordance with the Fire Underwriters Survey, City Water Supply Guidelines, and the Ontario Building Code.

4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The study area is located within the catchment of the City of Ottawa's Forest Valley Trunk (FVT) sanitary system, which is part of the broader sanitary servicing strategy for the East Urban Community. The FVT is a major regional trunk sewer that provides conveyance capacity to support multiple planned and existing developments in this area of Orléans.

The surrounding municipal wastewater infrastructure includes:

- A 600 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer within Beaugency Road to the west
- A 675 mm diameter sanitary sewer stub located at the western boundary of the Glenview lands on Street 3, extending from the adjacent Orléans Village development.
- A 900 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer within Pagé Road further to the west of adjacent subdivision.

The existing sanitary infrastructure offers a direct and efficient connection to the City's sanitary network, eliminating the need for temporary pumping or downstream upgrades. The location of the surrounding sanitary infrastructure and available connection points is illustrated in Drawing 3.

4.2 Wastewater Design

The study area is expected to be serviced by an internal gravity trunk sanitary sewer system ranging in diameter from 300 mm to 600 mm, which is to follow the local road network and select servicing easements. The proposed sanitary servicing layout is illustrated in Drawing 3. As the project proceeds to detailed design, refinements to sewer alignment and sizing will be undertaken, and additional easements may be identified. These changes could necessitate minor adjustments to the lot layout in the Draft Plan to accommodate final infrastructure requirements.

The wastewater servicing strategy is guided by the approved Master Servicing Study (MSS), which identifies the Forest Valley Trunk (FVT) sanitary sewer as the primary outlet for the study area. This connection is intended to be provided through an internal trunk sewer extending west from the site, ultimately connecting to the FVT at Pagé Road via existing public corridors, including Nature Trail Crescent, Ponthieu Circle, and Beaugency Street within the adjacent Orléans Village development.

The MSS confirmed that this segment of the FVT possesses sufficient capacity to accommodate peak sanitary flows from the study area.

In accordance with the MSS, the combined peak flow from these contributing areas—directed to maintenance hole MH1A within the Orléans Village development—was estimated at 113.41 L/s. Refer to Appendix D for the supporting calculations and capacity confirmation.

To guide detailed design, Table 4-1: Wastewater Design Criteria outlines the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and assumptions applied to the preliminary design of the internal sanitary sewer network.

Table 4-1: Wastewater Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value
Residential - Single Family	3.4p/unit
Residential – Townhome/ Semi	2.7p/unit
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per
Peaking Factor	Harmon’s Peaking Factor, where K=0.8
Commercial / Institutional Flows	35,000 L/gross ha/day
Commercial / Institutional Peak Factor	1.5 if contribution >20%, otherwise 1.0
Light Industrial Flows	35,000 L/gross ha/day
Industrial Peaking Factor	Per Figure in Appendix 4-B, City of Ottawa Guidelines
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.33 L/s/gross ha for all areas
Park Flows	9,300 L/ha/d (75 p/acre per Sewer Guidelines Appendix 4-A)
Park Peaking Factor	1.0
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning’s Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} AR^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter
Minimum Manning’s ‘n’	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012, Technical Bulletins, and recent residential subdivisions in City of Ottawa.	

As stated above, the Master Servicing Study (MSS) allocated a peak sanitary flow of 133.41 L/s at MH1A. According to the Glenview storm sewer design sheet, 101.45 L/s was

estimated to discharge at MH1A, consisting of 12.52 L/s from the Glenview Homes development and 88.93 L/s as the anticipated future contribution from the Richcraft Homes development.

Table 4-2 presents a comparison of peak sanitary flow estimates from the current Draft Plan of Subdivision and the original MSS assumptions. The updated peak flow directed to MH1A, which includes contributions from both the Richcraft study area and the adjacent Glenview lands, is calculated at 91.15 L/s. This represents a 24% reduction from the original MSS estimate of 113.41 L/s, primarily due to a lower projected population than previously assumed.

Furthermore, the total peak flow remains below the conservative value of 120.83 L/s used in the MSS to assess capacity within the Forest Valley Trunk. As a result, no updates to the trunk sewer design or downstream infrastructure are required.

Supporting calculations are provided in Appendix D.

Table 4-2: Peak Sanitary Flow Contribution to MH1A

	Richcraft Homes (DSEL, Jun 2025)	Glenview Homes (NOVATECH, Mar 2022)	MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
Contributing Drainage Area (Extranous)	82.24 ha	15.5 ha	99.8 ha
Population	4,191	1,218	7,181
Peak Flow	2.68 (MH 142A) + 75.94 (MH 127A) = 78.62 L/s	12.52 L/s	
Total Flow to MH1A	91.14 L/s		113.41 L/s

To provide design flexibility, Richcraft Homes may request City approval at detailed design for minor adjustments to pipe sizing or alignment, provided that such modifications do not adversely affect servicing capacity or environmental performance.

4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The proposed wastewater servicing strategy for the study area has been developed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, applicable Technical Bulletins, and all relevant MECP design standards.

Sanitary servicing will be provided through a gravity-based internal sewer network ranging from 300 mm to 600 mm in diameter. This network will convey flows through the study area and connect to the Forest Valley Trunk (FVT) at Pagé Road via existing infrastructure within adjacent developments. This configuration aligns with the recommendations of the approved Master Servicing Study (MSS) and ensures coordinated integration with regional sanitary infrastructure.

The anticipated peak sanitary flow, including contributions from both the study area and the adjacent Glenview lands, remains below the design capacity confirmed in the Master Servicing Study (MSS). The total projected flow does not exceed the conservative threshold established for the Forest Valley Trunk (FVT), and therefore no downstream upgrades are required.

5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage

The study area consists primarily of undeveloped land, with portions having undergone phased development through prior applications, including the construction of two stormwater management facilities: Pond 1 and Pond 3. These ponds were designed to support development within the East Urban Community (EUC) Phase 3 lands.

In the northwestern portion of the site, an existing SWM facility services the commercial development at 3730 Innes Road. This facility was developed as part of the Innes Road and Belcourt SWM System (IBI Group, 2009), which was designed to manage runoff from the Trinity commercial development. Treated flows are conveyed via an open ditch to EUC Pond 1.

Adjacent to the southern boundary of the study area, the City of Ottawa operates a municipal snow disposal facility at 2170 Innes Road. This facility is physically adjacent but not connected to the Trails Edge North stormwater network.

To the west of the study area, a recently developed subdivision has been constructed between the Trails Edge North lands and Page Road. This adjacent development follows the servicing strategy outlined in the MSS and has been considered in the grading and stormwater planning for Trails Edge North.

The existing EUC Pond 1 serves as the downstream receiving facility for the area. It was designed to accommodate a total contributing drainage area of 326 ha, with an average imperviousness of 57%. The facility was sized to provide a normal level of protection, targeting 70% average long-term suspended solids removal in accordance with MECP guidelines.

5.2 Stormwater Design

The stormwater servicing strategy for Trails Edge North has been developed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (2012), Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01, and the MECP Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (2003). Design principles are further guided by the East Urban Community (EUC) Phase 3 Master Servicing Study (MSS) (DSEL, 2020) and reflect the drainage conditions and layout presented in Drawings 1 through 4.

The proposed subdivision includes a mix of detached homes, townhomes, back-to-back townhomes, park blocks, employment lands, open space, and a supporting road network.

Stormwater drainage is managed through a dual system consisting of minor (piped) and major (overland) conveyance components.

Table 5-1 summarizes the standards that will be employed in the future detailed design of the storm sewer network, meeting the requirements in **Section 5.2**.

Table 5-1: Storm Sewer Design Criteria

Design Parameter	Value
Minor System Design Return Period	1:2 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for local roads, without ponding 1:5 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for collector roads, without ponding 1:10 year (PIEDTB-2016-01) for arterial roads, without ponding
Major System Design Return Period	1:100 year
Intensity Duration Frequency Curve (IDF) 2-year storm event: A=732.951 B=6.199 C=0.810 5-year storm event: A = 998.071 B = 6.053 C = 0.814	$i = \frac{A}{(t_c + B)^C}$
Minimum Time of Concentration	10 minutes
Rational Method	$Q = CiA$
Storm sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} AR^{2/3} S^{1/2}$
Runoff coefficient for paved and roof areas	0.9
Runoff coefficient for landscaped areas	0.2
Minimum Sewer Size	250 mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n' for pipe flow	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.0m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.8 m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	6.0 m/s (where velocities in excess of 3.0 m/s are proposed, provision shall be made to protect against displacement of sewers by sudden movement)

Clearance from 100-Year Hydraulic Grade Line to Building Opening	0.30 m
Max. Allowable Flow Depth on Municipal Roads	35 cm above gutter (PIEDTB-2016-01)
Extent of Major System	To be contained within the municipal right-of-way or adjacent to the right-of-way provided that the water level must not touch any part of the building envelope and must remain below the lowest building opening during the stress test event (100-year + 20%) and 15cm vertical clearance is maintained between spill elevation on the street and the ground elevation at the nearest building envelope (PIEDTB-2016-01)
Stormwater Management Model	DDSWMM (release 2.1), SWMHYMO (v. 5.02) and XPSWMM (v. 10)
Model Parameters	Fo = 76.2 mm/hr, Fc = 13.2 mm/hr, DCAY = 4.14/hr, D.Stor.Imp. = 1.57 mm, D.Stor.Per. = 4.67 mm
Imperviousness	Based on runoff coefficient (C) where $\text{Percent Imperviousness} = (C - 0.2) / 0.7 \times 100\%$.
Design Storms	Chicago 3-hour Design Storms and 24-hour SCS Type II Design Storms. Maximum intensity averaged over 10 minutes.
Historical Events	July 1st, 1979, August 4th, 1988 and August 8th, 1996
Climate Change Street Test	20% increase in the 100-year, 3-hour Chicago storm
<i>Extracted from City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012 and subsequent Technical Bulletins, and based on recent residential subdivisions in the City of Ottawa.</i>	

5.2.1 Minor System Conveyance

The study area will be serviced by an internal gravity storm sewer system following the alignment of the local road network. Stormwater runoff will be conveyed to the EUC Pond 1 stormwater management (SWM) facility. The trunk storm network includes sewer pipes ranging from 450 mm to 2700 mm in diameter, as shown on Drawing 2.

Street catchbasins will collect runoff from road surfaces and front yards, while rear yard catchbasins will serve the backyards. Perforated leads will be used for rear yard catchbasins, except for the final segment connecting to the right-of-way, which will consist of solid pipe, in accordance with the current Sewer Design Guidelines.

The minor system is designed to capture flows from storm events up to and including the 2-year (local streets) and 5-year (collector streets) events assuming the use of inlet control devices (ICDs) for all street catchbasins.

Collector streets:

- Jargeau Road
- Frank Bender Street
- Fern Casey Street

Storm sewer design sheets using the Rational Method are provided in Appendix E. These sheets are based on average predicted runoff coefficients for various land uses, with assumptions consistent with those used in the Master Servicing Study (MSS). As detailed designs progress, the imperviousness and runoff coefficient values will be refined to reflect the proposed building footprints under maximum zoning, driveways, etc. At this stage, the development area and expected imperviousness for the Draft Plan of Subdivision are comparable to the assumptions in the MSS.

In accordance with the MSS, 100-year flows from Innes Park Woods, located north of the study area, and 10-year flows from a portion of Mer Bleue Road have been incorporated into the storm sewer design. Runoff from Innes Park Woods will be captured in a manner that replicates existing drainage conditions, in accordance with the recommendations of the *Environmental Impact Study for Draft Plan of Subdivision (Kilgour, 2025)*.

The existing temporary stormwater management pond within the study area is to be decommissioned and the proposed storm sewer network is to capture the flows from the commercial block to the north. Consistent with the MSS, the flow from this commercial block has been considered as controlled to 85 L/s/ha. The level of service provided by the existing temporary SWM facility must be maintained for areas currently serviced by it.

If downstream development occurs prior to the decommissioning of the temporary facility, outflows from the pond will need to be accommodated within the storm system. Once the facility is decommissioned to allow full development to proceed, contractors will be required to provide detailed staging and flow bypass plans to ensure that the level of service for the contributing drainage area is maintained. Tie-in elevations at the property line and any existing emergency overflow routes must be respected during this transition.

The only deviation from the MSS stormwater servicing strategy relates to minor land use and road alignment adjustments associated with the updated concept plan.

As shown on Drawing 2, the proposed storm sewer network is designed to direct flows to two separate northern forebays within the Pond 1 SWM facility, in accordance with the most recent design update documented in the EUC Pond 1 North Main Cell and North Forebay Modifications (DSEL, October, 2023). As indicated in Appendix E, the peak Rational Method design flow to Pond 1, accounting for 2- to 100-year events depending on land use, is estimated at 10,212 L/s

A comparison to the peak flows considered in the MSS is provided in Table 5-2. The results show a 16% reduction in peak Rational Method flow within the storm trunks. Since the development area and imperviousness remain comparable to MSS assumptions, the original MSS is considered to have adequately addressed stormwater servicing of the study area. Therefore, no additional design information is required in support of the Draft Plan of Subdivision.

Table 5-2: Peak Rational Method Storm Flow Contribution to EUC Pond 1

	Trails Edge North FSR (DSEL, July 2022)	MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)
Rational Method Peak Flow from Trunk 1/2	10,033 L/s +179 L/s =10,212 L/s	11,844 L/s

The stormwater flows from the study area were accounted for in the design and sizing of the proposed EUC Pond 1 modifications, as outlined in the MSS and further detailed in the EUC Pond 1 North Main Cell and North Forebay Modifications (DSEL, October, 2023). As such, sufficient capacity within the EUC Pond 1 SWM facility to accommodate the anticipated flows has been demonstrated and will be further confirmed during detailed design.

Note: If future development of the snow storage lot is contemplated, please note that the current storm sewer design, in accordance with the MSS, did not account for this drainage area. The system was designed with a residual capacity of approximately 15–20%, equivalent to an estimated 750 L/s of available capacity. Should inclusion of this area be pursued, a Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) assessment and corresponding pond capacity evaluation would be required.

5.2.2 Major System Conveyance

Major System Criteria:

- The major overland system will convey flows from the 100-year storm event safely along public ROWs or designated corridors.
- Flow must not contact building envelopes or reach any building openings during the 100-year + 20% climate stress test.
- A vertical clearance of at least 15 cm must be maintained between street spill elevations and adjacent building ground elevations.
- Maximum allowable ponding depth during the 100-year storm is 0.35 m at the gutter.

- Rear yard catchbasins must have defined overland relief routes with a minimum 30 cm vertical clearance from the spill point to building elevations. There will be no overland flow paths between units unless it is a dedicated Block.
- The product of flow depth and velocity on roads must be less than 0.60 m²/s.
- Local and collector roads must maintain at least half a travel lane passable during storms greater than the 5-year event. Arterial roads must maintain one lane per direction and must not receive major system flow crossings.

Additional stormwater requirements may apply at the detailed design stage, as per the City's standard practice.

Major System

Major system conveyance, or overland flow (OLF), will be provided to accommodate flows in excess of the minor system capacity. OLF is accommodated by generally routing any surface flow exceeding surface ponding along the road network or service easements towards the EUC Pond 1 SWM facility, as shown in Drawing 1.

Consistent with the MSS, the proposed major system design is to have road sags, employment, commercial, park and high density residential blocks within the study area provide onsite storage up to the 100-year storm event.

Refer to section 5.2.7 for the Required Storage Assessment.

5.2.3 Water Quality Control

EUC Pond 1 is constructed and was designed to provide quality control for Trails Edge phase 5 development.

ParameterS used as part of detailed design for EUC Pond 1: Area, Imperviousness

Parameters for this FSR: Area, imperviousness.

5.2.4 Water Quantity Control

EUC Pond 1 is constructed and was designed to provide quantity control for Trails Edge Phase 5.

5.2.5 Supporting Stormwater Modelling

The development was modelled to verify minor and major system components as part of the MSS. As there have been some changes to the road network and some land uses, a PCSWMM model was developed to verify freeboard and major system storage

requirements. The following models were developed in support of the stormwater management strategy:

- A **minor system model** to assess pipe sizing, surcharge levels, and hydraulic grade line (HGL) conditions.
- A **block-based model** to estimate storage requirements and analyze runoff characteristics under post-development conditions.

5.2.6 Hydraulic Grade line (HGL)

A preliminary hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis was completed for the proposed storm sewer system using PCSWMM, a hydrologic and hydraulic modelling software.

Design sheet flow rates were developed using the Rational Method and used as input for the PCSWMM model. A 35% increase was applied to account for additional head pressure on inlet control devices (ICDs) during the 1:100 year storm event. Incremental flows were assigned as baseline inputs in the model to match design sheet values with the 35% adjustment. In some instances, negative flows are applied to account for smaller peak flows in downstream pipes when no additional catchment is added and the time of concentration is increased.

A standard offset of 1.8 m between the road centreline and the USF was used based on standard, low-rise products with full height basements. HGL levels were verified against centerline of roads to ensure a minimum 0.3m freeboard from USF is respected. The criteria being centerline of road – HGL is less than or equal to 2.1 (1.8m centerline of road to USF + 0.3m freeboard).

The preliminary HGL analysis is provided in Appendix E, and confirm the freeboard requirement is respected. At detailed design, a detailed model will be developed to incorporate detailed grading, and respect all OSDG requirements.

5.2.7 Required Storage Assessment

A preliminary storage assessment was completed to estimate the volume required to manage runoff from the 100-year storm event. Given that the site includes a mix of residential units, multi-residential blocks (low, medium, and high density), employment blocks, and park blocks, different strategies were applied to reflect their estimated required storage.

Multi-Residential, Employment & Park Blocks

Release rates were established for the multi-residential, park, and employment blocks based on rational method peak flow estimates.

- 5-year return period was applied to multi-residential and park blocks,
- 2-year return period was applied to employment lands.

These peak flow rates are used to define the allowable release rates to the minor storm system. To estimate storage requirements, it was assumed that the first 15 metres of lot frontage drains uncontrolled to the right-of-way (ROW) . As a result, the allowable release rate for each block was adjusted (equivalent to the 1:100 year peak flow from that area) to account for this overland contribution.

The results of the PCSWMM simulations provide preliminary estimates of storage requirements for each block. Table 5-3 summarizes the key storage characteristics, including the contributing drainage area, peak inflow during the 100-year storm event, adjusted release rate, and the resulting storage volume required to manage controlled outflows.

Table 5-3: Estimated Storage Volumes for Multi-Residential, Employment, and Park Blocks

Block ID	Contributing Area (ha)	Allowable Release Rate (m ³ /s)	100yr Estimated Storage Volume (m ³)
Controlled to 2 year			
392A	4.66	0.796	945
392B	5.82	0.994	1103
393	2.31	0.350	467
391A	2.58	0.441	554
391B	1.18	0.202	239
Controlled to 5 year			
Future Block (Lot 4)	6.47	1.687	1064
390	4.05	0.469	180
279	0.61	0.071	64
277	1.20	0.139	75
389	3.00	0.695	423
388	3.30	0.765	415
352	1.38	0.247	279
261	2.48	0.503	501
280	2.04	0.367	413

Note: The required storage volumes presented assume that the first 15 metres of each block frontage contributes uncontrolled overland flow directly to the right-of-way. Should the entire block area be fully intercepted by the storm system, without overland bypass, the required storage volumes would be reduced accordingly.

Residential Unit Area

A sample area north of Frank Bender street and west of Street 5 was modeled to verify that the 1:100 can be stored in road sags and that no major overland flow will be directed towards the Hydro corridor or EUC Pond 1.

Detailed grading, including road sags was undertaken for (DESCRIBE AREA). The area was modelled using a 2year +35% minor system release rate, and 1:100 year storm. The storage within the sags was compared against the runoff volume generated from the 1:100 year storm to ensure sufficient volume is provided and ponding is kept below 0.35cm. The results are presented in Table 5-4.

Table 5-4: Estimated Storage Volumes for residential unit area

ID	Contributing Area (ha)	Max. 100yr Inflow (m ³ /s)	Designed Outflow (m ³ /s)	Max. 100yr Volume (m ³)
Sample Area				
Sample 1	2.34	0.94	0.473	203

Figure 1 of Appendix E illustrates the detailed design of the representative sample area, highlighting how the 100-year storage volume is captured within the right-of-way. The figure shows the expected surface ponding extent and depth within the roadway cross-section, demonstrating how runoff is temporarily stored and conveyed within municipal property limits. When compared against the required storage volume summarized in Table 5-4, the available ROW storage surpasses the estimated requirement, confirming the no overland flow.

As the project advances to detailed design, storage volumes, release rates, and assumptions will be refined to reflect final grading, site layout, and infrastructure configurations.

5.3 Infiltration

Per the MSS and the Existing Conditions Water Budget (Palmer, December 2014), pre-development infiltration rates are to be preserved for the limited exposed bedrock areas within the EUC Phase 3 CDP area. As discussed in the MSS, the protection of the Innes Park Woods and its surrounding buffer area to the north of the study area will ensure that the infiltration rates in this area will remain unchanged.

The Mud Creek Cumulative Impact Study (Stantec, May 2020) found that the implementation of LIDs would have little impact on the erosion protection requirements for Mud Creek, and as such has recommended that the requirement for LIDs in the EUC MUC CDP study area west of Mer Bleue include:

- A tree planting program in parkland, which is addressed in the CDP (Fotenn, 2020);

- Using infiltration trenches in backyards of singles and townhomes where feasible, which is addressed in the proposed development; and,
- Setting right-of-way widths for the majority of local roadways at 18 m to ensure healthy street trees that will be effective in providing evapotranspiration in post-development conditions, which is addressed in the proposed development.

As noted in Section 5.2.1, as part of the development residential uses, shallow rear yard swales with perforated pipes in rear yards are to be provided, in accordance with City Sewer Design Guidelines.

5.4 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

A preliminary HGL analysis was conducted as part of this phase to confirm that the proposed storm sewer system can safely convey runoff during the 100-year design event. HGL elevations were calculated throughout the system, and results were compared against corresponding manhole surface elevations to assess potential flooding. A minimum gap of 2.1 m between HGL and surface was used as the design target.

In parallel, a storage analysis was completed to estimated required volume was provided to manage 100-year storm runoff. Using PCSWMM, inflows from each contributing drainage area were routed through the storage nodes. The analysis determined the estimated total 100-year storage requirements for each subcatchment.

6.0 GRADING AND DRAINAGE

The grading for the subdivision is influenced by adjacent developments, future road elevations, and geotechnical constraints. Detailed grading will be completed at the time of detailed design. A conceptual grading plan is provided on Drawing 1.

The following grading and lot-level drainage criteria will be applied during detailed design to ensure appropriate surface drainage and minimize long-term maintenance concerns:

- Maximum driveway slope: 6%
- Minimum and maximum slopes for grassed areas: 2% to 5%
- Slopes exceeding 7% will require terracing at no steeper than 3:1
- Standard swales are to be 0.15 m deep with 3:1 side slopes, unless otherwise specified
- Perforated subdrains will be provided in swales with slopes less than 1.5% to support infiltration and reduce ponding. These may also be used to interconnect rear yard catchbasins where required.

These standards align with the City's expectations for residential land development and are intended to provide consistent surface drainage while supporting the overall stormwater strategy.

As noted in the Geotechnical – Existing Conditions Report, East Urban Community Mixed Use CDP (Paterson Group, April 2025), certain areas of the site are subject to maximum permissible grade raises of 2.0 m and 2.5 m. Where these limits are exceeded or approached, grading will be reviewed and certified by a Geotechnical Engineer prior to construction.

7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate, and topography. The extent of erosion losses is exaggerated during construction where vegetation has been removed and the top layer of soil becomes agitated.

Prior to topsoil stripping, earthworks or underground construction, erosion and sediment controls will be implemented and will be maintained throughout construction.

The following specific recommendations to the Contractor will be included in contract documents.

- Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time.
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.

- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches.
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from leaving the site and entering existing watercourses, and clean and maintain throughout construction.
- Install catchbasin inserts during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.
- Install mud mats in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.
- No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses.
- No material stockpiles within 30m of existing watercourses, unless otherwise permitted by RVCA and City of Ottawa.
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering.
- Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding.

The Contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance.

Erosion and sediment control will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

8.0 UTILITIES

Utility services extending to the site may require connections to multiple existing infrastructure points. Consultation with Enbridge gas, Hydro Ottawa, Rogers, and Bell is required as part of the development process to confirm the servicing plan for the subject lands. It is understood through preliminary discussions that there is existing infrastructure surrounding the study area. The servicing strategy is to be confirmed as the design process advances.

9.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall municipal servicing strategy for the subject property was contemplated as part of the *Master Servicing Study for East Urban Community Phase 3 Area Community Design Plan* (MSS) (DSEL, Dec 2020).

This *Functional Servicing Report* (FSR) (DSEL, June 2025) provides details on the planned on-site and off-site municipal services for the subject property, highlights proposed deviations from the MSS, and demonstrates that adequate municipal infrastructure capacity is expected to be available for the planned development of the subject property.

- Water service is to be provided to the study area via extensions of the existing 2E pressure zone watermains, including through neighbouring properties, per the MSS. The estimated population for the subdivision is lower than what was projected in the MSS, resulting in a reduced overall water demand.
- Sanitary service is to be provided to the study area via extensions of the existing sanitary sewer network through neighbouring properties, directing wastewater to the west, to the existing Forrest Valley Trunk sanitary sewer within Pagé Road. Downstream capacity has been confirmed within the MSS. As with water, the lower estimated population has resulted in reduced peak sanitary flows when compared to those assumed in the MSS.
- Consistent with the MSS, the study area is to be serviced by directing post development runoff to the EUC Pond 1 SWM facility. Capacity in the EUC Pond 1 SWM facility is demonstrated in the MSS, and will be confirmed at the time of detailed design.
- Major system conveyance will generally be accounted for by routing surface flow along the road network, service easements and the Hydro Corridor towards the EUC Pond 1 SWM facility. Consistent with the MSS, the proposed major system design is to have employment, commercial, park, medium density residential, and medium-high density residential blocks within the study area provide onsite storage up to the 100-year storm event.
- The site will be graded in accordance with City of Ottawa design guidelines and standards. Consistent with the MSS, in certain areas the proposed road grades are to be higher than the maximum permissible grade raises of 0.5-1.5 m and 2 m per the *Geotechnical – Existing Conditions Report East Urban Community Mixed Use CDP* (Paterson Group, April, 2025). The detailed grading design will be reviewed and certified by a Geotechnical Engineer prior to construction.
- Consistent with the MSS, select Low Impact Development techniques detailed in Section 5.3 will be implemented to promote infiltration of stormwater.

The proposed servicing and grading plans are expected to meet all City, RVCA, and MECP requirements as set out in background studies and current standards.

Prior to detailed design of the infrastructure presented in this report, this FSR will require approval under the *Planning Act* as supporting information for the Draft Plan of Subdivision application. Project-specific approvals are also expected to be required for the infrastructure presented in this report from the City of Ottawa, Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks, and Rideau Valley Conservation Authority, among other agencies.

Prepared By:

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Reviewed By:

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.



Per: Martin Fréchette, P.Eng.



Per: Alexandre Tourigny, P.Eng.

© DSEL

Z:\Projects\20-1195_Richcraft-EUC_North\B_Design\B3_Reports\B3-2_Servicing (DSEL)\2025-06-06_FSR

Appendix A

Servicing Guidelines Checklist & Concept Plan


U:\Sivano\2021\21116 - Trailledge Phase 5\Design\2024-06-04 Community Master Plan\dwg\2025-05-07_Trailledge Phase 5_v111.dwg




TRAILSEGE PHASE 5

LEGEND

- Single Detached
- Bungalow Towns
- Front Loaded Towns
- Dual Frontage Towns
- Back-to-Back Towns
- Low Density Multi-Block
- Medium Density
- High Density
- Parkland
- Open Space
- Natural Heritage Feature
- Employment Lands
- MUP
- Subject Lands




421 RONCESVALLES AVENUE, TORONTO, ON M6R 2N1 CANADA
T416.340.8700 F416.340.7100 NAKDESIGNSTRATEGIES.COM



DATE | 05.07.2025 PROJECT | 21116

PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN




SKETCH TO ILLUSTRATE UNIT LAYOUT ON PHASE 5 TRAILS EDGE NORTH CITY OF OTTAWA
 Prepared by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebek Ltd.
 October 16, 2020
 Revised May 7, 2025

Scale 1: 1250

Metric
 DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

LEGEND

- 31 Foot Product (9.45) = 59 Units (23%)
 - 35 Foot Product (10.67) = 95 Units (38%)
 - 44 Foot Product (13.41) = 68 Units (27%)
 - 50 Foot Product (15.24) = 31 Units (12%)
- TOTAL SINGLE FAMILY UNITS = 253**
- Townhomes = 367 Units
 - Bungalow Towns = 56 Units
 - Dual Front Towns = 83 Units
 - Back to Back Towns = 144 Units
- TOTAL MULTI-FAMILY UNITS = 650**



NO.	REVISION	DATE	BY
16	REVISED CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION	MAY 7, 2025	N
15	REVISED CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION	MAY 7, 2025	N
14	REVISED CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION	MAY 6, 2025	N
12	REVISED CONCEPT FOR DISCUSSION	APR 29, 2025	N
11	REVISIONS	APR 23, 2025	N
8	REVISIONS	JULY 5, 2022	N
6	REVISIONS	APR 19, 2022	N
5	BLOCK CHANGED TO MED. DENSITY	OCT 16, 2020	N
4	REVISIONS	MAY 15, 2020	N
3	REVISIONS	AUG 7, 2020	AKN
2	REVISIONS	MAY 11, 2020	N
1	PLAN PREPARED	JUN 6, 2020	N

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

4.1 General Content	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Executive Summary (for larger reports only). N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Date and revision number of the report. Title Page
<input type="checkbox"/>	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development. Figure 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services. Drawing 2/3/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere. Section 1.0 & Section 2.0
<input type="checkbox"/>	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies. Section 1.3
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria. All sections
<input type="checkbox"/>	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria. Section 1.0 & Section 3.2, Section 4.2, and Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area. Sections 3.1, Section 4.1, and Section 5.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available). Sections 1.1 & 1.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths. Drawing 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts. MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable. N/A. Depends on landowner preferred timing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing. Section 1.1 & Section 2.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas -Easements, road widening and rights-of-way -Adjacent street names All Figures
4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available Section 3.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development MSS & Section 3.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of system constraints MSS & Section 3.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identify boundary conditions Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	MSS. Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	MSS. Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification	MSS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	MSS. Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	MSS, Section 3.2 & Drawing 4. Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	MSS.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 3.2, Appendix C
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

<input type="checkbox"/>	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	Section 4.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1 & 4.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	MSS, Section 4.2, Appendix D
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Appendix D
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	MSS, Section 4.2, Appendix C & Drawing 3

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

<input type="checkbox"/>	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A

4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 1.1 & Section 5.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	MSS & Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Drawing 2, Appendix B
<input type="checkbox"/>	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	MSS, Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	MSS & Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information	MSS, Section 5.2, & Drawing 2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	MSS, Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	MSS, Section 5.2, Section 5.3 & Section 5.4
<input type="checkbox"/>	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	MSS, Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	MSS, Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Section 5.2, Appendix E & Drawing 2

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

<input type="checkbox"/>	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	MSS, Section 5.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	MSS, Section 5.2 & Drawing 1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	<i>MSS & EUC Pond 1 North Main Cell and North Forebay Modifications (DSEL, August 31, 2020)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	Section 7.0
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	MSS
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	Section 1.1 & 6.0

4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist


<input type="checkbox"/>	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	Section 1.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	Section 1.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	Section 1.2

4.6 Conclusion Checklist

<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 9.0
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	Attached
<input type="checkbox"/>	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario	Section 9.0

Appendix B

Excerpts from Supporting EUC Phase 3 Area CDP MSS (DSEL, Dec 2020)



NOTE:
CONCEPTUAL ROAD NETWORK PER
DEMONSTRATION PLAN. SUBJECT TO
CHANGE.

LEGEND:


[Orange line]	STUDY LIMIT	CUT-FILL DEPTH ALONG CENTER LINE:	
[Blue line]	PROPOSED ELEVATION	CUT DEPTH (m)	FILL DEPTH (m)
[Green line]	EXISTING ELEVATION	0 - 0.5	0 - 0.5
[Red line]	EXISTING CONTOUR ELEVATION	0.5 - 1.0	0.5 - 1.0
[Blue arrow]	PROPOSED ELEVATION BY OTHERS	1.0 - 1.5	1.0 - 1.5
[Green arrow]	STORM POND INLET	> 1.5	> 1.5
[Green arrow]	STORM OVERLAND FLOW ARROW		
[Green hatched area]	EXISTING WOODLOT		



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

EAST URBAN COMMUNITY PHASE 3 AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN
GRADING PLAN

PROJECT No. :	14-733
SCALE	1:4000
DATE:	OCTOBER 2019
DRAWING No.	2



NOTE: MAJOR AND MINOR STORM SYSTEMS IN THIS AREA ARE TO BE SUBJECT TO THE FUTURE FINDINGS OF THE VANGUARD DRIVE PROJECT AND PLANNING ACT APPROVALS FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT. PART OF THE AREA IS PLANNED TO BE DIVERTED FROM BILBERRY CREEK TO MCKINNON'S CREEK.

NOTE: NORTH EAST QUADRANT DEVIATION FROM CITY STANDARDS BASED ON BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES. VANGUARD DRIVE CONTROLLED TO 100 L/s/ha. DEVELOPMENT AREA CONTROLLED TO 51.25 L/s/ha.

NOTE: CONCEPTUAL ROAD NETWORK PER DEMONSTRATION PLAN. SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

- LEGEND**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED STORM
 - STORM MANHOLE
 - EXISTING STORM SEWER
 - STORM TRIBUTARY AREA
 - PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES
 - PROPOSED STORM DRAINAGE CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES (BY OTHERS)
 - 5 YR
1.48Ha
0.80
MH 2115 STORM DRAINAGE AREA RUN-OFF COEFFICIENT UPSTREAM MANHOLE DOWNSTREAM MANHOLE
 - 100-YR STORAGE AREA
 - 100-YR CAPTURE

2 YEAR 2.78AC = 54.20
5 YEAR 2.78AC = 3.44
100 YEAR 2.78AC = 5.67
10.88mm

NOTE: CONTROL TO AN ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE OF 778.6 L/s


NOTE: CONTROL TO AN ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE OF 236.8 L/s



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

**EAST URBAN COMMUNITY PHASE 3 AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN
CONCEPTUAL STORM SERVICING**

PROJECT No. :	14-733
SCALE	1:5000
DATE:	OCTOBER 2019
DRAWING No.	4



NOTE:
CONCEPTUAL ROAD NETWORK PER
DEMONSTRATION PLAN. SUBJECT TO
CHANGE.


- LEGEND**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED SANITARY
 - SANITARY MANHOLE
 - - - EXISTING SANITARY
 - - - PROPOSED SANITARY CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES
 - - - SANITARY TRIBUTARY BOUNDARY
 - - - PROPOSED DRAINAGE AREAS CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES
 - 0.20Ha
101
4,000 DRAINAGE AREAS (HA)
POPULATION PER HECTARE
POPULATION
UPSTREAM MANHOLE
DOWNSTREAM MANHOLE



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

**EAST URBAN COMMUNITY PHASE 3 AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN
CONCEPTUAL SANITARY SERVICING**

PROJECT No. :	14-733
SCALE	1:5000
DATE:	OCTOBER 2019
DRAWING No.	5



NOTE:
CONCEPTUAL ROAD NETWORK PER
DEMONSTRATION PLAN. SUBJECT TO
CHANGE.

- LEGEND**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - PROPOSED WATERMAIN TRUNK
 - - - PROPOSED LOCAL WATERMAIN
 - - - EXISTING WATERMAIN
 - - - PROPOSED WATERMAIN TRUNK CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES(BY OTHERS)
 - - - PROPOSED LOCAL WATERMAIN CARRIED FORWARD FROM BACKGROUND SERVICING STUDIES(BY OTHERS)



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

EAST URBAN COMMUNITY PHASE 3 AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN
WATERMAIN SERVICING

PROJECT No. :	14-733
SCALE	1:5000
DATE:	OCTOBER 2019
DRAWING No.	6

Appendix C

Water Demand Calculations

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count
City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines



Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Pop
Single Family	3.4	253	861
Townhouse (ALL)	2.7	650	1757
B2B	2.7	-	0
Multi-Units			0
Low Density	2.7	113	306
Medium Density	2.3	156	359
High Density	1.8	504	908

	Pop	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
		m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	4191	1173.5	814.9	2933.7	2037.3	2581.7	1792.8

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

Property Type	Unit Rate	Units	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
			m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min
Employment	35,000.0 L/ha/d	22.82	798.70	554.7	1198.1	832.0	2156.5	1497.6
Parks	9,300 L/ha/d	5.86	54.50	37.8	81.7	56.8	147.1	102.2

Total I/CI Demand	853.2	592.5	1279.8	888.7	2303.6	1599.7
Total Demand	2026.7	1407.4	4213.5	2926.0	4885.3	3392.6

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count
City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines



Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Pop
Single Family	3.4	319	1085
Townhouse	2.7	746	2015
B2B	2.7	-	0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4	-	0
1 Bedroom	1.4	-	0
2 Bedroom	2.1	252	530
3 Bedroom	3.1	-	0
Average	1.8	688	1239
		2,005	

	Pop	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
		m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	4869	1363.3	946.8	3408.3	2366.9	2999.3	2082.9

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

Property Type	Unit Rate	Units	Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
			m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min	m ³ /d	L/min
Employment	35,000.0 L/ha/d	19.42	679.70	472.0	1019.6	708.0	1835.2	1274.4
Parks	9,300 L/ha/d	6.26	58.22	40.4	87.3	60.6	157.2	109.2

Total I/CI Demand	737.9	512.4	1106.9	768.7	1992.4	1383.6
Total Demand	2101.2	1459.2	4515.2	3135.5	4991.7	3466.4

Appendix D

Sanitary Servicing Design




SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION					COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H		INFILTRATION			PIPE										
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.			
						AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)		
FERN CASEY STREET																													
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 49A - 51A						1.72	142				0.00	0.00			1.20		2.92	2.92											
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 50A - 51A						0.30	25				0.00	0.00			0.00		0.30	3.22											
	51A	55A	0.80		66	2.82	233	3.5	2.64		0.00	0.00			1.20	0.19	0.80	4.02	1.33	4.16	83.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.10	0.61	0.38		
	55A	61A	0.77		64	3.59	297	3.5	3.33		0.00	0.00			1.20	0.19	0.77	4.79	1.58	5.11	79.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.12	0.61	0.41		
	61A	62A	0.79		66	4.38	363	3.4	4.04		0.00	0.00			1.20	0.19	0.79	5.58	1.84	6.07	81.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.14	0.61	0.43		
	62A	66A	0.80		66	5.18	429	3.4	4.74		0.00	0.00			1.20	0.19	0.80	6.38	2.11	7.03	82.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.16	0.61	0.45		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 66A - 76A						5.18	429				0.00	0.00			1.20		6.38												
STREET 5																													
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 23A - 25A						2.30	190				0.00	0.00			0.00		2.30	2.30											
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 24A - 25A						0.38	32				0.00	0.00			0.61		0.99	3.29											
	25A	26A	0.56		46	3.24	268	3.5	3.02		0.00	0.00			0.61	0.10	0.56	3.85	1.27	4.39	43.5	200	0.60	25.41	0.17	0.81	0.60		
	26A	28A	1.03		85	4.27	353	3.4	3.93		0.00	0.00			0.61	0.10	1.03	4.88	1.61	5.64	79.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.19	0.61	0.47		
	28A	30A	0.63		52	4.90	405	3.4	4.48		0.00	0.00			0.61	0.10	0.63	5.51	1.82	6.40	48.5	375	0.15	67.91	0.09	0.61	0.38		
	30A	36A	1.02		85	5.92	490	3.4	5.37		0.00	0.00			0.61	0.10	1.02	6.53	2.15	7.63	79.0	375	0.35	103.73	0.07	0.94	0.54		
	36A	37A	1.06		88	6.98	578	3.4	6.28		0.00	0.00			0.61	0.10	1.06	7.59	2.50	8.88	82.0	375	0.45	117.62	0.08	1.06	0.63		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 37A - 38A						6.98	578				0.00	0.00			0.61		7.59												
JARGEAU ROAD																													
	12A	13A	0.18		15	0.18	15	3.7	0.18		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.18	0.18	0.06	0.24	37.0	200	4.00	65.60	0.00	2.09	0.46		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 13A - 14A						0.18	15				0.00	0.00			0.00		0.18												
	24A	25A	0.38		32	0.38	32	3.7	0.38		0.00	0.00	0.61	0.61	0.10	0.99	0.99	0.33	0.81	29.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.04	0.62	0.30			
To STREET 5, Pipe 25A - 26A						0.38	32				0.00	0.00			0.61		0.99												
	50A	51A	0.30		25	0.30	25	3.7	0.30		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.30	0.30	0.10	0.40	31.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.02	0.62	0.24		
To FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 51A - 55A						0.30	25				0.00	0.00			0.00		0.30												
	22A	23A	1.15		95	1.15	95	3.6	1.11		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1.15	1.15	0.38	1.49	88.5	200	1.20	35.93	0.04	1.14	0.55		
	23A	25A	1.15		95	2.30	190	3.5	2.17		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1.15	2.30	0.76	2.93	88.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.15	0.62	0.44		
To STREET 5, Pipe 25A - 26A						2.30	190				0.00	0.00			0.00		2.30												
	48A	49A	0.86		71	0.86	71	3.6	0.83		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.86	0.86	0.28	1.12	89.0	200	0.65	26.44	0.04	0.84	0.42		
	49A	51A	0.86		71	1.72	142	3.6	1.64		0.00	0.00	1.20	1.20	0.19	2.06	2.92	0.96	2.80	89.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.14	0.62	0.44			
To FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 51A - 55A						1.72	142				0.00	0.00			1.20		2.92												
	95A	96A	1.95		163	1.95	163	3.5	1.87		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1.95	1.95	0.64	2.52	90.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.08	0.61	0.37		
	96A	99A	2.06		171	4.01	334	3.4	3.73		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	2.06	4.01	1.32	5.05	95.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.12	0.61	0.41		
To STREET 4, Pipe 99A - 100A						4.01	334				0.00	0.00			0.00		4.01												
	97A	98A	1.15		96	1.15	96	3.6	1.12		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1.15	1.15	0.38	1.50	55.0	250	0.25	29.73	0.05	0.61	0.31		
	98A	99A	1.41		117	2.56	213	3.5	2.42		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1.41	2.56	0.84	3.27	65.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.11	0.61	0.39		
To STREET 4, Pipe 99A - 100A						2.56	213				0.00	0.00			0.00		2.56												
			0.15		0	0.15	0			1.62	1.62			0.00	0.00		1.77	1.77											
	1A	2A			0	0.15	0			2.31	3.93			0.00	0.00	1.91	2.31	4.08	1.35	3.26	65.5	250	0.35	35.18	0.09	0.72	0.45		
	2A	3A	0.16		0	0.31	0			5.82	9.75			0.00	0.00	4.74	5.98	10.06	3.32	8.06	65.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.27	0.61	0.51		

DESIGN PARAMETERS Park Flow = 9300 L/ha/day Average Daily Flow = 280 l/p/day Comm/Inst Flow = 28000 L/ha/day Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/day Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor = 1.50 Institutional = 0.32 l/s/ha Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph Extraneous Flow = 0.330 L/s/ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013 Townhouse coeff= 2.7 Single house coeff= 3.4												Designed: _____ M.B. Checked: _____ S.M. Dwg. Reference: Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3 File Ref: _____ Date: 04 Jun 2025						PROJECT: TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5 LOCATION: City of Ottawa Sheet No. 1 of 4					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION					COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H	INFILTRATION			PIPE										
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.		
						AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)	
	3A	4A	0.13		0	0.44	0				9.75		0.00		0.00	4.74	0.13	10.19	3.36	8.10	54.0	250	0.25	29.73	0.27	0.61	0.51	
	4A	5A	0.15		0	0.59	0				9.75		0.00		0.00	4.74	0.15	10.34	3.41	8.15	61.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.27	0.61	0.51	
	5A	6A	0.15		0	0.74	0			1.18	10.93		0.00		0.00	5.31	1.33	11.67	3.85	9.16	61.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.31	0.61	0.53	
	6A	7A	0.20		0	0.94	0				10.93		0.00		0.00	5.31	0.20	11.87	3.92	9.23	83.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.31	0.61	0.53	
			0.24		0	1.18	0			2.58	13.51		0.00		0.00	2.82	14.69											
	7A	8A				1.18	0			4.66	18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	4.66	19.35	6.39	15.22	101.0	250	0.25	29.73	0.51	0.61	0.61	
	8A	13A	0.24		0	1.42	0				18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	0.24	19.59	6.46	15.30	100.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.51	0.61	0.61	
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 13A - 14A						1.42	0				18.17		0.00		0.00		19.59											
FRANK BENDER STREET																												
	11A	13A	1.15		95	1.15	95	3.6	1.11		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.15	1.15	0.38	1.49	92.5	200	2.80	54.88	0.03	1.75	0.74	
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 12A - 13A						0.18	15				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.18	1.33											
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 8A - 13A						1.42	0				18.17		0.00		0.00	19.59	20.92											
	13A	14A	0.21		17	2.96	127	3.6	1.47		18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	0.21	21.13	6.97	17.28	42.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.40	0.61	0.57	
	14A	15A	0.46		38	3.42	165	3.5	1.89		18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	0.46	21.59	7.12	17.85	94.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.41	0.61	0.58	
	15A	17A	0.46		38	3.88	203	3.5	2.31		18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	0.46	22.05	7.28	18.42	94.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.43	0.61	0.59	
	17A	18A	0.36		30	4.24	233	3.5	2.64		18.17		0.00		0.00	8.83	0.36	22.41	7.40	18.87	75.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.44	0.61	0.59	
	18A	19A	0.37		31	4.61	264	3.5	2.98		18.17		0.00	4.05	4.05	9.49	4.42	26.83	8.85	21.32	75.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.49	0.61	0.61	
	19A	20A	0.07		6	4.68	270	3.5	3.04		18.17		0.00		4.05	9.49	0.07	26.90	8.88	21.41	14.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.50	0.61	0.61	
	20A	21A	0.48		40	5.16	310	3.5	3.47		18.17		0.00		4.05	9.49	0.48	27.38	9.04	22.00	100.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.51	0.61	0.61	
	21A	37A	0.48		40	5.64	350	3.4	3.90		18.17		0.00		4.05	9.49	0.48	27.86	9.19	22.58	99.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.52	0.61	0.62	
Contribution From STREET 5, Pipe 36A - 37A						6.98	578				0.00		0.00		0.61	9.49	0.48	27.86	9.19	22.58								
	37A	38A	0.34		28	12.96	956	3.3	10.07		18.17		0.00		4.66	9.59	0.34	35.79	11.81	31.46	70.5	450	0.12	98.76	0.32	0.62	0.55	
			0.34		28	13.30	984				18.17		0.00		4.66	9.59	0.34	36.13										
	38A	47A	2.18		181	15.48	1165	3.2	12.10		18.17		0.00		4.66	9.59	2.18	38.31	12.64	34.33	70.5	450	0.12	98.76	0.35	0.62	0.56	
			0.46		38	15.94	1203				18.17		0.00		4.66	9.59	0.46	38.77										
	47A	66A	3.00		249	18.94	1452	3.2	14.83		18.17		0.00		4.66	9.59	3.00	41.77	13.78	38.20	94.0	450	0.12	98.76	0.39	0.62	0.58	
Contribution From FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 62A - 66A						5.18	429				0.00		0.00		1.20	6.38	48.15											
			0.47		39	24.59	1920				18.17		0.00		5.86	9.78	0.47	48.62										
	66A	76A	1.83		152	26.42	2072	3.1	20.54		18.17		0.00		5.86	9.78	1.83	50.45	16.65	46.97	97.0	450	0.12	98.76	0.48	0.62	0.61	
	76A	77A	0.28		23	26.70	2095	3.1	20.75		18.17		0.00		5.86	9.78	0.28	50.73	16.74	47.27	58.0	525	0.10	136.00	0.35	0.63	0.57	
			0.33		27	27.03	2122				18.17		0.00		5.86	9.78	0.33	51.06										
	77A	117A	3.30		274	30.33	2396	3.0	23.44		18.17		0.00		5.86	9.78	3.30	54.36	17.94	51.16	69.0	525	0.10	136.00	0.38	0.63	0.58	
Contribution From STREET 4, Pipe 109A - 117A						14.99	1245				5.09		0.00		0.00	20.08	74.44											
Contribution From STREET 4, Pipe 116A - 117A						2.21	184				0.00		0.00		0.00	2.21	76.65											
	117A	118A	0.33		27	47.86	3852	2.9	35.93		23.26		0.00		5.86	12.25	0.33	76.98	25.40	73.59	67.5	525	0.10	136.00	0.54	0.63	0.64	
	118A	126A	0.16		13	48.02	3865	2.9	36.04		23.26		0.00		5.86	12.25	0.16	77.14	25.46	73.75	33.0	525	0.10	136.00	0.54	0.63	0.64	
Contribution From STREET 3, Pipe 122A - 126A						1.23	101				0.00		0.00		0.00	1.23	78.37											
Contribution From STREET 3, Pipe 125A - 126A						0.72	60				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.72	79.09											
	126A	127A	0.20		17	50.17	4043	2.9	37.52		23.26		0.00		5.86	12.25	0.20	79.29	26.17	75.94	41.0	525	0.10	136.00	0.56	0.63	0.64	
STREET 3																												
	131A	132A	0.15		8	0.15	8	3.7	0.10		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.05	0.15	26.5	200	0.65	26.44	0.01	0.84	0.22	
To STREET 4, Pipe 132A - 135A						0.15	8				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.15												
	124A	125A	0.37		31	0.37	31	3.7	0.37		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.37	0.37	0.12	0.49	72.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.03	0.62	0.26	
	125A	126A	0.35		29	0.72	60	3.6	0.71		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.35	0.72	0.24	0.95	72.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.05	0.62	0.32	
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 126A - 127A						0.72	60				0.00		0.00		0.00	0.72												

DESIGN PARAMETERS Park Flow = 9300 L/ha/da Average Daily Flow = 280 l/p/day Comm/Inst Flow = 28000 L/ha/da Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/da Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor = 1.50 Institutional = 0.32 l/s/ha												Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph Extraneous Flow = 0.330 L/s/ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013 Townhouse coeff= 2.7 Single house coeff= 3.4												Designed: _____ M.B. Checked: _____ S.M. Dwg. Reference: Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3 PROJECT: TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5 LOCATION: City of Ottawa Date: 04 Jun 2025 Sheet No. 2 of 4											
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION						COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+I	INFILTRATION			PIPE								
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.	
						AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)
	119A	120A	0.16		13	0.16	13	3.7	0.16		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.05	0.21	33.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.01	0.62	0.20
	120A	121A	0.05		4	0.21	17	3.7	0.20		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.05	0.21	0.07	0.27	11.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.01	0.62	0.21	
	121A	122A	0.51		42	0.72	59	3.6	0.70		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.51	0.72	0.24	0.93	106.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.02	0.61	0.25	
	122A	126A	0.51		42	1.23	101	3.6	1.18		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.51	1.23	0.41	1.58	105.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.04	0.61	0.29	
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 126A - 127A						1.23	101				0.00		0.00		0.00		1.23										
STREET 4																											
Contribution From STREET 1, Pipe 133A - 134A						0.08	4				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.08	0.08									
	134A	135A	0.39		20	0.47	24	3.7	0.29		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.39	0.47	0.16	0.44	70.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.02	0.62	0.25	
To STREET 1, Pipe 135A - 136A						0.47	24				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.47										
	128A	129A	0.40		20	0.40	20	3.7	0.24		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.40	0.40	0.13	0.37	71.0	200	0.65	26.44	0.01	0.84	0.29	
	129A	130A	0.06		3	0.46	23	3.7	0.28		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.06	0.46	0.15	0.43	11.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.02	0.62	0.25	
	130A	132A	0.15		8	0.61	31	3.7	0.37		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.15	0.61	0.20	0.57	26.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.03	0.62	0.27	
Contribution From STREET 3, Pipe 131A - 132A						0.15	8				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.15	0.76									
	132A	135A	0.48		24	1.24	63	3.6	0.74		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.48	1.24	0.41	1.15	85.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.06	0.62	0.34	
To STREET 1, Pipe 135A - 136A						1.24	63				0.00		0.00		0.00		1.24										
	110A	111A	0.44		37	0.44	37	3.7	0.44		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.44	0.44	0.15	0.59	51.5	200	0.65	26.44	0.02	0.84	0.34	
	111A	112A	0.10		8	0.54	45	3.7	0.53		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.10	0.54	0.18	0.71	11.0	200	0.10	10.37	0.07	0.33	0.19	
	112A	114A	0.59		49	1.13	94	3.6	1.10		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.59	1.13	0.37	1.47	69.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.08	0.62	0.36	
	114A	116A	0.67		56	1.80	150	3.6	1.73		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.67	1.80	0.59	2.32	79.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.12	0.62	0.41	
	116A	117A	0.41		34	2.21	184	3.5	2.10		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.41	2.21	0.73	2.83	48.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.15	0.62	0.44	
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 117A - 118A						2.21	184				0.00		0.00		0.00		2.21										
	94A	99A	0.99		82	0.99	82	3.6	0.96	5.09	5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	6.08	6.08	2.01	5.44	46.0	250	0.25	29.73	0.18	0.61	0.46
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 96A - 99A						4.01	334				0.00		0.00		0.00		4.01	10.09									
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 98A - 99A						2.56	213				0.00		0.00		0.00		2.56	12.65									
	99A	100A	1.75		145	9.31	774	3.3	8.27		5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	1.75	14.40	4.75	15.49	81.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.36	0.61	0.56
	100A	106A	1.75		145	11.06	919	3.3	9.71		5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	1.75	16.15	5.33	17.51	81.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.40	0.61	0.58
	106A	108A	1.71		142	12.77	1061	3.2	11.09		5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	1.71	17.86	5.89	19.46	79.0	375	0.15	67.91	0.29	0.61	0.53
	108A	109A	0.93		77	13.70	1138	3.2	11.84		5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	0.93	18.79	6.20	20.52	43.0	375	0.15	67.91	0.30	0.61	0.54
	109A	117A	1.29		107	14.99	1245	3.2	12.87		5.09		0.00		0.00	2.47	1.29	20.08	6.63	21.97	59.5	375	0.15	67.91	0.32	0.61	0.55
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 117A - 118A						14.99	1245				5.09		0.00		0.00		20.08										
STREET 1																											
	133A	134A	0.08		4	0.08	4	3.8	0.05		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.08	14.0	200	0.65	26.44	0.00	0.84	0.17	
To STREET 4, Pipe 134A - 135A						0.08	4				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.08										
	138A	139A	0.31		15	0.31	15	3.7	0.18		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.31	0.31	0.10	0.28	55.0	200	0.85	30.24	0.01	0.96	0.29	
	139A	140A	0.06		3	0.37	18	3.7	0.22		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.06	0.37	0.12	0.34	10.0	200	1.10	34.40	0.01	1.09	0.35	
	140A	141A	0.30		15	0.67	33	3.7	0.39		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.30	0.67	0.22	0.61	59.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.03	0.62	0.28	
To STREET 2, Pipe 141A - 142A						0.67	33				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.67										
Contribution From STREET 4, Pipe 132A - 135A						1.24	63				0.00		0.00		0.00		1.24	1.24									
Contribution From STREET 4, Pipe 134A - 135A						0.47	24				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.47	1.71									
	135A	136A	0.42		20	2.13	107	3.6	1.24		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.42	2.13	0.70	1.95	76.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.10	0.62	0.39	
	136A	137A	0.06		3	2.19	110	3.6	1.28		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.06	2.19	0.72	2.00	10.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.10	0.62	0.40	

DESIGN PARAMETERS										Designed:					PROJECT:									
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/day	0.1076	l/s/ha	Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph					M.B.					TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5									
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/day	0.3241	l/s/ha	Extraneous Flow =					0.330					L/s/ha									
Comm/Inst Flow =	28000	L/ha/day	0.3241	l/s/ha	Minimum Velocity =					0.600					m/s									
Industrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/day	0.40500	l/s/ha	Manning's n =					(Conc) 0.013					(Pvc) 0.013									
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00				Townhouse coeff=					2.7														
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.50				Single house coeff=					3.4														
Institutional =	0.32	l/s/ha													Dwg. Reference:					Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3				
										Checked:					LOCATION:									
										S.M.					City of Ottawa									
										Dwg. Reference:					File Ref:					Date:				
										Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3										04 Jun 2025				
																				Sheet No. 3				
																				of 4				



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION					COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+I	INFILTRATION			PIPE									
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.	
						AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)
	137A	141A	0.03		2	2.22	112	3.6	1.30		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.03	2.22	0.73	2.03	5.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.10	0.62	0.40
To STREET 2, Pipe 141A - 142A						2.22	112				0.00		0.00		0.00			2.22									
STREET 2																											
Contribution From STREET 1, Pipe 137A - 141A						2.22	112				0.00		0.00		0.00		2.22	2.22									
Contribution From STREET 1, Pipe 140A - 141A						0.67	33				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.67	2.89									
	141A	142A	0.06		3	2.95	148	3.6	1.70		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.06	2.95	0.97	2.68	10.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.14	0.62	0.43	

DESIGN PARAMETERS										Designed: _____					PROJECT: TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5									
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	0.10764	l/s/ha	Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph					M.B.					LOCATION: City of Ottawa									
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/p/day	Extraneous Flow = 0.330 L/s/ha					Checked: _____					S.M.											
Comm/Inst Flow =	28000	L/ha/da	0.3241	l/s/ha	Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s					Dwg. Reference: Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3										Date: 04 Jun 2025				
Industrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	0.40500	l/s/ha	Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013					File Ref: _____										Sheet No. 4				
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00		Townhouse coeff= 2.7					Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 3										of 4						
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.50		Single house coeff= 3.4																					
Institutional =	0.32	l/s/ha																						



Novatech Project #: 118224
 Project Name: BMR
 Date Prepared: 10/4/2019
 Date Revised: 3/10/2022
 Input By: Dan Coffey
 Reviewed By: Sam Bahia
 Drawing Reference: 118224-GP AND 118224-SAN

Legend: PROJECT SPECIFIC INFO
 USER DESIGN INPUT
 CUMULATIVE CELL
 CALCULATED DESIGN CELL OUTPUT
 CALCULATED ANNUAL CELL OUTPUT
 CALCULATED RARE CELL OUTPUT
 USER AS-BUILT INPUT



LOCATION				DEMAND																	DESIGN CAPACITY											
STREET	AREA	FROM MH	TO MH	RESIDENTIAL FLOW								INDUSTRIAL / COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL FLOW					EXTRANOUS FLOW				TOTAL DESIGN FLOW	PROPOSED SEWER PIPE SIZING / DESIGN										
				SINGLES	SEMI/TOWNS	APARTS	PARK AREA (ha)	POPULATION (in 1000's)	CUMULATIVE POPULATION (in 1000's)	PEAK FACTOR M	AVG POPULATION FLOW Q(c) (L/s)	PEAKED DESIGN POP FLOW Q(p) (L/s)	PEAKED ANNUAL RARE POP FLOW Q(AR - Res) (L/s)	RESIDENTIAL DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)	CUMULATIVE RES DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)	COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL AREA (ha.)	CUMULATIVE COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL AREA (ha.)	AVG DESIGN COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL FLOW Q (c) (L/s)	COMMERCIAL / INSTITUTIONAL PEAK FACTOR	CUMULATIVE ICI DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)		PEAKED DESIGN ICI FLOW Q (C) (L/s)	CUMULATIVE EXTRANOUS DRAINAGE AREA (ha.)	DESIGN EXTRAN. FLOW Q(e) (L/s)	TOTAL DESIGN FLOW Q(D) (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm) AND MATERIAL	PIPE ID ACTUAL (m)	ROUGH. (m)	DESIGN GRADE (%)	CAPACITY (L/s)	FULL FLOW VELOCITY (m/s)
Street 9	A1, A2	165	163			168		0.353	0.353	4.00	1.14	3.66	1.96	2.730	2.730	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	2.730	0.90	4.56	57.8	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.30	34.0	0.67	13.4%
	A3	163	161		14		0.038	0.391	4.00	1.27	4.05	2.17	0.470	3.200	3.200	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.200	1.06	5.11	109.4	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.25	31.0	0.61	16.5%
	A4	161	159		4		0.011	0.401	4.00	1.30	4.16	2.23	0.150	3.350	3.350	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.350	1.11	5.27	14.1	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.50	43.9	0.87	12.0%
Street 2	A5	159	151		28		0.076	0.477	3.98	1.55	4.93	2.64	0.830	4.180	4.180	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	4.180	1.38	6.31	112.1	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.25	31.0	0.61	20.3%
	A6	157	155		27		0.073	0.073	4.00	0.24	0.76	0.41	0.760	0.760	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.760	0.25	1.01	102.8	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	5.0%	
	A7	155	153		4		0.011	0.084	4.00	0.27	0.87	0.47	0.170	0.930	0.930	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.930	0.31	1.17	13.8	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.50	24.2	0.75	4.9%
Street 2	A8	153	151		10		0.027	0.111	4.00	0.36	1.16	0.62	0.330	1.260	1.260	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	1.260	0.42	1.56	62.5	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	26.2	0.62	7.7%
	A9	151	145		8		0.022	0.609	3.93	1.97	6.21	3.32	0.330	5.770	5.770	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	5.770	1.90	8.11	76.3	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.25	31.0	0.61	26.1%
	A10	147	145		14		0.038	0.038	4.00	0.12	0.39	0.21	0.450	0.450	0.450	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.450	0.15	0.54	63.2	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.25	31.0	0.61	1.7%
Chemlin de Jarreau Road	A11	147	EX		25		0.068	0.068	4.00	0.22	0.70	0.38	0.780	0.780	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.780	0.26	0.96	99.4	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.65	27.6	0.85	3.5%	
	A12	145	141		9		0.024	0.671	3.90	2.18	6.80	3.64	0.330	6.550	6.550	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	6.550	2.16	8.96	77.3	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	19.9%
Voie de Cerulean Way	A13	143	141		2		0.005	0.005	4.00	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.080	0.080	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.080	0.03	0.08	16.6	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.65	27.6	0.85	0.3%	
	A14	141	139	20			0.068	0.745	3.88	2.41	7.49	4.01	0.800	7.430	7.430	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	7.430	2.45	9.84	113.9	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	22.0%
Lumen Place	A15	139	137	2			0.007	0.752	3.88	2.44	7.55	4.05	0.190	7.610	7.610	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	7.610	2.51	10.07	14.6	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.50	71.3	0.98	14.1%
	A16	137	129	6			0.020	0.772	3.87	2.50	7.75	4.15	0.270	7.880	7.880	0.000	24.320	7.88	1.50	24.320	11.82	32.200	10.63	30.19	62.8	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	66.9%
Voie de Cerulean Way	A17	143	133		6		0.016	0.016	4.00	0.05	0.17	0.09	0.210	0.210	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.210	0.07	0.24	56.5	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.65	27.6	0.85	0.9%	
Street 5	A18	135	133	3			0.010	0.010	4.00	0.03	0.11	0.06	0.150	0.150	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.150	0.05	0.16	33.1	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.65	27.6	0.85	0.6%	
	A19	133	131	7			0.024	0.050	4.00	0.16	0.52	0.28	0.280	0.640	0.640	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.640	0.21	0.73	40.4	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	3.6%
Lumen Place	A20	131	129	14			0.048	0.098	4.00	0.32	1.01	0.54	0.560	1.200	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	1.200	0.40	1.41	84.3	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	7.0%
	A21	129	127	6			0.020	0.890	3.83	2.88	8.84	4.74	0.330	9.410	9.410	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	9.410	3.11	11.95	73.0	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	26.5%
	A22	127	125	10			0.034	0.924	3.82	3.00	9.16	4.91	0.440	9.850	9.850	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	9.850	3.25	12.41	55.7	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	27.5%
	A23	125	123	2			0.007	0.931	3.82	3.02	9.22	4.94	0.120	9.970	9.970	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	9.970	3.29	12.51	12.4	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.50	71.3	0.98	17.5%
	A24	123	121	3			0.010	0.941	3.82	3.05	9.31	4.99	0.150	10.120	10.120	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	10.120	3.34	12.65	23.0	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	28.0%
Voie de Persimmon Way	A25	121	113	15			0.051	0.992	3.80	3.22	9.78	5.24	0.620	10.740	10.740	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	10.740	3.54	13.32	96.2	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	29.5%
	A26	119	117	17			0.058	0.058	4.00	0.19	0.60	0.32	0.710	0.710	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.710	0.23	0.83	103.0	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	4.1%	
	A27	117	115	2			0.007	0.065	4.00	0.21	0.67	0.36	0.160	0.870	0.870	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.870	0.29	0.96	14.0	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.50	24.2	0.75	4.0%
Voie de Persimmon Way	A28	115	113	7			0.024	0.088	4.00	0.29	0.92	0.49	0.330	1.200	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	1.200	0.40	1.31	63.2	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	6.5%
	A29	113	201	6			0.020	1.101	3.77	3.57	10.77	5.77	0.290	12.230	12.230	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	12.230	4.04	14.80	73.8	300 PVC	0.305	0.013	0.20	45.1	0.62	32.8%
Street 8	FUTURE	CAP	99				0.375	0.375	4.00	1.22	3.89	2.08	3.090	3.090	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.090	1.02	4.91	31.6	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	24.2%	
	-	99	101				0.000	0.375	4.00	1.22	3.89	2.08	3.090	3.090	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.090	1.02	4.91	39.6	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	24.2%	
	A30	101	103	8			0.027	0.402	4.00	1.30	4.17	2.23	0.550	3.640	3.640	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.640	1.20	5.37	12.3	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.50	24.2	0.75	22.2%
	A31	103	201	5			0.017	0.419	4.00	1.36	4.35	2.33	0.270	3.910	3.910	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	3.910	1.29	5.64	47.9	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	27.8%
Rue de Beaugency Street	A32	105	107	12			0.041	0.041	4.00	0.13	0.42	0.23	0.500	0.500	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.500	0.17	0.59	83.4	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	2.9%	
	A33	107	109	2			0.007	0.048	4.00	0.15	0.49	0.26	0.120	0.620	0.620	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.620	0.20	0.70	28.3	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	3.4%
	A34	109	111	4			0.014	0.061	4.00	0.20	0.63	0.34	0.210	0.830	0.830	0.000	0.000	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.830	0.27	0.91	26.6	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.35	20.2	0.62	4.5%
TRUNK SANITARY SEWER	-	111	207	0			0.000	0.																								

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET



Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION				COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+I		INFILTRATION			PIPE									
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL				
					AREA (ha)	POP.																	(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)			
North West Sanitary Trunk																											
Trunk 1	1007A	1008A			0.00	0																					
COMMERCIAL	1008A	1009A			0.00	0				2.58	2.58					1.57	2.58	2.58	0.85	2.42	58.00	200.00	0.65	26.44	0.09	0.84	0.52
COMMERCIAL	1009A	1010A			0.00	0				1.29	3.87					1.57	0.00	2.58	0.85	2.42	86.50	250.00	0.25	29.73	0.08	0.61	0.37
COMMERCIAL					0.00	0				0.22	4.09					2.35	1.29	3.87	1.28	3.63	86.50	250.00	0.25	29.73	0.12	0.61	0.41
COMMERCIAL	1010A	1011A			0.00	0				1.63	5.72					0.22	4.09										
COMMERCIAL	1011A	1012A			0.00	0				0.99	6.71					3.48	1.63	5.72	1.89	5.37	39.50	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.12	0.61	0.00
COMMERCIAL	1012A	1013A			0.00	0				1.41	8.12					4.08	0.99	6.71	2.21	6.29	99.50	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.09	0.61	0.38
COMMERCIAL	1013A	1014A			0.00	0				1.41	9.53					4.93	1.41	8.12	2.68	7.61	117.00	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.11	0.61	0.40
COMMERCIAL	1014A	1022A			0.00	0				1.54	11.07					5.79	1.41	9.53	3.14	8.93	112.00	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.13	0.61	0.41
COMMERCIAL	1022A	1023A			0.00	0				7.02	18.09					6.73	1.54	11.07	3.65	10.38	83.50	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.15	0.61	0.44
	1023A	1024A	0.65	66	0.65	66	3.63	0.78							10.99	7.02	18.09	5.97	16.96	96.50	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.25	0.61	0.51	
	1024A	1025A	0.20	21	0.85	87	3.61	1.02							10.99	0.65	18.74	6.18	17.95	81.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.18	0.62	0.47	
	1025A	1026A	0.13	14	0.98	101	3.59	1.18							10.99	0.13	19.07	6.29	18.46	51.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.19	0.62	0.48	
	1026A	1027A	0.20	21	1.18	122	3.58	1.42							10.99	0.20	19.27	6.36	18.77	74.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.19	0.62	0.48	
	1027A	1028A			1.18	122									10.99	0.00	19.27	6.36	17.35	11.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.18	0.62	0.47	
	1028A	1029A	0.40	41	1.58	163	3.54	1.87							10.99	0.40	19.67	6.49	19.35	100.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.20	0.62	0.48	
	1029A	1037A	0.60	61	2.18	224	3.50	2.54							10.99	0.60	20.27	6.69	20.22	94.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.20	0.62	0.48	
	1037A	1040A	3.30	334	5.48	558	3.36	6.08							10.99	3.30	23.57	7.78	24.85	79.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.25	0.62	0.51	
	1040A	1049A	1.45	147	6.93	705	3.31	7.56							10.99	1.45	25.02	8.26	26.81	79.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.27	0.62	0.52	
	1049A	1058A	4.50	455	11.43	1160	3.21	12.07							10.99	4.50	29.52	9.74	32.80	81.50	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.33	0.62	0.56	
PARK	1058A	1059A	5.80	586	17.23	1746	3.10	17.54			1.27	1.27	11.20	7.07	36.59	12.07	40.81	120.50	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.41	0.62	0.59			
	1059A	1090A	0.70	71	17.93	1817	3.09	18.20					11.20	0.70	37.29	12.31	41.71	123.00	450.00	0.12	98.76	0.42	0.62	0.59			
PARK, EXT FUT			4.30	620	22.23	2437			5.27	23.36	0.56	1.83	10.13	47.42													
	1090A	1095A	12.65	1278	34.88	3715	2.89	34.79					1.83	14.49	12.65	60.07	19.82	69.10	75.00	450.00	0.15	110.42	0.63	0.69	0.73		
Contribution from Trunk 2, MH 1094A-1095A					10.74	1478							4.64			15.38											
	1095A	1096A	0.50	51	46.12	5244	2.78	47.24					6.47	15.24	0.50	75.95	25.06	87.54	79.00	525.00	0.12	148.98	0.59	0.69	0.72		
	1096A	1107A	2.26	229	48.38	5473	2.77	49.13					6.47	15.24	2.26	78.21	25.81	90.18	86.50	525.00	0.10	136.00	0.66	0.63	0.67		
	1107A	1108A	4.24	429	52.62	5902	2.74	52.41					6.47	15.24	4.24	82.45	27.21	94.86	87.00	525.00	0.42	278.71	0.34	1.29	1.16		
PARK	1108A	1132A	0.06	8	52.68	5910	2.74	52.48			1.16	7.63	15.43	1.22	83.67	27.61	95.52	31.50	525.00	0.10	136.00	0.70	0.63	0.68			
CONTRIBUTION FROM EXTERNAL					0.96	144	53.64	6054	2.73	53.56	4.42	27.78				7.63	5.38	89.05									
			0.95	137	54.59	6191									7.63	0.95	90.00										
	1132A	1133A	9.80	990	64.39	7181	2.68	62.37					7.63	18.11	9.80	99.80	32.93	113.41	15.50	600.00	0.10	194.17	0.58	0.69	0.72		
	1133A	1A (B.O.)			64.39	7181	2.68	62.37					7.63	18.11	0.00	99.80	32.93	113.41	15.50	600.00	0.10	194.17	0.58	0.69	0.72		
To MH 1A By Other																											
Trunk 2																											
PARK	1203A	1204A	0.40	58	0.40	58					4.64	4.64	0.75	5.04	5.04	1.66	2.41	81.00	300.00	0.65	77.96	0.03	1.10	0.48			
	1204A	1205A	0.89	129	1.29	187	3.53	2.14					4.64	0.75	0.89	5.93	1.96	4.85	111.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.11	0.61	0.40		
	1205A	1206A	0.83	120	2.12	307	3.46	3.44					4.64	0.75	0.83	6.76	2.23	6.42	74.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.15	0.61	0.44		
	1206A	1207A	1.03	149	3.15	456	3.40	5.02					4.64	0.75	1.03	7.79	2.57	8.34	75.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.19	0.61	0.47		
	1207A	1208A			3.15	456							4.64	0.75	0.00	7.79	2.57	3.32	100.50	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.08	0.61	0.37		



DESIGN PARAMETERS Park Flow = 9300 L/ha/da Average Daily Flow = 280 l/p/day Comm/Inst Flow = 35000 L/ha/da Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/da Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00 Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor = 1.50 Mixed Use Institutional = 35000.00 L/ha/da Institutional = 0.405 l/s/ha										Harmon Correction Factor = 0.800 Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph Extraneous Flow = 0.330 L/s/ha Minimum Velocity = 0.600 m/s Manning's n = (Conc) 0.013 (Pvc) 0.013					Designed: R.B. Checked: K.M.		PROJECT: Orleans EUC MUC LOCATION: City of Ottawa File Ref: 14-733 Date: October, 2019 Sheet No. 1 of 2				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET



Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION				COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H		INFILTRATION			PIPE										
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.			
					AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)		
	1208A	1209A	1.90	274	5.05	730	3.31	7.83		0.00					4.64	0.75	1.90	9.69	3.20	11.78	14.50	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.27	0.61	0.51	
	1209A	1210A			5.05	730				0.00					4.64	0.75	0.00	9.69	3.20	3.95	112.50	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.09	0.61	0.38	
	1210A	1211A			5.05	730				0.00					4.64	0.75	0.00	9.69	3.20	3.95	120.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.09	0.61	0.38	
	1211A	1212A	3.98	574	9.03	1304	3.18	13.44		0.00					4.64	0.75	3.98	13.67	4.51	18.70	43.50	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.43	0.61	0.59	
	1212A	1091A			9.03	1304				0.00					4.64	0.75	0.00	13.67	4.51	5.26	10.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.12	0.61	0.41	
	1091A	1093A	0.53	54	9.56	1358	3.17	13.95		0.00					4.64	0.75	0.53	14.20	4.69	19.39	33.00	300.00	0.20	43.25	0.45	0.61	0.59	
	1093A	1094A	0.64	65	10.20	1423	3.16	14.57		0.00					4.64	0.75	0.64	14.84	4.90	20.22	84.00	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.30	0.61	0.53	
	1094A	1095A	0.54	55	10.74	1478	3.15	15.09		0.00					4.64	0.75	0.54	15.38	5.08	20.92	84.50	375.00	0.15	67.91	0.31	0.61	0.54	
To Trunk 1, Pipe 1095A-1096A					10.74	1478				0.00					4.64			15.38										
North East Sanitary Trunk																												
External Commercial					0.00	0			10.40	10.40						10.40	10.40											
Mixed Use Block*			2.43	2531	2.43	2531	3.00	24.61	2.43	12.83					4.86	15.26												
	204A	205A			2.43	2531			3.45	16.28					3.45	18.71												
To Pipe 205A - 206A					2.43	2531			6.33	22.61			0.19	0.19	13.77	6.52	25.23	8.33	22.10	525.00	375.00	0.14	65.60	0.34	0.59	0.53		
To Pipe 205A - 206A					2.43	2531				22.61					0.19		25.23		22.10									
	201A	202A			0.00	0			5.67	5.67					3.45	5.67	5.67	1.87	5.32	266.00	200.00	0.32	18.55	0.29	0.59	0.51		
	202A	203A			0.00	0			0.00	5.67					3.45	0.00	5.67	1.87	5.32	176.00	250.00	0.24	29.13	0.18	0.59	0.44		
	203A	205A			0.00	0			10.44	16.11					9.79	10.44	16.11	5.32	15.11	292.50	250.00	0.24	29.13	0.52	0.59	0.60		
Contribution from Pipe 204A - 205A					2.43	2531				22.61			0.19			25.23												
	205A	206A			2.43	2531				38.72			0.19	23.56	0.00	41.34	13.64	37.20	150.50	375.00	0.20	78.41	0.47	0.71	0.70			
To Existing Vanguard Drive Sanitary					2.43	2531				38.72			0.19			41.34		37.20										
South West Sanitary Trunk																												
Mixed Use Block			3.66	528	3.66	528			3.66	3.66					2.22	7.32	7.32											
Mid-High Density Residential			15.19	1535	18.85	2063	3.06	20.46	4.32	7.98					4.85	19.51	26.83											
	301A	302A	2.28	329	21.13	2392	3.02	23.41		7.98			0.43	0.43	4.92	2.71	29.54	9.75	38.08	791.00	375.00	0.14	65.60	0.58	0.59	0.61		
To Sanitary By Others					21.13	2392				7.98					0.43		29.54		38.08									
Road			0.89	0	0.89	0				0.00					0.00	0.89	0.89	0.29	0.29	49.00	200.00	0.32	18.55	0.02	0.59	0.23		
To Existing Sanitary, Fern Casey Street					0.89	0				0.00					0.00		0.89		0.29									
Mid-High Density Residential			3.69	532	3.69	532	3.37	5.81		0.00					0.00	0.00	3.69	3.69	1.22	7.03	49.00	200.00	0.32	18.55	0.38	0.59	0.55	
To Existing Sanitary, Axis Way					3.69	532				0.00					0.00		3.69		7.03									
South East Sanitary Trunk																												
Existing Medium Density**			401A	402A	0.99	227	0.99	227	3.50	2.57		0.00	0.23	9.34	0.36	5.73	1.22	10.69	3.53	11.83	114.00	250.00	0.24	29.13	0.41	0.59	0.56	
To Existing Sanitary to Gerry Lalonde Drive					0.99	227				0.00				0.23	9.34	0.36		10.69		11.83								

*Note: Proposed population 2531 per background servicing study
 **Note: Existing population 227 per background servicing study

DESIGN PARAMETERS			
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	0.108
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/p/day	
Comm/Inst Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	0.405
Industrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	0.405
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00		
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.50	if ICI >20%	1.00 if ICI <20%
Mixed Use	35000.00	L/ha/da	
Institutional =	0.405	l/s/ha	
Harmon Correction Factor =	0.800		
Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph			
Extraneous Flow =	0.330	L/s/ha	
Minimum Velocity =	0.600	m/s	
Manning's n = (Conc)	0.013	(Pvc)	0.013

Designed:	R.B.	PROJECT	Orleans EUC MUC
Checked:	K.M.	ENGINEER	City of Ottawa
Dwg. Reference:		14-733	Sheet No. 2
		Date:	October, 2019
			of 2



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET



Manning's n=0.013


LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION				COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+I+I		INFILTRATION			PIPE										
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL.			
					AREA (ha)	POP.																			(FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)		
NW Quadrant to Nature Trail Crescent	1133A	1A (B.O.)			64.33	7168	2.68	62.26		35.83				7.63	23.00	0.00	107.79	35.57	120.83									
Per Sanitary Sewer Calculation Sheet - prepared by DSEL, October 2018					64.33	7168	2.68			35.83				7.63					120.83									
3490 Innes Rd. Future Dev. Blocks					4.33	1402	3.16	14.36	5.40	5.40				0.00	3.28	9.73	9.73	3.21	20.85									
Future Dev. Blocks taken at EUC Phase 3 CDP Mid-High Residential Density (144 pop/ha)																												
3490 Innes Road					19.75	1516	3.14	15.43	0.00	0.00			1.42	1.42	0.23	21.17	21.17	6.99	22.65									
Per Sanitary Sewer Calculation Sheet - Caivan Communities Orleans Village - prepared by DSEL, May 2018																												
Total to Existing Nature Trail Crescent sewer					88.41	10086	2.56	83.68	41.23	41.23			9.05	9.05	26.51	138.69	138.69	45.77	155.96									

DESIGN PARAMETERS										Designed:		PROJECT:					
Park Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	0.108	Harmon Correction Factor =	0.800			BK		Orleans EUC MUC							
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/p/day		Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph						LOCATION:							
Comm/Inst Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	0.405	Extraneous Flow =	0.330	L/s/ha				City of Ottawa							
Industrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	0.405	Minimum Velocity =	0.600	m/s				File Ref:		14-733	Date:	October, 2018	Sheet No.	1	
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00			Manning's n = (Conc)	0.013	(Pvc)	0.013			Dwg. Reference:						of	1
Commercial/Inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.50	if ICI >20%	1.00	if ICI <20%													
Mixed Use	28000.00	L/ha/da															
Institutional =	0.405	l/s/Ha															



Appendix E

Stormwater Servicing Design



PONDING VOLUME TABLE

AREA NUMBER	SPILL ELEVATION (m)	GUTTER ELEVATION (m)	MAXIMUM STATIC PONDING DEPTH (m)	MAXIMUM STATIC PONDING AREA (m ²)	MAXIMUM STATIC PONDING VOLUME (m ³)	Volume (round down to 1 decimal) (m ³)	
PA-1	88.30	88.02	0.28	328.98	30.70	30.7	
PA-2	88.30	88.02	0.28	260.60	24.32	24.3	
PA-3	88.62	88.33	0.29	309.08	29.88	29.8	
PA-4	88.62	88.33	0.29	323.10	31.23	31.2	
PA-5	88.30	88.09	0.21	212.24	14.86	14.8	
PA-6	88.30	88.09	0.21	215.43	15.08	15.0	
PA-7	88.62	88.32	0.30	322.80	32.28	32.2	
PA-8	88.62	88.32	0.30	317.87	31.79	31.7	
					Sum of Vol=	210.14	m ³
					Total Area =	2.34	Ha
					Vol/Area=	89.80	m ³ /Ha

CALCULATIONS:

DRAINAGE AREA = 2.34 Ha

TOTAL PONDING VOLUME = 210.14 m³

PONDING VOL/Ha = 89.80 m³/Ha

LEGEND:

--- STORM TRIB DRAINAGE AREA

PONDING AREA

PONDING AREA ID

103.45 PROPOSED ELEVATION



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
TEL: (613) 836-0856
FAX: (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5
PONDING VOLUME PER Ha

PROJECT No.:	20-1195
SCALE:	1:1000
DATE:	JUNE 2025
FIGURE:	4

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)



Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years
 Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years

Manning 0.013

LOCATION			AREA (Ha)																FLOW					SEWER DATA											
			2 YEAR				5 YEAR				10 YEAR				100 YEAR				Time of	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Peak Flow	DIA. (mm)	DIA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO		
Location	From Node	To Node	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Conc. (min)	2 Year (mm/h)	5 Year (mm/h)	10 Year (mm/h)	100 Year (mm/h)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min)	Q/Q full			
STREET 3																																			
	119	120	0.28	0.70	0.54	0.54			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	42	300	300	PVC	0.45	34.0	64.8688	0.9177	0.6175	0.645		
	120	121	0.10	0.70	0.19	0.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.62	74.51	101.04	118.43	173.10	55	300	300	PVC	0.45	12.5	64.8688	0.9177	0.2270	0.849		
	121	122	0.86	0.70	1.67	2.41			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.84	73.71	99.93	117.13	171.19	178	525	525	CONC	0.25	105.5	215.0311	0.9933	1.7701	0.827		
	122	126	0.86	0.70	1.67	4.09			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.61	68.04	92.15	107.96	157.73	278	525	525	CONC	1.55	108.5	535.4232	2.4734	0.7311	0.519		
Contribution From FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 124 - 126						1.30				0.00				0.00				0.00	11.64																
Contribution From FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 125 - 126						0.68				0.00				0.00				0.00	10.88																
	126	128	0.49	0.70	0.95	7.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.35	65.97	89.31	104.62	152.83	463	900	900	CONC	0.10	57.0	572.4707	0.8999	1.0557	0.810		
	128	130	0.71	0.70	1.38	8.41			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.40	63.22	85.54	100.19	146.32	531	975	975	CONC	0.10	86.5	708.6833	0.9492	1.5188	0.750		
	130	131	0.24	0.70	0.47	8.87			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.92	59.68	80.70	94.49	137.96	530	975	975	CONC	0.10	29.0	708.6833	0.9492	0.5092	0.747		
	131	132	0.11	0.70	0.21	9.09			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.43	58.59	79.21	92.74	135.39	532	975	975	CONC	0.10	13.5	708.6833	0.9492	0.2370	0.751		
To STREET 4, Pipe 132 - 134						9.09				0.00				0.00				0.00	16.67																
FERN CASEY STREET																																			
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 49 - 51						0.00				1.60				0.00				0.00	11.98																
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 50 - 51						0.00				1.63				0.00				0.00	10.36																
	51	55			0.00	0.00	0.38	0.70	0.74	3.96			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.98	69.96	94.78	111.06	162.29	375	900	900	CONC	0.10	83.0	572.4707	0.8999	1.5373	0.656		
					0.00	0.00	0.37	0.70	0.72	4.68			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.98	69.96	94.78	111.06	162.29	375	900	900	CONC	0.10	83.0	572.4707	0.8999	1.5373	0.656		
	55	61	2.52	0.70	4.90	4.90			0.00	4.68			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.52	65.51	88.68	103.88	151.73	736	975	975	CONC	0.25	79.0	1120.5266	1.5008	0.8773	0.657		
	61	62			0.00	4.90	0.38	0.70	0.74	5.42			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.39	63.24	85.57	100.22	146.37	774	975	975	CONC	0.25	82.5	1120.5266	1.5008	0.9162	0.691		
	62	66			0.00	4.90	0.37	0.70	0.72	6.14			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.31	61.05	82.57	96.69	141.19	806	975	975	CONC	0.95	84.0	2184.3085	2.9256	0.4785	0.369		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 66 - 67						4.90				6.14				0.00				0.00	15.79																
STREET 5																																			
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 23 - 24						0.00				1.77				0.00				0.00	11.92																
	24	25	0.58	0.70	1.13	1.13			0.00	1.77			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.92	70.15	95.05	111.38	162.75	247	675	675	CONC	0.25	45.0	420.2941	1.1745	0.6386	0.588		
			1.05	0.70	2.04	3.17			0.00	1.77			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.92	70.15	95.05	111.38	162.75	247	675	675	CONC	0.25	45.0	420.2941	1.1745	0.6386	0.588		
	25	27			0.00	3.17	2.04	0.70	3.97	5.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.56	68.21	92.39	108.24	158.14	746	900	900	CONC	0.50	81.5	1280.0833	2.0122	0.6751	0.583		
	27	29	0.59	0.70	1.15	4.32			0.00	5.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.23	66.28	89.75	105.13	153.58	801	900	900	CONC	0.55	45.5	1342.5627	2.1104	0.3593	0.597		
	29	35	1.02	0.70	1.98	6.31			0.00	5.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.59	65.31	88.41	103.56	151.27	919	900	900	CONC	0.75	79.0	1567.7754	2.4644	0.5343	0.586		
	35	36	1.06	0.70	2.06	8.37			0.00	5.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.12	63.91	86.50	101.31	147.97	1031	900	900	CONC	1.10	85.5	1898.6704	2.9845	0.4775	0.543		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 36 - 37						8.37				5.74				0.00				0.00	14.60																
FRANK BENDER STREET																																			
	125	126	0.35	0.70	0.68	0.68			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	52	450	450	CONC	0.20	42.5	127.5033	0.8017	0.8835	0.410		
To STREET 3, Pipe 126 - 128						0.68				0.00				0.00				0.00	10.88																
	123	124	0.50	0.70	0.97	0.97			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	75	375	375	PVC	0.30	51.5	96.0323	0.8695	0.9872	0.778		
	124	126	0.17	0.70	0.33	1.30			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.99	73.21	99.25	116.32	170.01	95	450	450	CONC	0.20	31.5	127.5033	0.8017	0.6549	0.749		
To STREET 3, Pipe 126 - 128						1.30				0.00				0.00				0.00	11.64																
	9	10			0.00	0.00	0.19	0.70	0.37	0.37			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	39	300	300	PVC	0.35	45.5	57.2089	0.8093	0.9370	0.673		
	10	11			0.00	0.00	0.44	0.70	0.86	1.23			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.94	73.39	99.49	116.60	170.42	122	375	375	PVC	0.95	103.0	170.8907	1.5473	1.1095	0.714		
	11	13			0.00	0.00	0.37	0.70	0.72	1.95			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.05	69.75	94.50	110.72	161.79	184	375	375	PVC	2.75	87.5	290.7521	2.6325	0.5540	0.632		
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 12 - 13						0.00				0.29				0.00				10.83	10.22																
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 8 - 13						40.41				2.72				4.43				1.86	15.39																
	13	14			0.00	40.41	0.19	0.70	0.37	5.33			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	15.39	60.85	82.31	96.38	140.74	5110	2250	2250	CONC	0.10	42.0	6590.6247	1.6576	0.4223	0.775		
	14	15			0.00	40.41	0.39	0.70	0.76	6.09			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	15.82	59.91	81.01	94.86	138.50	5092	2250	2250	CONC	0.10	94.5	6590.6247	1.6576	0.9502	0.773		
	15	17			0.00	40.41	0.39	0.70	0.76	6.85			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	16.77	57.89	78.25	91.62	1												

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years
 Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years

Manning 0.013

LOCATION			AREA (Ha)												FLOW					SEWER DATA															
			2 YEAR				5 YEAR				10 YEAR				100 YEAR				Time of	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Peak Flow	DIA. (mm)	DIA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO		
Location	From Node	To Node	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Conc. (min)	2 Year (mm/h)	5 Year (mm/h)	10 Year (mm/h)	100 Year (mm/h)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min)	Q/Q full			
	37	46			0.00	48.78	0.30	0.70	0.58	21.24			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	22.11	48.87	65.94	77.14	112.52	5553	2250	2250	CONC	0.10	72.0	6590.6247	1.6576	0.7240	0.843		
	46	47			0.00	48.78	0.12	0.70	0.23	21.47			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	22.83	47.88	64.59	75.56	110.20	5455	2250	2250	CONC	0.10	28.0	6590.6247	1.6576	0.2815	0.828		
	47	66			0.00	48.78	3.00	0.80	6.67	28.69			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	23.12	47.50	64.08	74.96	109.32	5875	2400	2400	CONC	0.10	66.5	7828.3430	1.7304	0.6405	0.750		
Contribution From FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 62 - 66						4.90				6.14				0.00				0.00	15.79																
	66	67	0.36	0.70	0.70	54.38			0.00	34.83			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	23.76	46.68	62.96	73.64	107.39	6633	2400	2400	CONC	0.10	65.0	7828.3430	1.7304	0.6260	0.847		
	67	76	2.34	0.70	4.55	58.94			0.00	34.83			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	24.38	45.90	61.90	72.40	105.58	6525	2400	2400	CONC	0.10	32.5	7828.3430	1.7304	0.3130	0.834		
			0.25	0.70	0.49	59.50			0.00	34.83			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69																	
	76	77	1.58	0.70	3.07	62.58			0.00	34.83			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	24.70	45.53	61.39	71.80	104.69	6633	2400	2400	CONC	0.10	60.5	7828.3430	1.7304	0.5827	0.847		
	77	110	0.30	0.70	0.58	63.16			0.00	34.83			0.00	4.43			0.00	12.69	25.28	44.84	60.46	70.71	103.09	6559	2700	2700	CONC	0.10	69.0	10717.0825	1.8718	0.6144	0.612		
To STREET 4, Pipe 110 - 111						63.16				34.83				4.43				12.69	25.89																
JARGEAU ROAD																																			
	12	13			0.00	0.00	0.15	0.70	0.29	0.29			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	1964	1050	1050	CONC	0.90	39.0	2590.5934	2.9918	0.2173	0.758		
To FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 13 - 14						0.00				0.29				0.00				0.00	10.83	10.22															
	50	51			0.00	0.00	1.20	0.40	1.33	1.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	169	600	600	CONC	0.50	33.0	434.1717	1.5356	0.3582	0.390		
To FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 51 - 55						0.00				1.63				0.00				0.00	10.36																
	22	23			0.00	0.00	0.28	0.70	0.54	0.54			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	57	375	375	PVC	1.20	85.0	192.0645	1.7390	0.8147	0.296		
					0.00	0.00	0.28	0.70	0.54	1.09			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	23	24			0.00	0.00	0.61	0.40	0.68	1.77			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.81	73.81	100.08	117.30	171.44	177	600	600	CONC	0.35	85.0	363.2541	1.2847	1.1027	0.487		
To STREET 5, Pipe 24 - 25						0.00				1.77				0.00				0.00	11.92																
	48	49			0.00	0.00	0.41	0.70	0.80	0.80			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	83	600	600	CONC	0.65	88.0	495.0319	1.7508	0.8377	0.168		
	49	51			0.00	0.00	0.41	0.70	0.80	1.60			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.84	73.73	99.97	117.16	171.25	160	600	600	CONC	0.35	88.0	363.2541	1.2847	1.1416	0.439		
To FERN CASEY STREET, Pipe 51 - 55						0.00				1.60				0.00				0.00	11.98																
	95	96			0.00	0.00	0.55	0.70	1.07	1.07			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	112	525	525	CONC	0.25	90.5	215.0311	0.9933	1.5185	0.519		
	96	99			0.00	0.00	0.59	0.70	1.15	2.22			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.52	71.43	96.80	113.44	165.78	215	600	600	CONC	0.45	97.0	411.8915	1.4568	1.1098	0.521		
To STREET 4, Pipe 99 - 100						0.00				2.22				0.00				0.00	12.63																
	97	98			0.00	0.00	0.13	0.70	0.25	0.25			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	26	375	375	PVC	0.35	54.0	103.7267	0.9392	0.9583	0.254		
	98	99			0.00	0.00	0.16	0.70	0.31	0.56			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.96	73.31	99.39	116.48	170.25	56	450	450	CONC	0.30	64.5	156.1591	0.9819	1.0949	0.359		
To STREET 4, Pipe 99 - 100						0.00				0.56				0.00				0.00	12.05																
					0.00	0.00	0.15	0.70	0.29	0.29			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			1.62	0.80	3.60	3.60			0.00	0.29			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	1	2	2.31	0.80	5.14	8.74			0.00	0.29	1.77	0.90	4.43	4.43			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	1243	1200	1200	CONC	0.20	65.5	1743.5652	1.5417	0.7081	0.713		
					0.00	8.74	0.15	0.70	0.29	0.58			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00																	
	2	3	5.82	0.80	12.94	21.68			0.00	0.58			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	10.71	74.19	100.60	117.90	172.33	2190	1500	1500	CONC	0.20	65.5	3161.2940	1.7889	0.6102	0.693		
	3	4			0.00	21.68	0.13	0.70	0.25	0.84			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	11.32	72.09	97.71	114.51	167.34	2152	1500	1500	CONC	0.20	54.0	3161.2940	1.7889	0.5031	0.681		
	4	5			0.00	21.68	0.13	0.70	0.25	1.09			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	11.82	70.45	95.46	111.86	163.46	2127	1500	1500	CONC	0.20	54.5	3161.2940	1.7889	0.5078	0.673		
					0.00	21.68	0.16	0.70	0.31	1.40			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00																	
	5	6	1.18	0.80	2.62	24.31			0.00	1.40			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	12.33	68.89	93.31	109.33	159.74	2289	1500	1500	CONC	0.20	68.5	3161.2940	1.7889	0.6382	0.724		
					0.00	24.31	0.20	0.70	0.39	1.79			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00																	
	6	7	4.66	0.80	10.36	34.67			0.00	1.79			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	12.97	67.02	90.76	106.32	155.33	2957	1650	1650	CONC	0.20	83.5	4076.1052	1.9063	0.7300	0.725		
					0.00	34.67	0.24	0.70	0.47	2.26			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00																	
	7	8	2.58	0.80	5.74	40.41			0.00	2.26			0.00	4.43			0.00	0.00	13.70	65.02	88.02	103.10	150.59	3283	1650	1650	CONC	0.20	101.5	4076.1052	1.9063	0.8874	0.805		
					0.00	40.41	0.24	0.70	0.47	2.72			0.00	4.43			0.00																		

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)


Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years
 Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years

Manning 0.013

LOCATION			AREA (Ha)												FLOW					SEWER DATA										
Location	From Node	To Node	2 YEAR		5 YEAR		10 YEAR		100 YEAR		Time of Conc. (min)	Intensity 2 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 5 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 10 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 100 Year (mm/h)	Peak Flow Q (l/s)	DIA. (mm) (actual)	DIA. (mm) (nominal)	TYPE	SLOPE (%)	LENGTH (m)	CAPACITY (l/s)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TIME OF LOW (min)	RATIO Q/Q full					
			AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC																AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)
STREET 4																														
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	806														
				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00															
			5.81	0.70	11.31	11.31	2.48	0.70	4.83	4.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00															
	94	99			0.00	11.31	6.47	0.90	16.19	21.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	4301	1650	1650	CONC	0.30	42.5	4992.1889	2.3347	0.3034	0.861
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 96 - 99					0.00				2.22						0.00	12.63														
Contribution From JARGEAU ROAD, Pipe 98 - 99					0.00				0.56						0.00	12.05														
	99	100			0.00	11.31	1.38	0.70	2.69	26.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	12.63	68.00	92.10	107.90	157.64	4399	1800	1800	CONC	0.30	81.0	6295.9390	2.4741	0.5456	0.699	
	100	106	1.94	0.70	3.78	15.08			0.00	26.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	13.17	66.44	89.96	105.39	153.95	4567	1800	1800	CONC	0.35	81.0	6800.3913	2.6724	0.5052	0.672	
	106	108	0.94	0.70	1.83	16.91			0.00	26.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	13.68	65.07	88.08	103.18	150.71	4608	1800	1800	CONC	0.35	79.0	6800.3913	2.6724	0.4927	0.678	
	108	109	0.65	0.70	1.26	18.18			0.00	26.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	14.17	63.79	86.33	101.12	147.68	4613	1800	1800	CONC	0.35	55.0	6800.3913	2.6724	0.3430	0.678	
	109	110	0.62	0.70	1.21	19.38			0.00	26.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45	14.51	62.94	85.16	99.74	145.66	4637	1800	1800	CONC	0.40	52.0	7269.9241	2.8569	0.3034	0.638	
Contribution From FRANK BENDER STREET, Pipe 77 - 110					63.16					34.83					4.43	12.69	25.89													
	110	111	0.20	0.70	0.39	82.93			0.00	61.31	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	25.89	44.15	59.51	69.59	101.46	9959	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	45.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.3272	0.759	
	111	113	0.34	0.70	0.66	83.59			0.00	61.31	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	26.22	43.79	59.02	69.02	100.61	9913	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	82.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.5962	0.755	
				0.28	0.70	0.54	84.14			61.31	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13																
	113	114			0.00	84.14	3.30	0.80	7.34	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	26.82	43.14	58.14	67.99	99.11	10229	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	67.5	13125.6918	2.2925	0.4907	0.779	
	114	115	0.04	0.70	0.08	84.21			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	27.31	42.63	57.45	67.17	97.92	10119	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	10.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.0727	0.771	
	115	116	0.30	0.70	0.58	84.80			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	27.38	42.56	57.34	67.06	97.74	10128	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	71.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.5162	0.772	
	116	117	0.29	0.70	0.56	85.36			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	27.90	42.03	56.63	66.22	96.52	10036	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	70.5	13125.6918	2.2925	0.5125	0.765	
	117	118	0.04	0.70	0.08	85.44			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	28.41	41.53	55.95	65.42	95.34	9928	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	10.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.0727	0.756	
	118	132	0.11	0.70	0.21	85.65			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	28.48	41.46	55.85	65.30	95.17	9921	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	27.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.1963	0.756	
Contribution From STREET 3, Pipe 131 - 132					9.09					0.00					0.00	16.67														
	132	134	0.69	0.70	1.34	96.09			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	28.68	41.27	55.59	65.00	94.73	10310	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	85.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.6180	0.785	
Contribution From STREET 1, Pipe 133 - 134					0.00					0.00					0.00	10.99														
	134	135	0.59	0.70	1.15	97.23			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	29.29	40.69	54.80	64.07	93.37	10221	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	72.5	13125.6918	2.2925	0.5271	0.779	
	135	2150			0.00	97.23			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	29.82	40.20	54.15	63.30	92.24	10109	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	50.0	13125.6918	2.2925	0.3635	0.770	
	2150	HW1			0.00	97.23			0.00	68.65	0.00	4.43	0.00	15.13	30.19	39.88	53.70	62.78	91.48	10033	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	19.5	13125.6918	2.2925	0.1418	0.764	
STREET 1																														
	133	134			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	0	300	300	PVC	0.35	48.0	57.2089	0.8093	0.9885	0.000	
To STREET 4, Pipe 134 - 135					0.00				0.00	0.00					0.00	10.99														
	136	2121			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	0	300	300	PVC	0.35	17.0	57.2089	0.8093	0.3501	0.000	
	2121	2142	1.22	0.70	2.37	2.37			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.35	75.49	102.38	120.01	175.42	179	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	83.5	2882.2416	1.3479	1.0324	0.062	
	138	139			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	0	300	300	PVC	0.85	39.0	89.1537	1.2613	0.5154	0.000	
	139	2142			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.52	74.88	101.55	119.03	173.98	0	300	300	PVC	0.45	31.0	64.8688	0.9177	0.5630	0.000	

Definitions:
 Q = 2.78 AIR, where
 Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s)
 A = Areas in hectares (ha)
 I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)
 R = Runoff Coefficient

Notes:
 1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve
 2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s



Designed:	M.B.	PROJECT:	TRAILS EDGE PHASE 5	
Checked:	S.M.	LOCATION:	City of Ottawa	
Dwg. Reference:	2	File Ref:	Date:	Sheet No.
			04 Jun 2025	SHEET 3 OF 3

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years
 Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years



Manning 0.013

Location	LOCATION		AREA (Ha)																FLOW					SEWER DATA											
			2 YEAR				5 YEAR				10 YEAR				100 YEAR				Time of	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Peak Flow	DIA (mm)	DIA (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF	RATIO		
			From Node	To Node	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Conc. (min)	2 Year (mm/h)	5 Year (mm/h)	10 Year (mm/h)	100 Year (mm/h)	Q (l/s)	(actual)	(nominal)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (mm)	Q/Q full	
North West TRUNK 1																																			
	2065	2066	0.21	0.70	0.41	0.41	0.21	0.90	0.53	0.53			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	86	450	450	PVC	0.20	96.5	128	0.80	2.01	0.68		
	2066	2072	0.32	0.70	0.62	1.03	0.28	0.90	0.70	1.23			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.01	69.87	94.67	110.93	162.09	188	600	600	PVC	0.14	96.0	230	0.81	1.97	0.82		
	2072	2075	3.15	0.70	6.13	7.16	0.20	0.90	0.50	1.73			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.98	64.30	87.02	101.93	148.87	611	825	825	CONC	0.25	79.0	718	1.34	0.98	0.85		
	2075	2083	1.30	0.70	2.53	9.69	0.19	0.90	0.48	2.20			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.96	61.87	83.70	98.02	143.14	784	975	975	CONC	0.17	85.0	924	1.24	1.14	0.85		
	2083	2084	4.30	0.70	8.37	18.06	0.18	0.90	0.45	2.65			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.10	59.29	80.16	93.86	137.04	1283	1050	1050	CONC	0.28	81.5	1445	1.67	0.81	0.89		
			0.18	0.70	0.35	18.41			0.00	2.65			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.20	0.70	0.39	18.80			0.00	2.65			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.90	0.70	1.75	20.55			0.00	2.65			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.95	0.70	1.85	22.40			0.00	2.65			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.00	0.70	22.40	1.27	0.40	1.41	4.06				0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	2084	2085	1.90	0.70	3.70	26.10	1.71	0.90	4.28	8.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.91	57.59	77.84	91.13	133.03	2152	1350	1350	CONC	0.18	118.0	2264	1.58	1.24	0.95		
	2085	2116	0.71	0.70	1.38	27.48			0.00	8.34			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.16	55.20	74.57	87.29	127.40	2139	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	119.5	2882	1.35	1.48	0.74		
			0.00	0.70	27.48	0.56	0.40	0.62	8.97				0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.00	0.70	27.48	3.12	0.90	7.81	16.77				0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			0.00	0.70	27.48	0.72	0.90	1.80	18.57				0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			9.47	0.90	0.00	27.48	1.14	0.80	2.54	21.11			0.00	0.00	2.18	0.40	0.00	2.42						85L/s/ha	805										
			0.00	0.70	27.48	0.89	0.90	2.23	23.34				0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42																	
			1.16	0.70	2.26	29.73	2.94	0.80	6.54	29.87			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42																	
			0.24	0.80	0.53	30.27	2.77	0.90	6.93	36.80			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42																	
			2.62	0.70	5.10	35.37	0.23	0.80	0.51	37.32			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42																	
	2116	2117	7.72	0.70	15.02	50.39			0.00	37.32			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42	19.64	52.63	71.06	83.16	121.35	5598	2250	2250	CONC	0.15	75.0	8072	2.03	0.62	0.69		
	2117	2122	0.52	0.70	1.01	51.40			0.00	37.32			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42	20.25	51.63	69.70	81.57	119.01	5544	2400	2400	CONC	0.11	84.0	8210	1.81	0.77	0.68		
	2122	2136	0.65	0.70	1.26	52.67			0.00	37.32			0.00	0.00			0.00	2.42	20.25	51.63	69.70	81.57	119.01	5609	2550	2550	CONC	0.10	84.0	9202	1.80	0.78	0.61		
	TO TRUNK 2					52.67				37.32			0.00				0.00	2.42	21.02																
TRUNK 2																																			
	2041	2042	1.39	0.80	3.09	3.09			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	237	525	525	PVC	0.65	29.5	347	1.60	0.31	0.68		
	2042	2043	1.20	0.80	2.67	5.76			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	10.31	75.65	102.60	120.26	175.80	436	750	750	CONC	0.25	95.5	557	1.26	1.26	0.78		
	2043	2044	1.29	0.80	2.87	8.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	11.57	71.26	96.57	113.17	165.38	615	825	825	CONC	0.32	110.0	812	1.52	1.21	0.76		
	2044	2046	0.00	0.80	0.00	8.63	0.22	0.90	0.55	0.55	2.14	0.90	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.78	67.57	91.50	107.20	156.61	1207	900	900	CONC	0.62	33.5	1425	2.24	0.25	0.85		
	2046	2047	2.39	0.80	5.32	13.94	0.23	0.90	0.58	1.13			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.03	66.86	90.53	106.05	154.93	1602	1200	1200	CONC	0.24	103.5	1910	1.69	1.02	0.84		
			0.47	0.80	1.05	14.99	0.26	0.90	0.65	1.78			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
			1.15	0.80	2.56	17.55			0.00	1.78			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.05	64.11	86.77	101.63	148.43	1823	1500	1500	CONC	0.10	117.0	2235	1.26	1.54	0.82		
			0.80	0.80	1.78	19.33	0.25	0.90	0.63	2.40			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	2047	2048	1.14	0.80	2.54	21.86			0.00	2.40			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.59	60.41	81.70	95.67	139.69	2029	1500	1500	CONC	0.12	112.5	2449	1.39	1.35	0.83		
			0.49	0.80	1.09	22.95			0.00	2.40			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	2048	2049	0.76	0.80	1.69	24.64	0.25	0.90	0.63	3.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.94	57.53	77.77	91.04	132.90	2141	1500	1500	CONC	0.13	85.5	2549	1.44	0.99	0.84		
			0.44	0.80	0.98	25.62			0.00	3.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																	
	2049	2057	6.36	0.80	14.14	39.77	0.24	0.90	0.60	3.63			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	17.93	55.62	75.15	87.96	128.39	2955	1800	1800	CONC	0.13	90.5	4144	1.63	0.93	0.71		
			0.00	0.80	39.77	0.42	0.90	1.05	4.68				0.00	0.00	1.78	0.40	1.98	1.98																	
	2060	2061	0.17	0.70	0.33	40.10	0.48	0.90	1.20	5.88			0.00	0.00	9.40	0.40	10.45	12.43	18.86	53.95	72.87	85.28	124.45	4595	1800	1800	CONC	0.23	81.5	5513	2.17	0.63	0.83		
	2061	2062	0.00	0.70	0.00	40.10	0.20	0.90	0.50	6.38			0.00	0.00			0.00	12.43	19.48	52.88	71.41	83.57	121.94	4539	1800	1800	CONC	0.22	79.0	5392	2.12	0.62	0.84		
	2062	2063	0.00	0.70	0.00	40.10	0.13	0.90	0.33	6.71			0																						

STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD)

Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years
 Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years
 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years



Manning 0.013

LOCATION			AREA (Ha)																FLOW					SEWER DATA										
Location	From Node	To Node	2 YEAR				5 YEAR				10 YEAR				100 YEAR				Time of Conc. (min)	Intensity 2 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 5 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 10 Year (mm/h)	Intensity 100 Year (mm/h)	Peak Flow Q (l/s)	DIA. (mm) (actual)	DIA. (mm) (nominal)	TYPE	SLOPE (%)	LENGTH (m)	CAPACITY (l/s)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TIME OF LOW (min)	RATIO Q/Q full	
			AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	AREA (Ha)	R	Indiv. 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC																
	2136	2138	0.37	0.70	0.72	93.83			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86	27.52	42.41	57.14	66.82	97.40	9781	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	90.5	13126	2.29	0.66	0.75	
			1.29	0.70	2.51	96.34			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86																
			1.30	0.70	2.53	98.87			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86																
			0.50	0.70	0.97	99.85			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86																
	2138	2139	0.38	0.70	0.74	100.59			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86	21.02	50.44	68.08	79.66	116.22	11988	2700	2700	CONC	0.16	77.0	13556	2.37	0.54	0.88	
	2139	2140	0.38	0.70	0.74	101.33			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86	21.56	49.64	66.99	78.38	114.34	11834	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	73.5	13126	2.29	0.53	0.90	
	2140	HW	0.11	0.70	0.21	101.54			0.00	69.94			0.00	5.35			0.00	14.86	21.56	49.64	66.99	78.38	114.34	11844	2700	2700	CONC	0.15	47.0	13126	2.29	0.34	0.90	
TO POND 1																																		
TRUNK 3					0.00	0.00	0.98	0.80	2.18	2.18			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.15															
			2.86	0.70	5.57	5.57	5.61	0.90	14.04	16.22			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																
					0.00	5.57	0.95	0.80	2.11	18.33			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00																
	2025	2026	7.33	0.70	14.26	19.83	0.49	0.90	1.23	19.55			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.15	50.25	67.82	79.36	115.77	148	1650	1650	CONC	0.14	32.0	3410	1.59	0.33	0.04	
	2026	2119	0.39	0.70	0.76	20.59	1.16	0.40	1.29	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.48	49.76	67.15	78.57	114.61	1366	1650	1650	CONC	0.16	92.5	3646	1.71	0.90	0.37	
	2119	2120	0.66	0.70	1.28	21.87			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	22.39	48.48	65.41	76.52	111.61	1469	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	47.0	2882	1.35	0.58	0.51	
	2120	2121	0.43	0.70	0.84	22.71			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	22.97	47.70	64.34	75.27	109.78	2204	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	84.5	2882	1.35	1.04	0.76	
	2121	2142	1.13	0.70	2.20	24.91			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	24.01	46.36	62.52	73.13	106.63	2258	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	76.0	2882	1.35	0.94	0.78	
	2142	2143	0.37	0.70	0.72	25.63			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	22.97	47.70	64.34	75.27	109.78	2204	1650	1650	CONC	0.10	43.0	2882	1.35	0.53	0.76	
	2143	2144			0.00	25.63			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	24.01	46.36	62.52	73.13	106.63	2258	1800	1800	CONC	0.10	51.1	3635	1.43	0.60	0.62	
	2144	HW			0.00	25.63			0.00	20.84			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	24.95	45.22	60.97	71.31	103.97	2260	1800	1800	CONC	0.10	22.5	3635	1.43	0.26	0.62	
TO POND 1																																		

Definitions:
 Q = 2.78 AIR, where
 Q = Peak Flow in Litres per second (L/s)
 A = Areas in hectares (ha)
 I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h)
 R = Runoff Coefficient

Notes:
 1) Ottawa Rainfall-Intensity Curve
 2) Min. Velocity = 0.80 m/s



Designed:	R.B.	PROJECT:	Orleans EUC MUC		
Checked:	K.M.	LOCATION:	City of Ottawa		
Dwg Reference:		File Ref:	14-733	Date:	October 2019
				Sheet No.:	2

Project Name: EUC
 Project Number: 1195
 Designed By: LH
 Checked By: VM
 Date: 2025-06-0



HGL Preliminary Analysis

MH-ID	Invert Elev. (m)	Rim Elev. (m)	Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Clearance from Surface (m)
MH-36	82.42	88.05	5.64	85.93	2.12
MH-66	81.98	87.87	5.89	85.65	2.22
MH-119	85.43	88.08	2.65	85.66	2.42
MH-27	85.48	89.45	3.97	87.01	2.44
MH-35	84.36	89.05	4.68	86.59	2.46
MH-94	84.12	88.78	4.67	86.29	2.49
MH-29	85.22	89.38	4.16	86.88	2.50
MH-96	85.27	88.93	3.66	86.41	2.52
MH-62	83.65	88.56	4.90	85.97	2.59
MH-99	83.66	88.72	5.06	86.13	2.59
MH-77	81.75	88.05	6.30	85.45	2.60
MH-95	85.63	89.13	3.50	86.52	2.61
MH-76	81.84	88.14	6.30	85.52	2.62
MH-67	81.90	88.19	6.29	85.56	2.63
MH-98	85.28	88.82	3.53	86.19	2.63
MH-55	84.76	88.93	4.17	86.29	2.64
MH-61	84.55	88.81	4.26	86.15	2.66
MH-100	83.40	88.60	5.20	85.93	2.67
MH-46	82.25	88.43	6.18	85.75	2.68
MH-47	82.08	88.39	6.31	85.71	2.68
MH-50	85.68	89.13	3.45	86.45	2.68
MH-51	84.95	89.05	4.10	86.36	2.69
MH-37	82.34	88.54	6.20	85.84	2.70
MH-110	81.38	87.95	6.57	85.24	2.71
MH-111	81.30	87.88	6.58	85.17	2.71
MH-113	81.17	87.76	6.59	85.05	2.71
MH-106	83.11	88.48	5.37	85.73	2.75
MH-19	83.10	88.96	5.86	86.21	2.75
MH-21	82.95	88.79	5.85	86.03	2.76
MH-18	83.21	89.12	5.91	86.35	2.77
MH-20	83.06	88.94	5.88	86.14	2.80
MH-49	85.76	89.32	3.55	86.50	2.82

HGL Preliminary Analysis

MH-ID	Invert Elev. (m)	Rim Elev. (m)	Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Clearance from Surface (m)
MH-108	82.80	88.36	5.56	85.53	2.83
MH-114	81.04	87.66	6.62	84.83	2.83
MH-109	82.14	88.28	6.14	85.38	2.90
MH-25	85.91	90.12	4.21	87.19	2.93
MH-115	80.99	87.64	6.65	84.70	2.94
MH-17	83.30	89.39	6.09	86.43	2.96
MH-1	86.52	91.05	4.53	88.02	3.03
MH-4	85.70	90.73	5.03	87.69	3.04
MH-9	89.49	92.75	3.26	89.71	3.04
MH-5	85.50	90.65	5.15	87.60	3.05
MH-3	85.87	90.86	4.99	87.78	3.08
MH-6	85.14	90.55	5.41	87.47	3.08
MH-7	84.91	90.42	5.51	87.31	3.11
MH-2	86.06	91.05	4.99	87.90	3.15
MH-10	89.25	92.72	3.47	89.54	3.18
MH-24	86.56	90.45	3.89	87.26	3.19
MH-15	83.40	89.73	6.33	86.53	3.20
MH-8	84.51	90.27	5.76	87.07	3.20
MH-120	85.25	88.79	3.54	85.53	3.26
MH-23	87.20	90.82	3.61	87.56	3.26
MH-121	84.92	88.67	3.75	85.39	3.28
MH-135	80.30	86.77	6.47	83.49	3.28
MH-2150	80.16	86.64	6.48	83.31	3.33
MH-22	88.45	91.99	3.55	88.61	3.38
MH-14	83.51	90.06	6.55	86.63	3.43
MH-13	83.58	90.12	6.54	86.68	3.44
MH-97	85.55	89.68	4.13	86.21	3.47
MH-122	84.64	88.51	3.87	85.00	3.51
MH-123	83.37	87.98	4.61	84.40	3.58
MH-125	83.11	87.74	4.63	84.16	3.58
MH-48	86.50	90.37	3.87	86.69	3.68
MH-12	85.29	91.43	6.14	87.68	3.75
MH-133	83.82	87.57	3.75	83.82	3.75
MH-116	80.88	88.37	7.50	84.59	3.78
MH-117	80.74	88.19	7.45	84.38	3.81
MH-124	83.14	88.05	4.92	84.23	3.82
MH-118	80.70	88.17	7.48	84.26	3.91
MH-126	82.57	88.11	5.54	84.12	3.99
MH-128	82.44	88.09	5.65	84.05	4.04

HGL Preliminary Analysis

MH-ID	Invert Elev. (m)	Rim Elev. (m)	Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Clearance from Surface (m)
MH-134	80.44	87.77	7.33	83.72	4.05
MH-11	88.22	92.57	4.35	88.50	4.07
MH-131	82.28	88.18	5.90	83.94	4.24
MH-130	82.34	88.22	5.88	83.97	4.25
MH-132	80.60	88.15	7.55	83.86	4.29



Trinity Development Group

**TRINITY DEVELOPMENT - INNES / BELCOURT
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
OTTAWA, ONTARIO**

MUNICIPAL SERVICING
REVIEWED
CITY OF OTTAWA
DEPT OF PLANNING, TRANSIT AND THE ENVIRONMENT
INFRASTRUCTURE APPROVALS DIVISION
FOR MOE SUBMISSION

SIGNED: *Chie Suprenant*

DATE: 05/02/2009

D07-12-08-0001

14252
REVISED

JANUARY 2009



Table 1. Post-Development Flow into the Existing Sewer at Innes Road

Storm Event	Post-Development Peak Flow (cms)
25 mm 4hr Chicago	0.467
2 Yr 3 hr Chicago	0.471
5 Yr 3 hr Chicago	0.476
100 Yr 3hr Chicago	0.493


The above table indicates that the post-development peak flows from Area B outlet to the existing sewer at Innes Road does not exceeded the maximum allowable flow rate of 493 l/s.

From a development perspective, Area B is divided into 3 Blocks (Blocks C, D and E). The minimum required on-site storage is 1830 m³. Blocks D and E will provide on-site-storage of approximately 400 m³. Block C will be designed by others and the required on site-storage is approximately 1430 m³ to ensure zero overflow. For the detail regarding the on-site storage volume and site grading for Blocks D and E, refer to the "Site Servicing Brief", (IBI Group, October 2008).

Drainage Area A

The total drainage area into the interim SWM Facility includes 8.7 ha of Area A and 5.1 ha of rural area located in the vicinity of the facility. The required level of service (85 l/s/ha) and the total inflow into the minor system will be maintained by the capacity restriction and density of the inlets directly connected into the minor system. The required on-site storage volume for Area A corresponds to a level of service of 85 l/s/ha and was determined using the route reservoir routine in SWMHYMO under the 100 year 3 hour Chicago storm. The on-site storage requirements for Area A is approximately 2700 m³ in order to completely attenuate the runoff from the 100 year 3 hour Chicago storm event. As with the existing conditions, the 25 mm 4 hour Chicago and 2, 5 and 100 year 3 hour Chicago storms were used to evaluate peak flows. The results from the existing conditions model are presented in Table 2 along with the post-development flows. The SWMHYMO model output and related calculations for the post-development conditions can be found in Appendices A and C.

J:\14252_Emparrado\5.0 Drawings\5.0\Site\Current\SWM\Figures.dwg Layout Name: Figure 2 Plot Style: --- Plot Scale: 1:2,5849 Plotted At: 11/3/2008 10:11 AM Last Saved By: mbeauchemin Last Saved At: Oct. 10, 08



LEGEND

- SUB-CATCHMENT DRAINAGE BOUNDARIES
- AREA ID
- AREA (ha)
- IMP%/TP(hr)

	BLOCK A
	BLOCK B
	BLOCK C
	BLOCK D
	BLOCK E
	BLOCK F





Scale
1:3000

Project Title
EMPARRADO LANDS

Drawing Title
**POST - DEVELOPMENT
DRAINAGE BOUNDARIES**

Sheet No.
FIGURE 2_{E146}

DRAWINGS



NOTE:
CONCEPTUAL ROAD NETWORK PER
DEMONSTRATION PLAN. SUBJECT TO
CHANGE.

LEGEND:		CUT-FILL DEPTH ALONG CENTER LINE:	
	STUDY LIMIT		CUT DEPTH (m) 0 - 0.5
	PROPOSED ELEVATION		FILL DEPTH (m) 0 - 0.5
	EXISTING ELEVATION		0.5 - 1.0
	EXISTING CONTOUR ELEVATION		1.0 - 1.5
	PROPOSED ELEVATION BY OTHERS		> 1.5
	STORM POND INLET		TOP OF SLOPE AND TERRACING
	STORM OVERLAND FLOW ARROW		
	EXISTING WOODLOT		




120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

**TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5
GRADING PLAN**

PROJECT No. : 20-1195
SCALE 1:2500
DATE: JUNE 2025
DRAWING No. 1

Block ID	Contributing Area (ha)	Allowable Release Rate (m³/s)	100yr Expected Storage Volume (m³)
Controlled to 2 year			
392A	4.66	0.796	945
392B	5.82	0.994	1103
393	2.31	0.350	467
391A	2.58	0.441	554
391B	1.18	0.202	239
Controlled to 5 year			
Future Block (Lot 4)	6.47	1.687	1064
390	4.05	0.469	180
279	0.61	0.071	64
277	1.20	0.139	75
389	3.00	0.695	423
388	3.30	0.765	415
352	1.38	0.247	279
261	2.48	0.503	501
280	2.04	0.367	413



LEGEND

- SITE BOUNDARY
- PROPOSED STORM TRUNK
- PROPOSED MINOR STORM
- STORM MANHOLE
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- STORM TRIBUTARY AREA
- EXTERNAL TRIBUTARY AREA
- 130L/s PEAK FLOW
- STORM DRAINAGE AREA
- RUN-OFF COEFFICIENT
- UPSTREAM MANHOLE
- DOWNSTREAM MANHOLE
- STORM FREQUENCY OR CONSTANT FLOW IN m³/s
- 100-YR STORAGE AREA
- 100-YR CAPTURE
- 390 100-YR STORAGE BLOCK ID




120 Iber Road, Unit 103
 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
 Tel. (613) 836-0856
 Fax. (613) 836-7183
 www.DSEL.ca

**TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5
 STORM SERVICING PLAN**

PROJECT No. : 20-1195
 SCALE : 1:2500
 DATE : JUNE 2025
 DRAWING No. : 2

INNES ROAD



LEGEND


- — — — — SITE BOUNDARY
- — — — — PROPOSED MAJOR SANITARY SEWER
- SANITARY MANHOLE
- - - - - EXISTING SANITARY
- - - - - PROPOSED MINOR SANITARY SEWER
- - - - - SANITARY TRIBUTARY BOUNDARY
- - - - - EXTERNAL SANITARY TRIBUTARY BOUNDARY
- 3.30
114
376
MH 77A
RESIDENTIAL DRAINAGE AREAS (HA)
POPULATION PER HECTARE
POPULATION
UPSTREAM MANHOLE
DOWNSTREAM MANHOLE
TRIB-TYPE



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5 SANITARY SERVICING PLAN

PROJECT No. : 20-1195
SCALE 1:2500
DATE: JUNE 2025
DRAWING No. 3



LEGEND

	SITE BOUNDARY
	PROPOSED WATERMAIN TRUNK
	PROPOSED LOCAL WATERMAIN
	EXISTING WATERMAIN TRUNK
	EXISTING LOCAL WATERMAIN




120 Iber Road, Unit 103
 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
 Tel. (613) 836-0856
 Fax. (613) 836-7183
 www.DSEL.ca

**TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5
 WATERMAIN SERVICING PLAN**

PROJECT No. :	20-1195
SCALE	1:2000
DATE:	JUNE 2025
DRAWING No.	4

INNES ROAD



WATERCOURSES, STREAMS, CREEKS, WOODLOTS AND ANY ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS, OR AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL NECESSARY MEASURES IN ORDER TO PREVENT LEAKS, DISCHARGES OR SPILLS OF POLLUTANTS, DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, OR OTHER SUCH MATERIALS OR SUBSTANCES WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.

IN THE EVENT OF A LEAK, DISCHARGE OR SPILL OF A POLLUTANT, DELETERIOUS MATERIAL OR OTHER SUCH MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL:

1. IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE APPROPRIATE FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT MINISTRIES, DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES AND AUTHORITIES OF THE INCIDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT LAWS, LEGISLATION, ACTS, BY-LAWS, PERMITS, APPROVALS, ETC.
2. TAKE IMMEDIATE MEASURES TO CONTAIN THE MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE, AND TO TAKE SUCH MEASURES AS THEY DEEM APPROPRIATE TO MITIGATE AGAINST THE ANY ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
3. THE CONTRACT SHALL RESTORE THE AFFECTED AREA TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER, ALL TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION.

THE EXISTING DRAINAGE FEATURES WILL BE PROTECTED UNTIL SUCH A TIME THAT APPROVALS ARE IN PLACE FROM THE RVCA (ALTERATION OF WATERWAYS) AND ALL OTHER REGULATORY AGENCIES. THE JOCK RIVER IS TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.

THE SILTATION CONTROL PLAN IS INTENDED TO ASSIST THE CONTRACTOR IN THE LAYOUT AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE SILTATION CONTROL FEATURES ONLY. THIS DRAWING SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SITE SERVICES.

MONITORING OF SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROLS MONITORING AND REPORTING TO BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT, CONSERVATION, AND PARKS (MECP) AND RIDEAU VALLEY CONSERVATION AUTHORITY (RVCA) APPROVALS.

MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

ALL DAMAGED ESC MEASURES SHOULD BE REPAIRED AND/OR REPLACEMENT WITHIN 48 HOURS OF THE INSPECTION.

THE ENVIRONMENTAL MONITOR IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT UPDATES TO THE TOWN/ REGION/ CONSERVATION AUTHORITY BY EMAIL IN A TIMELY MANNER.

SEDIMENT SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM THE SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCING ONCE SEDIMENT HAS ACCUMULATED TO A LEVEL OF ONE-THIRD THE HEIGHT OF FENCING OR TO A HEIGHT OF 30 cm. ANY AMOUNT OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHOULD BE REMOVED PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF THE CONTROL MEASURES.

SEQUENCE OF ACTIVITIES

THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MUST BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

- INSTALLATION OF THE SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCING WHERE INDICATED.
- REMOVAL OF SITE VEGETATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE BY-LAWS.
- CONSTRUCTION OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL POND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DESIGN DRAWINGS.
- REGULAR MONITORING OF THE SEDIMENT CONTROL FENCES BY THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE FENCES ARE FUNCTIONING AS INTENDED.
- REGULAR MONITORING OF THE SEDIMENT BASIN TO VERIFY THAT THE BASIN IS FUNCTIONING AS INTENDED.
- REMOVAL OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES ONCE THE SITE HAS BEEN STABILIZED.

LEGEND

- — — — — SUBJECT LANDS
- - - - - SILT FENCE
- MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
- - - - - SWALE

NORTH MAIN CELL AND FOREBASE EXCAVATION BOTTOM 10.00 100 YR WL 83.00



120 Iber Road, Unit 103
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9
Tel. (613) 836-0856
Fax. (613) 836-7183
www.DSEL.ca

**TRAILSEDGE PHASE 5
EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN**

PROJECT No. :	20-1195
SCALE	1:2500
DATE:	JUNE 2025
DRAWING No.	6